Лясснер Г., Ульман А. E2-3583

О точных представлениях алгебры основных функций

В работе показано, что топологическая * -алгебра основных функций обладает точным представлением в некоторой алгебре (неограниченных) операторов в сепарабельном гильбертовом пространстве.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна, 1967.

Lassner G., Uhlmann A. E2-3583

Faithful Representations of Algebras of Test Functions

It is shown that the topological * -algebra of test functions for Wightman fields can be faithfully represented as an algebra of (unbounded) operators in a separable Hilbert space.

Preprint. Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna, 1967.

ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ ИНСТИТУТ ЯДЕРНЫХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ ДУБНА

E2 - 3583

ЛАБОРАТОРИЯ ТЕОРЕТИЧЕСКОЙ ФИЗИКИ

G.Lassner A.Uhlmann

FAITHFUL REPRESENTATIONS OF ALGEBRAS OF TEST FUNCTIONS

1967

1. Introduction and Definitions

This article is a continuation of a recent paper /1/ about the topological $*$-algebra of test functions /2,3/ in the Wightman axiomatical quantum theory. The results are obtained for a more general class of "test functions" algebras R. It is shown that the topological $*$-algebras R are reduced (semisimple, in the sense of Rickart) and consequently, they can be faithfully represented as an algebra of (unbounded) operators in a Hilbert space H. If the topological algebra R is separable so the representation space H can be chosen separable, too.

We repeat the definitions of /1/. The topological $*$-algebra is the topological direct sum $R = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n$, where $R_0 = C$ is the field of complex numbers and R_n for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ is a locally convex linear topological space (over the complex field) of complex-valued functions on $M^{(n)} = M \times \ldots \times M$ (n times). M is a point set (the Minkowski space, for example). We assume $\|a_n\|_0 = \sup_{x_1, \ldots, x_n \in M} |a_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|$ to be a continuous norm on the topological space R_n. The multiplication in R for two elements $a = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n$ and $b = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n$ is defined by $a \cdot b = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a b)_n$ with $(a \cdot b)_n = \sum_{k+l=n} a_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k)\, b_l(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ and the $*$-operation is defined by $a^* = \sum_{n \geq 0} (a^*)_n$ with $(a^*)_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \bar{a}_n(x_n, \ldots, x_1)$ (the bar labbels the complex conjugate function). The existence of $a \cdot b$ and a^* in R and the continuity of these operations are assumed. With these operations R is a topological $*$-algebra over the complex field. In the usual cases in /2,3/, where $R_n = S(M^n)$ resp. $D(M^n)$ (the well-known Schwartz' spaces) and M is the Minkowski space, all assumptions are satisfied.

For two sequences $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots$ and $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots$ of positive numbers we define in R the norm $\| a \| = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n \| a_{2n} \|_0 + \sum_{n \geq 0} \beta_n \| a_{2n+1} \|_0$. Beside the basic-topology, this means the direct-sum topology, we regard in R a second topology defined by the norm $\| \ \|$ which is called the norm-topology in R. With respect to this topology R becomes a normed linear space, but not a normed algebra. This norm-topology is weaker than the topology in R, defined by the topological direct sum.

Let K_0 be the convex cover of the set of elements a^*a for $a \in R$. It is easy to see that K_0 is a cone in R, i.e. for k, $k' \in K_0$ and two arbitrary positive numbers s, t it is $sk + tk' \in K_0$ and if $k \in K_0$ and $k \neq 0$ then $-k \notin K_0$.

In /1/, Theorem 1, it is proved the following

Lemma 1

The sequence $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots$ in the definition of the norm $\| \ \|$ can be chosen so that in R the topological closure $K_{\| \|}$ of K_0 with respect to the norm $\| \ \|$ is a cone. Consequently, the topological closure $\bar{K}_0$ of K_0 in R with respect to the direct-sum topology is a cone, too, because $\bar{K}_0 \subset K_{\| \|}$ holds.

The sequence $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots$ can be chosen arbitrary positive. In the following $\| \ \|$ is always the norm from Lemma 1.

2. Positive Functionals on R

Theorem 1

For each $b \in R$, $b \neq 0$, there exists a positive continuous linear functional $W_b(a)$ on R with $W_b(b) \neq 0$ and $W_b(k) \geq 0$ for $k \in K_{\| \|}$. For $b \in K_{\| \|}$ the functional $W_b(a)$ can be chosen so that $|W_b(a)| \leq \| a \|$ holds. Consequently, the topological $*$-algebra R is reduced(/4/, p.270).

Proof:

Let first $b \neq 0$ be an element of $K_{\| \|}$. It is $0 \notin b + K_{\| \|}$, because $K_{\| \|}$ is a cone. Further let $U = \{ u : \| u \| < \delta \}$ be such a neighbourhood of the origin, that $U \cap (b + K_{\| \|}) = \emptyset$ holds. Now we define $L = \{ i(k_1 - k_2) : k_1, k_2 \in K_{\| \|}, \ i^2 = -1 \}$ and $K_1 = \{ k + sb + su : k \in K_{\| \|}, s \geq 0, u \in U \}$. L is a real linear space in R and K_1 a cone with the interior point b and we find $L \cap K_1 = \{0\}$ (the origin). For if $a = i(k_1 - k_2) = k + sb + su \in L \cap K_1$, $k_1, k_2, k \in K_{\| \|}$, $u \in U$, $s \geq 0$, it follows $a^* = -a$, i.e. $k + sb + su^* = -k - sb - su$ and finally $k + sb + su_1 = 0$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{2}(u^* + u) \in U$. If $s > 0$, then it would be $\frac{1}{s} k + b \in U$ and this is a contradiction to the construction of U. Therefore we have $s = 0$ and consequently $k = 0$, too, i.e. $a = 0$.

Now we use

Lemma 2 (Mazur S.)

Let K be a convex set with an interior point b in a real locally convex space R and L a linear subspace of R which does not contain an interior point of K. Then there exists a linear continuous functional $f(a)$ on R with $f(k) \geq 0$ for $k \in K$, $f(b) > 0$ and $f(a) = 0$ for $a \in L$ /5/.

If we regard R as a normed linear space over the real field, it follows from this Lemma the existence of a real linear continuous functional $f(a)$ on R with $f(a)=0$ for $a \in L$, $f(k) \geq 0$ for $k \in K_1$ and $f(b)>0$. Then $W_b(a)=f(a)-i\,f(ia)$ is a linear functional on the complex linear space R, continuous with respect to the norm-topology in R and it holds $W_b(b) \neq 0$ and $W_b(k)=f(k)-i\,f(ik) = f(k) \geq 0$ for $k \in K_{\|\ \|}$, because $ik \in L$. This implies $W_b(a)$ is a positive functional on the algebra R. Evidently, we can choose $W_b(a)$ so that $|W_b(a)| \leq \|a\|$ holds. Of course, these functionals are continuous with respect to the basic-topology in R, too.

Because for every $b=a^*a \in K_{\|\ \|}$ a positive functional $W_b(a)$ with $W_b(b) \neq 0$ exists if $b \neq 0$, there exists such a functional for an arbitray $b \neq 0$ of R (/4/ p. 271) which is continuous with respect to the basic-topology. In general, it is not continuous with respect to the norm $\|\ \|$.

Corollary:

The set $\{W_b : b \in K_{\|\ \|}\}$ of these positive functionals is a relatively bicompact set in the weak topology in R' (the dual space of R).

The Corollary follows from well-known facts /6/, because for these functionals $|W_b(a)| \leq \|a\|$ holds and, consequently, they are equicontinuous.

3. Faithful Representations of R

Theorem 2

The topological $*$-algebra R can be faithfully represented as a $*$-algebra of (unbounded) operators in a Hilbert space H.

If the algebra R is separable, the Hilbert space H can be chosen separable, too.

Remark:

In the usual cases for Wightman fields, where R is the tensor algebra over S (or D) /2,3/, i.e. $R_n = S^{4n}$ (or D^{4n}), R is separable.

Let us first recall the definition of a faithful representation.

Definition: A representation of a topological $*$-algebra R as (unbounded) operators in a Hilbert space H is given, if for every $a \in R$ there is a linear operator $A(a)$ in the Hilbert space H so that

1. for all $a \in R$ the domain $D(A(a)) = D$ is the same dense subspace of H and D is invariant for all $A(a)$, $A(a)D \subset D$, and it holds $D(A(a)^*) \supset D$.

2. for $a, b \in R$ and $\phi \in D$ it holds $A(ab)\phi = A(a)A(b)\phi$, $A(\alpha a + \beta b)\phi = \alpha A(a)\phi + \beta A(b)\phi$ and $A(a^*)\phi = A(a)^*\phi$.

3. $(A(a)\phi, \psi)$ with $\phi, \psi \in D$ is a continuous function on R.

The representation $A(a)$ is said to be faithful if $a \to A(a)$ is an one-to-one mapping.

Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a system of positive functionals on R such that for each $a \in R$, $a \neq 0$, in $\mathfrak{F}$ one can find a positive functional $W \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $W(a^*a) \neq 0$. By Theorem 1 such a system $\mathfrak{F}$ exists for R. Then for each positive functional $W \in \mathfrak{F}$ by the Neumark-Gelfand-Segal construction there exists a cyclic representation $A_W(a)$ of R in a Hilbert space H_W with an invariant domain D_W and a cyclic vector ϕ_W. For this representation it holds $W(a^*a) = \|A_W(a)\phi_W\|^2$. Let $A(a) = \bigoplus_{W \in \mathfrak{F}} A_W(a)$ be the direct sum all these representations $A_W(a)$. This is a representation of R in the Hilbert space $H = \bigoplus_{W \in \mathfrak{F}} H_W$ with the invariant domain $D = \sum_{W \in \mathfrak{F}} D_W$. This representation $A(a)$ is faithful, because for each $a \neq 0$ there exists a $W \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $\|A(a)\phi_W\|^2 = \|A_W(a)\phi_W\|^2 = W(a^*a) \neq 0$.

Now we must yet prove the second assertion of the Theorem. If the algebra R is separable so the Hilbert spaces H_W are separable, too. Consequently, the second assertion would be proved, if for a separable R the system $\mathfrak{F}$ could be chosen countable. To prove this we use the

Lemma 2

If X is a separable linear topological space and G a bicompact set in the weak topology in X' (the dual space of X), then the weak topology in G can be given by a metric /6/.

Let G be the weak closure of the set $\{ W_b : b \in K_{\| \|} \}$. G contains only positive functionals and by the Corollary to Theorem 1 G is bicompact in the weak topology in R'. In consequence of the last Lemma, G is a bicompact metric space and therefore separable. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a countable dense subset of G, then $\mathfrak{F}$ has the desired properties.

References

1. G.Lassner, A.Uhlmann. On Positive Functionals on Algebras of Test Functions for Quantum Fields. Dubna preprint, Comm. in Math.Phys., to appear.
2. H.J.Borchers. On the Structure of the Algebra of Field Operators. Nuovo Cimento, 24, 214 (1962).
3. A.Uhlmann. Über die Definition der Quantenfelder nach Wightman und Haag.Wiss. Z.Karl-Marx- Univ. Leipzig 11, 2, 213 (1962).
4. M.A.Neumark. Normierte Algebren. Berlin, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften 1959.
5. M.M.Day. Normed Linear Space. ch.I, §6, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1958.

6. N.Dunford, J.T.Schwartz. Linear Operators, I. ch.V New York-London, Interscience Publishers.

Received by Publishing Department
on November 4, 1967.