

**Student Seminar** 

#### Stefan Söffing Sebastian Eggert

# Electronic properties of a harmonically confined 1D Hubbard model









July 21, 2010





### Electronic properties of a harmonically confined 1D Hubbard model

### Cold gases and the Hubbard model

#### Results: Homogeneous part

#### Results: Oscillations

July 21, 2010





- Aim: Study the behavior of correlated electrons in low dimensional condensed matter systems
- Artificial crystal of light: Optical lattice
- Load with ultracold atoms



July 21, 2010





- Tune hopping and interaction as needed
- Choose lattive geometry and <u>dimension</u>



I. Bloch, Nature Physics 1, 23-30 (2005)

July 21, 2010





#### • Detection of particles:





www.physik.uni-kl.de/ott

www.quantum-munich.de

July 21, 2010



# 1D Hubbard model

> 1D system of interacting fermions; quantum wire



$$H = -t\sum_{j,\sigma} \left( \psi_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} \psi_{j+1,\sigma} + \psi_{j+1,\sigma}^{\dagger} \psi_{j,\sigma} \right) + U\sum_{j} n_{j,\uparrow} n_{j,\downarrow}$$

- Kinetic energy: Hopping parameter t
- Coulomb repulsion: On-site interaction U
- Fixed band filling n

July 21, 2010 Elec





• But: Experiments are carried out in a <u>trap</u>:



$$H = -t\sum_{j,\sigma} \left(\psi_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger}\psi_{j+1,\sigma} + \psi_{j+1,\sigma}^{\dagger}\psi_{j,\sigma}\right) + U\sum_{j} n_{j,\uparrow}n_{j,\downarrow} + \sum_{j} \left(j - \frac{L+1}{2}\right)^2 n_j$$

> which effects has the additional potential?

July 21, 2010





### Electronic properties of a harmonically confined 1D Hubbard model

#### Cold gases and the Hubbard model

#### Results: Homogeneous part

#### Results: Oscillations

July 21, 2010



Observable: <u>Electronic density</u>



July 21, 2010



- *Reference*: Numerical calculation: <u>DMRG</u> (Density Matrix Renormalization Group)
  - Quasi exact (controllable error)
  - Arbitrary potential
  - Arbitrary interaction



Observable: <u>Electronic density</u>



July 21, 2010



- *Reference*: Numerical calculation: <u>DMRG</u> (Density Matrix Renormalization Group)
  - Quasi exact (controllable error)
  - Arbitrary potential
  - Arbitrary interaction
  - Computationally expensive for large systems
- Aim: Analytical expression for the density



• U=0: Thomas-Fermi approach

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial n_0} = \mu$ 

at each point, with  $\mu = \mu(x)$ 

July 21, 2010



• U=0: Thomas-Fermi approach

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial n_0} = \mu$ 

July 21, 2010

at each point, with  $\mu = \mu(x)$ 

• 
$$\Rightarrow n_0(x) = \frac{k_F^{(0)}}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - (x/L_F)^2}$$

with classical turning points  $L_F = \sqrt{\frac{2N-1}{\omega}}$ 



- *U*>0: **Repulsive** interaction:
  - broadening
  - deformation
- Adjust the profile

$$n_0(x) \propto \left[1 - (x/L_F)^2\right]^{\alpha}$$

with fit parameters  $L_F$ ,  $\alpha \approx 0.5$ 

July 21, 2010



- Can we determine the broadening and deformation of the cloud directly?
- → Make use of exact solution: <u>Bethe Ansatz</u>
  - Analytical result
  - For arbitrary interaction

July 21, 2010



July 21, 2010

# Density in the trap

- Can we determine the broadening and deformation of the cloud directly?
- → Make use of exact solution: <u>Bethe Ansatz</u>
  - Analytical result
  - For arbitrary interaction
  - Coupled integral equations
  - Homogeneous system, thermodynamic limit
- → Idea: Local Bethe Ansatz



#### Local Bethe Ansatz



July 21, 2010



#### Local Bethe Ansatz

Solve auxiliary system for each lattice point



July 21, 2010



#### Local Bethe Ansatz

Solve auxiliary system for each lattice point



July 21, 2010





July 21, 2010





July 21, 2010





July 21, 2010



• Density in trap center:



July 21, 2010





### Electronic properties of a harmonically confined 1D Hubbard model

#### Cold gases and the Hubbard model

#### Results: Homogeneous part

#### Results: Oscillations

July 21, 2010 Electronic pr



### Oscillations:

- Known from homogeneous case:
  - U = 0: Friedel oscillations
  - >  $U \rightarrow \infty$ : Wigner crystal oscillations
- On top of the slowly varying part



### **Oscillations:**



July 21, 2010



### **Oscillations**:



July 21, 2010



### **Oscillations:**

• 
$$\delta n(x) = A_1 \frac{\cos\left(2\tilde{k}_F(x)x\right)}{\left[1 - (x/L_F)^2\right]^{K_1}} + A_2 \frac{\cos\left(4\tilde{k}_F(x)x\right)}{\left[1 - (x/L_F)^2\right]^{K_2}}$$

Position dependent Fermi wave vector

$$2\tilde{k}_F(x) = \frac{2\pi}{x} \int_0^x n_0(y) \, dy = k_F^{(0)} \left[ Z(x) + L_F/x \, \sin\left(x/L_F\right) \right]$$
$$k_F^{(0)} = \alpha \sqrt{2N - 1}$$

July 21, 2010



### **Oscillations:**

• 
$$\delta n(x) = A_1 \frac{\cos\left(2\tilde{k}_F(x)x\right)}{\left[1 - (x/L_F)^2\right]^{K_1}} + A_2 \frac{\cos\left(4\tilde{k}_F(x)x\right)}{\left[1 - (x/L_F)^2\right]^{K_2}}$$

Position dependent Fermi wave vector

$$2\tilde{k}_F(x) = \frac{2\pi}{x} \int_0^x n_0(y) \, dy = k_F^{(0)} \left[ Z(x) + L_F/x \, \sin\left(x/L_F\right) \right]$$
$$k_F^{(0)} = \alpha \sqrt{2N - 1}$$

- Unknown amplitude and exponent
- Fit parameters  $A_{1,2}, K_{1,2}, L_F, k_F$

July 21, 2010





July 21, 2010





July 21, 2010





July 21, 2010





- More electronic properties: (Local) Density of States
  - Tunneling experiments
  - Photoemission
  - Probe single particle wavefunctions



### Conclusions

- Slowly varying part of the density:
  - Fit (Thomas-Fermi approach) vs.
  - Local Bethe Ansatz (fails at intermediate U)
- Oscillations described by analytical expression (adapted from homogeneous case)
- Crossover from Friedel into Wigner crystal regime well described within a trap