

**UNITARILY INVARIANT CONVEX FUNCTIONS ON THE STATE
SPACE OF TYPE I AND TYPE III VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS**

A. UHLMANN

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Dubna, USSR*

(Received July 8, 1974)

Properties of unitarily invariant convex functions, defined on subsets of positive linear forms of type I and type III W^* -algebras have been investigated. We especially characterize those pairs of positive linear forms f, g for which $\Psi(f) \leq \Psi(g)$ holds for every unitarily invariant convex function Ψ and in which case we call f "more chaotic" than g .

1.

In [9]–[11] there has been introduced a partial ordering of finite-dimensional density matrices, respectively states, over finite type I factors. In this simple case of finite-dimensional density matrices we have called the density matrix ϱ "more mixed" or "more chaotic" than σ , if ϱ turns out to be a convex linear combination (a mixture in the sense of Gibbs and von Neumann) of density matrices σ_j which are unitarily equivalent to σ . Then and only then the eigenvalues of ϱ are the transforms of those of σ by a bistochastic transformation.

Besides applications to matrix inequalities, to the definition of "general equilibrium states" as maximal mixed states of a given compact convex set of states, to Kossakowski's strictly irreversible quantum processes [4] and to more general "evolution processes" [5], we mention explicitly three facts:

a) Given Gibbs states

$$\varrho(T) = \exp(-\beta H) / \text{Sp} \exp(-\beta H),$$

we have

$$\varrho(T_2) \succ \varrho(T_1) \quad \text{if} \quad T_2 \geq T_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \geq T_2 \geq T_1.$$

b) If a density matrix ϱ can be written as

$$\varrho = Z^{-1} \exp \{ \lambda_1 b_1 + \dots + \lambda_m b_m \}$$

* On leave of absence from Section of Physics, Karl Marx University, Leipzig, GDR.

with the help of certain Hermitian matrices b_j , then for every density matrix σ satisfying

$$\text{Sp} \sigma b_j = \text{Sp} \rho b_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

it follows from $\rho < \sigma$ that necessarily $\rho = \sigma$.

c) For every set a_1, \dots, a_m of positive semidefinite matrices, the sum of which is equal to the identity matrix, we have

$$\rho < \sum \text{Sp}(a_j \rho) \cdot (\text{Sp} a_j)^{-1} \cdot a_j.$$

In these examples $<$ stands for the relation “more chaotic” (“more mixed”) with respect to the group of all unitary transformations (see Definition 3).

Wehrl [12] and Alberti (unpublished) have generalized the results of [10], [11] to infinite-dimensional density matrices. Alberti [1], [2] succeeded in considering the ordering relation in question for positive linear forms of a type I von Neumann algebra with finite centre in a separable Hilbert space.

In this paper we generalize these theorems to the positive linear forms of countably decomposable W^* -algebras of type I and III.

For some basic definitions and results we refer to the books of Neumark [7], Dixmier [3] and Sakai [8]. It is a pleasure to thank P. M. Alberti and G. Lassner for stimulating discussions.

2.

Let us consider a C^* -algebra A . We denote by
 A^{aut} the group of $*$ -automorphisms of A ,
 A^u the group of unitary automorphisms of A ,
 A^* the space of continuous linear forms over A ,
 A^+ the cone of positive linear forms over A .

We adopt the following conventions: with $\tau \in A^{\text{aut}}$ the τ -transform of the element $a \in A$ is written a^τ and the transform of a linear form $f \in A^*$ is given by $(f^\tau)(a) = f(a^\tau)$. The automorphism τ is called a unitary one iff there is a unitary element $u \in A$ with $a^\tau = uau^{-1}$. In this case we also denote a^τ and f^τ by a^u and f^u . Let G be a subgroup of A^{aut} . In the remaining part of this section we express in slightly different ways the fact that a linear form f is the weak limit of convex linear combinations of the linear forms g^τ , $\tau \in G$ with a certain other linear form g . To this end we need some definitions.

DEFINITION 1. A subset X of A is called a G -set, if and only if 1) X is weakly closed, 2) X is a convex set (with respect of the real linear structure of A^*), 3) X is G -invariant, i.e. if $f \in X$ and $\tau \in G$ it follows that $f^\tau \in X$.

We remark that the intersection of an arbitrary number of G -sets is again a G -set. Every continuous linear form is contained in at least one G -set.

DEFINITION 2. Let X be a G -set. A G -function Ψ on X is a real-valued function

$$f \rightarrow \Psi(f), \quad -\infty < \Psi(f) \leq +\infty$$

defined on X , satisfying the following conditions:

1) Ψ is weakly upper-continuous, i.e. for every-real λ

$$\{f \in X \mid \Psi(f) \leq \lambda\}$$

is a weakly closed set.

2) Ψ is a convex function on X , i.e. for $0 \leq p \leq 1$

$$\Psi(pf + (1-p)g) \leq p\Psi(f) + (1-p)\Psi(g).$$

3) Ψ is G -invariant:

$$\Psi(f) = \Psi(f^\tau), \quad \tau \in G.$$

If $X \supset Y$ denote G -sets, the restriction on Y of every G -function on X is a G -function on Y . Let us now consider a special family of G -functions on A .

LEMMA 1. For every $a \in A$ the function

$$\Phi(f, a, G) = \sup_{\tau \in G} \text{Re}f(a^\tau) \tag{1}$$

is a G -function on A^* .

To carry out the proof we only have to note that the supremum of a set of continuous functionals is upper-continuous and convex. The G -invariance is a trivial consequence of (1) as well. The function (1) is bounded by $\|f\| \cdot \|a\|$ and convex in the argument $a \in A$, too. These functions are therefore norm-continuous both on A and on A^* .

THEOREM 1. The following three conditions for two elements $g, f \in A^*$ are mutually equivalent.

(i) If X is a G -set and $g \in X$, then $f \in X$ too.

(ii) If X is a G -set containing f and g , then every G -function Ψ on X fulfils the inequality

$$\Psi(f) \leq \Psi(g).$$

(iii) For every $a \in A$ the inequality

$$\Phi(f, a, G) \leq \Phi(g, a, G)$$

is valid.

Let us first remark that the theorem is rather at the surface. Indeed, it really does not depend on the C^* -character of A (see [5]), and what more, it does not even depend on the multiplicative structure of A . To prove Theorem 1 we note that the step (ii) \rightarrow (iii) is covered by Lemma 1. Now, let (i) be valid and denote by Ψ a G -function on X . The set $\{\tilde{f} \in X \mid \Psi(\tilde{f}) = \Psi(g)\}$ is a G -set containing g and hence f . This proves (ii) from (i). Let us now assume proposition (iii) to be valid. Then $g \in X$ and $f \notin X$ for a G -set X will give a contradiction: There exists a weakly continuous real linear functional φ on A^* satis-

fying $\varphi(h) \leq 1 + \varphi(f)$ for all $h \in X$ (Mazur). Further, $\Psi(h) = \sup_G \varphi(h^\tau)$ is a G -function on A^* with $\Psi(g) \leq 1 + \Psi(f)$, thus contradicting the inequality (ii). Now $\varphi_1(h) = \varphi(h) - i\varphi(ih)$ is a complex linear form on A with $\operatorname{Re} \varphi_1 = \varphi$. Because φ_1 is weakly continuous, there is an element $a \in A$ with $\varphi_1(h) = h(a)$, and therefore, Ψ is of the form (1).

DEFINITION 3. Let g, f be two continuous linear forms over A . We say that f is “more G -chaotic” (“more G -mixed”) than g and write

$$g < f \operatorname{rel} G$$

if and only if they satisfy the three equivalent conditions of Theorem 1.

This is a transitive relation, $g < f, f < h$ implies $g < h$. If $g < f$ as well as $f < g$ we write $g \sim f \operatorname{rel} G$. The relation “ $\sim \operatorname{rel} G$ ” provides us with equivalence classes $\{f\}_G$ and the relation “ $< \operatorname{rel} G$ ” provides us with a semi-ordering of these equivalence classes.

The set

$$\{f \mid g < f \operatorname{rel} G\} \quad (2)$$

is the smallest G -set containing g , it is the G -set “generated by g ”. Because the norm is not changed by $*$ -automorphisms and the norm is at most decreasing by performing convex linear combination and weak limits, the norm of every element of (2) is less than the norm of g . If, therefore, A contains an identity, the G -set generated by g is weakly compact. In this case, by standard techniques, we see that every G -set X contains a minimal G -set Y , i.e. a G -set with no proper G -subset. A linear functional f is said to be “maximally G -chaotic”, if there is a minimal G -set Y with $f \in Y$. Obviously, in this case Y is the G -set generated by f . If a functional f is a G -invariant one, then f is maximally G -chaotic. (The converse statement is wrong, in general.)

THEOREM 2. Let Ψ be a G -function on the weakly compact G -set X . Denote by S the set of all pairs (a, λ) , $a \in A$, λ being a real number such that

$$\Psi(f) \geq \Phi(f, a, G) + \lambda \quad \text{for all } f \in X. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$\Psi(f) = \sup_S [\Phi(f, a, G) + \lambda]. \quad (4)$$

The proof is based on a theorem of Mokobodski (see [6]), according to which Ψ is the supremum of the set of those affine functionals φ on X with $\Psi > \varphi$ on X , which can be extended to affine continuous functionals on the whole A . There exists $a \in A$ and a real λ with $\operatorname{Re} f(a) + \lambda = \varphi(a)$ on X (see the proof of Theorem 1). Now $\Psi(f) > \lambda + \operatorname{Re} f^\tau(a)$ for all $\tau \in G$ and $f \in X$, and we only have to take the supremum with respect of the elements of G . Next we remark that from $g = g^*$, $g < f$ follows $f = f^*$. Further, if S is a G -set of Hermitian functionals, we may restrict ourselves to the Hermitian elements $a \in A$ in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2:

COROLLARY. Let $g = g^*$. Then Theorem 1 remains true if we restrict ourselves in (iii) to all Hermitian $a \in A$. If the G -set X consists of Hermitian functions only, then Theorem 2 remains true if we take instead of S its subset (a, λ) with Hermitian $a \in A$.

3.

Let us now consider a W^* -algebra M and its group $G = M^u$ of unitary automorphisms (following usual customs, we write M^* for A in the case of W^* -algebras). As usual, we write $p \sim q$ resp. $p < q$ for two projectors of M^* iff there is an element $v \in M$ with $p = vv^*$ and $q = v^*v$ resp. $q \geq v^*v$. Thus the relations " \sim ", " $<$ " are defined as usual for projectors of M , while we use these symbols for elements $f, g \in M^*$ as indicated by our Definition 3.

We now assume $p_2 < p_1$ and $p_1 \sim p_2$ for two projectors of M . If $v^*v = p_2$ and $vv^* = p_1$, we define $p_{n+1} = (v^*)^n v^n$ ($n \geq 1$). Repeating the arguments of Proposition 2.2.4 of [11], we see that the p_j form a decreasing sequence of projections and

$$p_1 = r + \sum q_i \quad \text{with} \quad q_i = p_i - p_{i+1}$$

and the weak limit r of the p_j . Hence we have

$$\sum f(q_i) < \infty$$

for every positive linear functional f . $\bar{p}_j = \sum_{i \neq j} q_i$ gives us $\bar{p}_j \sim p_2$. However, $q_j \sim (p_1 - p_2)$ and $p_j + q_j = p_1$. Therefore, there exist unitary elements u_i commuting with p_1 and transforming p_2 into p_j and q_1 into q_j . Taking into account that every continuous linear functional is a linear combination of positive ones, we obtain:

LEMMA 2. If $q < p$ and $q \sim p$ for two projections of a M^* -algebra, we can find unitary elements u_i of M which commute with p and satisfy

$$\sum |f(p) - f(q^{u_i})| < \infty, \quad \text{for all } f \in M^*. \quad (5)$$

We denote by Z the centre of M . If z_1, \dots, z_m is a set of mutually orthogonal central projections with sum z , we have

$$\Phi(f, az, M) = \sum \Phi(f, az_j, M^u), \quad (6)$$

which is to be seen from the fact that

$$u = \sum z_j u_j + (e - z),$$

with unitary u_i , is unitary again.

Further, if $a = a^* \in M$ has a spectrum consisting of a finite number of points only, we may choose the above-mentioned central projectors z_i in such a way that the following assertion is true for every $z_i a$ for the given element $a \in M$: If $\lambda \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue of the element $z_i a$ and p the associated projector, the central support of p equals z_i . Using equation (6), recalling that every Hermitian element is the norm limit of elements with finite discrete spectrum and because the functions Φ are norm-continuous, we arrive to the following conclusion:

LEMMA 3. We have $g \prec f \text{ rel } M^u$ for two Hermitian continuous functionals of M if and only if

$$\Phi(f, a, M^u) \leq \Phi(g, a, M)$$

or all Hermitian elements $a \in M$ satisfying the conditions:

(i) a has finite discrete spectrum, i.e. a spectral decomposition

$$a = \lambda_1 p_1 + \dots + \lambda_m p_m, \quad \lambda_j \neq 0. \quad (7)$$

(ii) The projections p_1, \dots, p_m of (7) have the same central carrier $c = c(p_j)$.

We now rewrite (7) in the following manner: Define the projectors and numbers

$$\begin{aligned} q_s &= p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_s, \\ \mu_s &= \lambda_s - \lambda_{s+1}, \quad \lambda_{m+1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Then

$$a = \mu_1 q_1 + \dots + \mu_m q_m. \quad (9)$$

THEOREM 3. Let M be a countably decomposable W^* -algebra of type III and M^+ its cone of positive linear forms. Every $f \in M^+$ is maximally M^u -chaotic and $g \prec f \text{ rel } M^u$ is equivalent to $g(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in Z$, Z being the centre of M .

The first assertion of this theorem is a consequence of the second, so we prove the latter. Let $a \in M$ be an element satisfying the Propositions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 3. Since M is of type III and countably decomposable, every projection p_j of (7) is equivalent to its central carrier c . The same is true for the projectors q_i , defined by (8). From $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$ it follows that $\mu_j \geq 0$, and therefore,

$$\Phi(f, a, M^u) \geq \sum \mu_j f(q_j^u).$$

If we choose a sequence of unitary elements u_j fulfilling the conditions of Lemma 2 for the pair of projectors q_1 and a , then for every j the sequence $f(q_j^u u_j^i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ converges to $f(c)$. Now $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_m$, thus

$$\Phi(f, a, M^u) \geq \lambda_1 \Phi(f, c, M^u) = f(c).$$

However, the right-hand side of this inequality cannot be smaller than the left-hand side, trivially. Hence the equality holds and the theorem is proved. By the arguments of Lemma 3 we easily see that, according to this result, for every $a = a^* \in M$ there is a unique central element $z = z(a)$ with $f(z) = \Phi(a)$. Combining this with Theorem 2, we thus conclude

THEOREM 4. Let M be countably decomposable of type III. For every $a = a^* \in M$ there is a $z = z(a)$ in the centre Z of M with

$$\Phi(f, a, M^u) = f(z). \quad (10)$$

If X is a M^u -set of M^+ and X_Z the restrictions on Z of its elements, then every upper-continuous and convex function F on X_Z can be uniquely extended to a M^u -function on X by the prescription

$$\Psi(f) = F(\bar{f}). \quad (11)$$

Here \bar{f} denotes the restriction on Z of the linear form $f \in X \subseteq M^+$. We now turn to a countably decomposable type I_n ($1 \leq n \leq \infty$) W^* -algebra M . If R_n is a factor of type I_n and if Z is the centre of M , one knows [8] that M is $*$ -isomorphic to $Z \otimes I_n$, which may be identified with M in an obvious way. The elements of the form

$$a = \sum z_j \otimes a_j, \quad \text{finite sum} \quad (12)$$

with mutually orthogonal central projections z_j and elements $a_j \in I_n$ having spectra consisting of finitely many points only, provide us with a norm-dense subset of the set of Hermitian elements of M . Hence $g \prec f \text{rel } M^u$ for two elements of M^+ iff condition (iii) of Theorem 1 is true for all elements of the form (12). With unitary $u_j \in I_n$, the element

$$u = \sum z_j \otimes u_j + (e - \sum z_j) \otimes 1_n$$

is unitary in M and gives, applied to (12),

$$a^u = \sum z_j \otimes a_j^{u_j}.$$

On the other hand, every unitary automorphism of M may be represented in this way for a given element of the form (12). Therefore, with the notation above and $f \in M^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f, a, M^u) &= \sum \Phi(f_j, a_j, I_n^u), \\ f_j(a) &= f(z_j \otimes a), \quad a \in I_n. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

There are finitely many projections q_{j_s} with $q_{j_i} \leq q_{j_{i+1}}$ and $a_j = \sum \mu_{j_s} q_{j_s}$ as indicated by (7), (8) and (9). We may assume (possibly, after adding a multiple of the identity) that $a \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{j_1} \geq \lambda_{j_2} \geq \dots \geq 0$. Since $\mu_{j_i} \geq 0$, we are allowed to apply a result of Alberti [1] showing that

$$\Phi(f_j, a_j, I_n^u) = \sum \mu_{j_s} \Phi(f_j, q_{j_s}, I_n^u), \quad (14)$$

$$\Phi(f_j, q_{j_i}, I_n^u) = \Phi(f, z_j \otimes q_{j_i}, M^u). \quad (15)$$

In the case $q_{j_i} \sim e$ in I_n one can show [8] (see also Lemma 2) that (15) equals $\Phi(f_j, e, I_n^u) = f(z)$. Using the fact [8] that every type I W^* -algebra is the direct sum of W^* -algebras of type I, we can summarize the arguments above as follows:

THEOREM 5. *Let M be a countably decomposable W^* -algebra of type I. The linear functional f is more M^u -chaotic than the positive linear form g if and only if (1) $f(z) = g(z)$ for all central elements of M . (2) $\Phi(f, p, M^u) \leq \Phi(g, p, M^u)$ for all projection operators $p \in M$, which may be represented as a finite sum of mutually orthogonal Abelian projectors.*

We see further by (13) to (15), that for every $a \geq 0$ of the form (12), $\Phi(f, a, M^u)$ is a positive linear combination of functions of the form $\Phi(f, p, M^u)$, p being a projector. If p is an infinite sum of mutually orthogonal Abelian projectors having the same central support z , then $\Phi(f, p, M^u) = f(z)$. Combining this with Theorem 2, we get

THEOREM 6. *Let M be a countably decomposable type I W^* -algebra. Every M^u -function on a compact M^u -subset of M^+ is the supremum of functions of the form*

$$f \rightarrow \sum \mu_j \Phi(f, p_j, M^u) + f(z),$$

where z is a central element, $\mu > 0$ and every p_j is a finite sum of mutually orthogonal Abelian projectors.

We may rewrite Theorem 5 in another interesting form. Let us denote by K the norm-closed ideal of M generated by its Abelian projectors. If f_K and f_Z denote the restrictions of f onto K and Z , one sees from Theorem 5 that $g \prec f \text{rel } M^u$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} f_Z &= g_Z, \\ f_K &\succ g_K \text{rel } K^{\text{aut}}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It is to be seen that the second condition refers to the normal parts and thus the first condition is essentially a condition for the singular parts of the functionals.

In the special case $M = I_\infty$ we can express, following Alberti and Wehrl, the second condition of (16) in a simple way: There are operators σ, ϱ of the trace class with

$$g_K(a) = \text{Sp}(a\sigma), \quad f_K(a) = \text{Sp}(a\varrho).$$

Then the mentioned equivalent condition is

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$ resp. $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots$ denote the eigenvalues of ϱ resp. σ . Namely, by a theorem of Ky Fan

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_m = \sup f(p^u), \quad \dim p = m.$$

It is an open question whether similar theorems for algebras of type II hold. It seems natural to suggest that the following conjectures are true for general W^* -algebras: Conjecture 1: For positive f the function $\Phi(f, p, M^u)$ depends only on the equivalence class of the projector p . Conjecture 2: g is more M^u -chaotic than f for two positive linear forms of M if and only if for all projections p

$$\Phi(f, p, M^u) \geq \Phi(g, p, M^u).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Alberti, P. M., preprint KMU-HEP-7305, Leipzig, 1973.
- [2] —, Thesis, Leipzig, 1973.
- [3] Dixmier, J., *Les C^* -algebres et leurs representations*, Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1964.
- [4] Kossakowski, A., Rep. Math. Phys. **3** (1972), 247.
- [5] Lassner, G., and G. A. Lassner, preprint E2-7537, Dubna, 1973.
- [6] Meyer, P. A., *Probability and Potentials*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, Massachusetts, Toronto, London, 1966; Russian translation "Mir", Moscow, 1973.
- [7] Neumark, M. A., *Normierte Algebren*, VEB Verlag Wissensch., Berlin, 1959.
- [8] Sakai, S., *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, NY-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1970.
- [9] Uhlmann, A., Wiss. Z. KMU, Leipzig, MNR **20** (1971), 633.
- [10] —, *ibid.* **21** (1972), 421.
- [11] —, *ibid.* **22** (1973), 139.
- [12] Wehrl, A., preprint, Wien, 1972.