Wilson loops in very high order lattice perturbation theory

E.-M. Ilgenfritz¹, Y. Nakamura², H. Perlt³, P.E.L. Rakow⁴, G. Schierholz⁵ and A. Schiller³

¹Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, ²Universität Regensburg, ³Universität Leipzig, ⁴University Liverpool, ⁵DESY

CompPhys09, Leipzig, November 2009

Wilson loops and NSPT

Introduction

The Langevin equation

- Langevin equation for lattice QCD
- Perturbative Langevin equation
- Computer implementation of NSPT

3 Perturbative series at large order

- Heuristic model
- Boosted perturbation theory

Gluon condensate

Introduction

2 The Langevin equation

- Langevin equation for lattice QCD
- Perturbative Langevin equation
- Computer implementation of NSPT

Perturbative series at large order

- Heuristic model
- Boosted perturbation theory

4 Gluon condensate

Introduction

The Langevin equation

- Langevin equation for lattice QCD
- Perturbative Langevin equation
- Computer implementation of NSPT

Perturbative series at large order

- Heuristic model
- Boosted perturbation theory

Gluon condensate

Introduction

The Langevin equation

- Langevin equation for lattice QCD
- Perturbative Langevin equation
- Computer implementation of NSPT

Perturbative series at large order

- Heuristic model
- Boosted perturbation theory

Gluon condensate

Introduction

The Langevin equation

- Langevin equation for lattice QCD
- Perturbative Langevin equation
- Computer implementation of NSPT

Perturbative series at large order

- Heuristic model
- Boosted perturbation theory

Gluon condensate

Motivation I

- 1978: Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov introduced the non-perturbative gluon condensate $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$
- Lattice gauge theory provides a promising tool to calculate it from Wilson loops.
- In the early 80th first computations : Plaquette(1981 Banks et al., DiGiacomo and Rossi), larger Wilson loops(1981/1982 Kripfganz et al., Ilgenfritz et al.)

 $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$ is conventionally derived using the plaquette *P* from the relation

$$P_{MC} = P_{pert} - a^4 \frac{\pi^2}{36} \left[\frac{-b_0 g^2}{\beta(g)} \right] \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} GG \rangle,$$

 $\rightarrow P_{pert}$ is needed to very high order in LPT!

- < 🗇 > < 🖻 > < 🖻

Motivation I

- 1978: Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov introduced the non-perturbative gluon condensate $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$
- Lattice gauge theory provides a promising tool to calculate it from Wilson loops.
- In the early 80th first computations : Plaquette(1981 Banks et al., DiGiacomo and Rossi), larger Wilson loops(1981/1982 Kripfganz et al., llgenfritz et al.)

 $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$ is conventionally derived using the plaquette *P* from the relation

$$P_{MC} = P_{pert} - a^4 \frac{\pi^2}{36} \left[\frac{-b_0 g^2}{\beta(g)} \right] \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} GG \rangle,$$

 $\rightarrow P_{pert}$ is needed to very high order in LPT!

Motivation I

- 1978: Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov introduced the non-perturbative gluon condensate $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$
- Lattice gauge theory provides a promising tool to calculate it from Wilson loops.
- In the early 80th first computations : Plaquette(1981 Banks et al., DiGiacomo and Rossi), larger Wilson loops(1981/1982 Kripfganz et al., Ilgenfritz et al.)

 $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$ is conventionally derived using the plaquette *P* from the relation

$$P_{MC} = P_{pert} - a^4 rac{\pi^2}{36} \left[rac{-b_0 g^2}{eta(g)}
ight] \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} GG
angle,$$

 $\rightarrow P_{pert}$ is needed to very high order in LPT!

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Motivation I

- 1978: Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov introduced the non-perturbative gluon condensate $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$
- Lattice gauge theory provides a promising tool to calculate it from Wilson loops.
- In the early 80th first computations : Plaquette(1981 Banks et al., DiGiacomo and Rossi), larger Wilson loops(1981/1982 Kripfganz et al., Ilgenfritz et al.)

 $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$ is conventionally derived using the plaquette *P* from the relation

$$P_{MC} = P_{pert} - a^4 rac{\pi^2}{36} \left[rac{-b_0 g^2}{eta(g)}
ight] \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} GG
angle,$$

 $\rightarrow P_{pert}$ is needed to very high order in LPT!

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Motivation I

- 1978: Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov introduced the non-perturbative gluon condensate $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$
- Lattice gauge theory provides a promising tool to calculate it from Wilson loops.
- In the early 80th first computations : Plaquette(1981 Banks et al., DiGiacomo and Rossi), larger Wilson loops(1981/1982 Kripfganz et al., Ilgenfritz et al.)

 $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$ is conventionally derived using the plaquette *P* from the relation

$$P_{MC} = P_{pert} - a^4 rac{\pi^2}{36} \left[rac{-b_0 g^2}{eta(g)}
ight] \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} GG
angle \, ,$$

 $\rightarrow P_{pert}$ is needed to very high order in LPT!

Motivation I

- 1978: Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov introduced the non-perturbative gluon condensate $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$
- Lattice gauge theory provides a promising tool to calculate it from Wilson loops.
- In the early 80th first computations : Plaquette(1981 Banks et al., DiGiacomo and Rossi), larger Wilson loops(1981/1982 Kripfganz et al., Ilgenfritz et al.)

 $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$ is conventionally derived using the plaquette *P* from the relation

$$P_{MC} = P_{pert} - a^4 rac{\pi^2}{36} \left[rac{-b_0 g^2}{eta(g)}
ight] \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} GG
angle ,$$

 $\rightarrow P_{pert}$ is needed to very high order in LPT!

• General interest in the behavior of perturbative series in QCD:

$$Q(n^{\star})\sim\sum_{n}^{n^{\star}}a_{n}\lambda^{n},$$

• Series are asymptotic, and assumed that for large *n* the leading growth of the coefficients *a_n* can be parametrized

$$a_n \sim C_1 (C_2)^n \Gamma(n+C_3),$$

 NSPT → orders (n^{*})of the perturbative series where a possible set-in of this assumed behavior can be tested.

• General interest in the behavior of perturbative series in QCD:

$$Q(n^{\star})\sim \sum_{n}^{n^{\star}}a_{n}\lambda^{n},$$

• Series are asymptotic, and assumed that for large *n* the leading growth of the coefficients *a_n* can be parametrized

$$a_n \sim \ C_1 \left(C_2 \right)^n \Gamma(n+C_3) \, ,$$

 NSPT → orders (n^{*})of the perturbative series where a possible set-in of this assumed behavior can be tested.

• General interest in the behavior of perturbative series in QCD:

$$Q(n^{\star})\sim \sum_{n}^{n^{\star}}a_{n}\lambda^{n},$$

• Series are asymptotic, and assumed that for large *n* the leading growth of the coefficients *a_n* can be parametrized

$$a_n \sim \ C_1 \left(C_2 \right)^n \Gamma(n+C_3) \,,$$

 NSPT → orders (n^{*})of the perturbative series where a possible set-in of this assumed behavior can be tested.

- Standard diagrammatic approach in LPT is restricted essentially to two-loop
- Di Renzo et al. formulated the so-called Numerical Stochastic Perturbation Theory (NSPT)
- Based on the Langevin quantization method of Parisi/Wu
- NSPT drops the concept of Feynman diagrams uses the action with the corresponding perturbative expansion of fields
- $\bullet\,$ There was a talk of A. Schiller at CompPhys07 about NSPT $\to\,$ assume that all know the details

< 同 > < 国 > < 国 >

- Standard diagrammatic approach in LPT is restricted essentially to two-loop
- Di Renzo et al. formulated the so-called Numerical Stochastic Perturbation Theory (NSPT)
- Based on the Langevin quantization method of Parisi/Wu
- NSPT drops the concept of Feynman diagrams uses the action with the corresponding perturbative expansion of fields
- $\bullet\,$ There was a talk of A. Schiller at CompPhys07 about NSPT $\to\,$ assume that all know the details

< 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

- Standard diagrammatic approach in LPT is restricted essentially to two-loop
- Di Renzo et al. formulated the so-called Numerical Stochastic Perturbation Theory (NSPT)
- Based on the Langevin quantization method of Parisi/Wu
- NSPT drops the concept of Feynman diagrams uses the action with the corresponding perturbative expansion of fields
- $\bullet\,$ There was a talk of A. Schiller at CompPhys07 about NSPT $\to\,$ assume that all know the details

- Standard diagrammatic approach in LPT is restricted essentially to two-loop
- Di Renzo et al. formulated the so-called Numerical Stochastic Perturbation Theory (NSPT)
- Based on the Langevin quantization method of Parisi/Wu
- NSPT drops the concept of Feynman diagrams uses the action with the corresponding perturbative expansion of fields
- There was a talk of A. Schiller at CompPhys07 about NSPT \rightarrow assume that all know the details

- Standard diagrammatic approach in LPT is restricted essentially to two-loop
- Di Renzo et al. formulated the so-called Numerical Stochastic Perturbation Theory (NSPT)
- Based on the Langevin quantization method of Parisi/Wu
- NSPT drops the concept of Feynman diagrams uses the action with the corresponding perturbative expansion of fields
- There was a talk of A. Schiller at CompPhys07 about NSPT \rightarrow assume that all know the details

Langevin equation for lattice QCD

Use Euclidean lattice Langevin equation with "time" t

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(t;\eta) = \mathrm{i} \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{x},\mu} S_{\mathbf{G}}[U] - \eta_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(t) \right) \ U_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(t;\eta)$$

 $\eta = \eta^a T^a$ random field with Gaussian distribution $\nabla_{x,\mu}$ left Lie derivative on the group

For $t \to \infty$ link gauge fields U are distributed according to measure $\exp(-S_G[U])$

Discretise $t = n \epsilon$ Get solution at next time step n + 1 in the Euler scheme

 $U_{x,\mu}(n+1;\eta) = \exp(F_{x,\mu}[U,\eta]) \ U_{x,\mu}(n;\eta)$

$$F_{x,\mu}[U,\eta] = \mathrm{i}\left(\epsilon \nabla_{x,\mu} S_G[U] + \sqrt{\epsilon} \eta_{x,\mu}\right)$$

We use the Wilson plaquette gauge action S_{c}

Talk H. Perlt (Leipzig)

Wilson loops and NSPT

Langevin equation for lattice QCD

Use Euclidean lattice Langevin equation with "time" t

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(t;\eta) = \mathrm{i} \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{x},\mu} S_{\mathbf{G}}[U] - \eta_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(t) \right) \ U_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(t;\eta)$$

 $\eta = \eta^a T^a$ random field with Gaussian distribution $\nabla_{x,\mu}$ left Lie derivative on the group

For $t \to \infty$ link gauge fields *U* are distributed according to measure $\exp(-S_G[U])$

Discretise $t = n\epsilon$ Get solution at next time step n + 1 in the Euler scheme

$$U_{x,\mu}(n+1;\eta) = \exp(F_{x,\mu}[U,\eta]) \; U_{x,\mu}(n;\eta)$$

$$F_{\mathbf{x},\mu}[\mathbf{U},\eta] = \mathrm{i}\left(\epsilon \nabla_{\mathbf{x},\mu} S_{\mathbf{G}}[\mathbf{U}] + \sqrt{\epsilon} \eta_{\mathbf{x},\mu}\right)$$

We use the Wilson plaquette gauge action S_G

Talk H. Perlt (Leipzig)

Perturbative Langevin equations I

Use that solution for perturbative expansion (DiRenzo et al.): Rescale $\varepsilon = \beta \epsilon$ and expand gauge fields *U* (and "force" *F*)

$$U_{x,\mu}(n;\eta)
ightarrow 1 + \sum_{l>0} eta^{-l/2} U^{(l)}_{x,\mu}(n;\eta)$$

Solution transforms to system of equations

$$U^{(1)}(n+1) = U^{(1)}(n) - F^{(1)}(n)$$

$$U^{(2)}(n+1) = U^{(2)}(n) - F^{(2)}(n)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (F^{(1)}(n))^2 - F^{(1)}(n) U^{(1)}(n)$$

Random noise field η enters only in $F^{(1)}$ Higher orders are stochastic via dependence on lower orders

Talk H. Perlt (Leipzig)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Perturbative Langevin equations I

Use that solution for perturbative expansion (DiRenzo et al.): Rescale $\varepsilon = \beta \epsilon$ and expand gauge fields *U* (and "force" *F*)

$$U_{x,\mu}(n;\eta) \rightarrow 1 + \sum_{l>0} \beta^{-l/2} U_{x,\mu}^{(l)}(n;\eta)$$

Solution transforms to system of equations

$$U^{(1)}(n+1) = U^{(1)}(n) - F^{(1)}(n)$$

$$U^{(2)}(n+1) = U^{(2)}(n) - F^{(2)}(n)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (F^{(1)}(n))^2 - F^{(1)}(n) U^{(1)}(n)$$

Random noise field η enters only in $F^{(1)}$ Higher orders are stochastic via dependence on lower orders

Wilson loops in NSPT

Wilson loop W_{NM} of size $N \times M$:

$$W_{NM}(n^{\star}) = \sum_{n=0}^{n^{\star}} W_{NM}^{(n)} g^n$$

(n^* denotes the maximal order of LPT) The coefficients $W_{NM}^{(n)}$ are obtained as

$$W_{NM}^{(n)} = \left\langle \sum_{n_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2(N+M)} U_{\mu_j}^{(n_i)}(x_j) \right) \delta_{(\sum n_i),n} \right\rangle$$

It must be $W_{NM}^{(n)} = 0$ for n = odd.

We expand around the trivial vacuum $U^{(0)}_{\mu}(x) = 1$.

Computational framework:

- Quenched Wilson gauge action
- NSPT up to order n = 20 for Wilson loops W_{NM}
- Lattice sizes L^4 with L = 4, ..., 12
- L = 12 on a NEC SX-9 computer of RCNP at Osaka University
- The rest on Linux/HP-clusters at Leipzig university

A (1) > A (2) > A (2)

Computational framework:

- Quenched Wilson gauge action
- NSPT up to order n = 20 for Wilson loops W_{NM}
- Lattice sizes L^4 with L = 4, ..., 12
- L = 12 on a NEC SX-9 computer of RCNP at Osaka University
- The rest on Linux/HP-clusters at Leipzig university

A (1) > A (2) > A (2)

Computational framework:

- Quenched Wilson gauge action
- NSPT up to order n = 20 for Wilson loops W_{NM}
- Lattice sizes L^4 with L = 4, ..., 12
- L = 12 on a NEC SX-9 computer of RCNP at Osaka University
- The rest on Linux/HP-clusters at Leipzig university

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 🕨

Computational framework:

- Quenched Wilson gauge action
- NSPT up to order n = 20 for Wilson loops W_{NM}
- Lattice sizes L^4 with L = 4, ..., 12
- L = 12 on a NEC SX-9 computer of RCNP at Osaka University
- The rest on Linux/HP-clusters at Leipzig university

Computational framework:

- Quenched Wilson gauge action
- NSPT up to order n = 20 for Wilson loops W_{NM}
- Lattice sizes L^4 with L = 4, ..., 12
- L = 12 on a NEC SX-9 computer of RCNP at Osaka University
- The rest on Linux/HP-clusters at Leipzig university

Perturbative coefficients for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

For L = 12 we get for some moderate Wilsonloop sizes



Image: A math

- In order to extract quantities like $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$ the perturbative series should be known as precise as possible.
- Is there a factorial behaviour of the perturbative coefficients?
- Up to now: calculations for Wilsonloops up to order n = 10 (Di
- Our investigation: Order n = 20 of LPT for various sizes of W_{NM}
- Is n = 20 "sufficient" or do we need some kind of extrapolation
- Do we observe a deviation from the assumed a^4 -behaviour of

(4 同) (4 回) (4 回)

- In order to extract quantities like (^α/_π G G) the perturbative series should be known as precise as possible.
- Is there a factorial behaviour of the perturbative coefficients?
- Up to now: calculations for Wilsonloops up to order n = 10 (Di Renzo et al.) and up to n = 16 (Rakow, plaquette)
- Our investigation: Order n = 20 of LPT for various sizes of W_{NM}
- Is *n* = 20 "sufficient" or do we need some kind of extrapolation formulae?
- Do we observe a deviation from the assumed a^4 -behaviour of $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$?

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

- In order to extract quantities like (^α/_π G G) the perturbative series should be known as precise as possible.
- Is there a factorial behaviour of the perturbative coefficients?
- Up to now: calculations for Wilsonloops up to order n = 10 (Di Renzo et al.) and up to n = 16 (Rakow, plaquette)
- Our investigation: Order n = 20 of LPT for various sizes of W_{NM}
- Is *n* = 20 "sufficient" or do we need some kind of extrapolation formulae?
- Do we observe a deviation from the assumed a^4 -behaviour of $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

- In order to extract quantities like (^α/_π G G) the perturbative series should be known as precise as possible.
- Is there a factorial behaviour of the perturbative coefficients?
- Up to now: calculations for Wilsonloops up to order n = 10 (Di Renzo et al.) and up to n = 16 (Rakow, plaquette)
- Our investigation: Order n = 20 of LPT for various sizes of W_{NM}
- Is n = 20 "sufficient" or do we need some kind of extrapolation formulae?
- Do we observe a deviation from the assumed a^4 -behaviour of $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

- In order to extract quantities like (^α/_π G G) the perturbative series should be known as precise as possible.
- Is there a factorial behaviour of the perturbative coefficients?
- Up to now: calculations for Wilsonloops up to order n = 10 (Di Renzo et al.) and up to n = 16 (Rakow, plaquette)
- Our investigation: Order n = 20 of LPT for various sizes of W_{NM}
- Is n = 20 "sufficient" or do we need some kind of extrapolation formulae?
- Do we observe a deviation from the assumed a^4 -behaviour of $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$?

- In order to extract quantities like (^α/_π G G) the perturbative series should be known as precise as possible.
- Is there a factorial behaviour of the perturbative coefficients?
- Up to now: calculations for Wilsonloops up to order n = 10 (Di Renzo et al.) and up to n = 16 (Rakow, plaquette)
- Our investigation: Order n = 20 of LPT for various sizes of W_{NM}
- Is n = 20 "sufficient" or do we need some kind of extrapolation formulae?
- Do we observe a deviation from the assumed a^4 -behaviour of $\langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle$?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Heuristic model

Extended HRS model

Question: Can one find a functional form F(g) for the behaviour of the Wilsonloops?

$$F(g) = \sum_{n=1} c_n g^{2n} \quad
ightarrow \quad r_n = rac{c_n}{c_{n-1}}$$

We found a hypergeometric functional form

$$W_{NM,pert} \sim {}_{2}F_{1}(1-\rho_{1},1-\rho_{2};1+s;ug^{2})$$

Taylor epansion in g results in the ratio r_n

$$r_n = u \, \frac{(n-\rho_1)(n-\rho_2)}{n(n+s)}$$

generalization of an older HRS (Horsley, Rakow, Schierholz) formula

Talk H. Perlt (Leipzig)

Extended HRS model

Question: Can one find a functional form F(g) for the behaviour of the Wilsonloops?

$$F(g) = \sum_{n=1} c_n g^{2n} \quad
ightarrow \quad r_n = rac{c_n}{c_{n-1}}$$

We found a hypergeometric functional form

$$W_{NM,pert} \sim {}_{2}F_{1}(1-
ho_{1},1-
ho_{2};1+s;ug^{2})$$

Taylor epansion in g results in the ratio r_n

$$r_n = u \, \frac{(n-\rho_1)(n-\rho_2)}{n(n+s)}$$

generalization of an older HRS (Horsley, Rakow, Schierholz) formula

Talk H. Perlt (Leipzig)

Heuristic model

Extended HRS model

Question: Can one find a functional form F(g) for the behaviour of the Wilsonloops?

$$F(g) = \sum_{n=1} c_n g^{2n} \quad
ightarrow \quad r_n = rac{c_n}{c_{n-1}}$$

We found a hypergeometric functional form

$$W_{NM,pert} \sim {}_{2}F_{1}(1-
ho_{1},1-
ho_{2};1+s;ug^{2})$$

Taylor epansion in g results in the ratio r_n

$$r_n = u \, \frac{(n-\rho_1)(n-\rho_2)}{n(n+s)}$$

generalization of an older HRS (Horsley, Rakow, Schierholz) formula

イロト イポト イラト イラ

Domb-Sykes plot



$r_n(n)$ plot

Speculation of factorial behaviour based on renormalon inspired model (Burgio et al. (1998), see also Y. Meurice (2006))



We do not observe a factorial growth, at least in the region $n \le 20$ and for our lattice sizes.

Bare coupling constant g is a bad expansion parameter

- Redefinition into boosted coupling g_b and rearrangement of series \rightarrow better behaviour
- First application by Rakow (2005)

For the plaquette $P=W_{11}$ we define $g^2
ightarrow g_b^2=rac{g^2}{P_{pert,b}}$ and transform

$$P_{pert}(g, n^*) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^*} W_{11}^{(n)} g^{2n} \to P_{pert,b}(g_b, n^*) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^*} W_{b,11}^{(n)} g_b^{2n}$$

- Bare coupling constant g is a bad expansion parameter
- Redefinition into boosted coupling g_b and rearrangement of series
 → better behaviour
- First application by Rakow (2005)

For the plaquette $P=W_{11}$ we define $g^2
ightarrow g_b^2=rac{g^2}{P_{pert,b}}$ and transform

$$P_{pert}(g, n^*) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^*} W_{11}^{(n)} g^{2n} \to P_{pert,b}(g_b, n^*) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^*} W_{b,11}^{(n)} g_b^{2n}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Bare coupling constant g is a bad expansion parameter
- Redefinition into boosted coupling g_b and rearrangement of series
 → better behaviour
- First application by Rakow (2005)

For the plaquette $P=W_{11}$ we define $g^2
ightarrow g_b^2=rac{g^2}{P_{pert,b}}$ and transform

$$P_{pert}(g, n^*) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^*} W_{11}^{(n)} g^{2n} \to P_{pert,b}(g_b, n^*) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^*} W_{b,11}^{(n)} g_b^{2n}$$

- Bare coupling constant g is a bad expansion parameter
- Redefinition into boosted coupling g_b and rearrangement of series
 → better behaviour
- First application by Rakow (2005)

For the plaquette $P=W_{11}$ we define $g^2
ightarrow g_b^2=rac{g^2}{P_{pert,b}}$ and transform

$$P_{pert}(g, n^*) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^*} W_{11}^{(n)} g^{2n} \to P_{pert,b}(g_b, n^*) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^*} W_{b,11}^{(n)} g_b^{2n}$$

- Bare coupling constant *g* is a bad expansion parameter
- Redefinition into boosted coupling g_b and rearrangement of series
 → better behaviour
- First application by Rakow (2005)

For the plaquette $P=W_{11}$ we define $g^2 o g_b^2 = rac{g^2}{P_{pert,b}}$ and transform

$$P_{pert}(g, n^{\star}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^{\star}} W_{11}^{(n)} g^{2n} \rightarrow P_{pert,b}(g_b, n^{\star}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n^{\star}} W_{b,11}^{(n)} g_b^{2n}$$

Coefficients for "naive" and boosted LPT



 $W_{11}^{(n)}$ oscillate and show a very sharp decrease with n

P for "naive" and boosted LPT

Summed series for *P* at β = 6.2 and for *L* = 12 as function of maximal order *n*^{*}, MC data from QCDSF



 $P_{pert,b}$ show a superior convergence behavior.

Talk H. Perlt (Leipzig)

Wilson loops and NSPT

Gluon condensate

•
$$\Delta P = (P_{pert} - P_{MC}) \sim a^2$$
 or $\sim a^4$?

- Check: plot of ΔP versus a/r_0 (r_0 Sommer scale) together with fit curves $\sim (a/r_0)^4$
- MC data taken from Boyd et al. and QCDSF



Gluon condensate

•
$$\Delta P = (P_{pert} - P_{MC}) \sim a^2$$
 or $\sim a^4$?

• Check: plot of ΔP versus a/r_0 (r_0 - Sommer scale) together with fit curves $\sim (a/r_0)^4$

MC data taken from Boyd et al. and QCDSF



Gluon condensate

•
$$\Delta P = (P_{pert} - P_{MC}) \sim a^2$$
 or $\sim a^4$?

- Check: plot of ΔP versus a/r₀ (r₀ Sommer scale) together with fit curves ~ (a/r₀)⁴
- MC data taken from Boyd et al. and QCDSF



$$\Delta P = a^4 rac{\pi^2}{36} \left[rac{-b_0 g^2}{eta(g)}
ight] \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} GG
angle$$

• Ansatz: $\Delta P(a/r_0) = C (a/r_0)^4$ and $\left(\frac{-b_0 g^2}{\beta(g)}\right) \sim 1$.

- Fitting *C* in the range $0.1 \le a/r_0 \le 0.25$
- $r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{HRS} = 1.63(9), \quad r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{boosted} = 1.80(5).$
- For $r_0 = 0.5$ fm we obtain

$$\langle \frac{lpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{HRS} = 0.039(2) \ GeV^4, \quad \langle \frac{lpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{boosted} = 0.043(2) \ GeV^4.$$

• Fit the more general ansatz $\Delta P = C (a/r_0)^{\delta} \rightarrow \delta = 3.5 \pm 0.1$

$$\Delta P = a^4 rac{\pi^2}{36} \left[rac{-b_0 g^2}{eta(g)}
ight] \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} GG
angle$$

- Ansatz: $\Delta P(a/r_0) = C (a/r_0)^4$ and $\left(\frac{-b_0 g^2}{\beta(g)}\right) \sim 1$.
- Fitting C in the range $0.1 \le a/r_0 \le 0.25$
- $r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{HRS} = 1.63(9), \quad r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{boosted} = 1.80(5).$
- For $r_0 = 0.5$ fm we obtain

$$\langle \frac{lpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{HRS} = 0.039(2) \ GeV^4, \quad \langle \frac{lpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{boosted} = 0.043(2) \ GeV^4.$$

• Fit the more general ansatz $\Delta P = C (a/r_0)^{\delta} \rightarrow \delta = 3.5 \pm 0.1$

$$\Delta P = a^4 rac{\pi^2}{36} \left[rac{-b_0 g^2}{eta(g)}
ight] \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} GG
angle$$

- Ansatz: $\Delta P(a/r_0) = C (a/r_0)^4$ and $\left(\frac{-b_0 g^2}{\beta(g)}\right) \sim 1$.
- Fitting *C* in the range $0.1 \le a/r_0 \le 0.25$
- $r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{HRS} = 1.63(9), \quad r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{boosted} = 1.80(5).$

• For $r_0 = 0.5$ fm we obtain

 $\langle rac{lpha}{\pi} G G
angle_{HRS} = 0.039(2) \ GeV^4, \quad \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} G G
angle_{boosted} = 0.043(2) \ GeV^4.$

• Fit the more general ansatz $\Delta P = C (a/r_0)^{\delta} \rightarrow \delta = 3.5 \pm 0.1$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

$$\Delta P = a^4 rac{\pi^2}{36} \left[rac{-b_0 g^2}{eta(g)}
ight] \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} GG
angle$$

• Ansatz:
$$\Delta P(a/r_0) = C (a/r_0)^4$$
 and $\left(\frac{-b_0 g^2}{\beta(g)}\right) \sim 1$.

- Fitting *C* in the range $0.1 \le a/r_0 \le 0.25$
- $r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{HRS} = 1.63(9), \quad r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{boosted} = 1.80(5).$
- For $r_0 = 0.5$ fm we obtain

$$\langle rac{lpha}{\pi} G G
angle_{HRS} = 0.039(2) \ GeV^4, \quad \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} G G
angle_{boosted} = 0.043(2) \ GeV^4$$

• Fit the more general ansatz $\Delta P = C (a/r_0)^{\delta} \rightarrow \delta = 3.5 \pm 0.1$

(4月) イヨト イヨト

$$\Delta P = a^4 rac{\pi^2}{36} \left[rac{-b_0 g^2}{eta(g)}
ight] \langle rac{lpha}{\pi} GG
angle$$

- Ansatz: $\Delta P(a/r_0) = C (a/r_0)^4$ and $\left(\frac{-b_0 g^2}{\beta(g)}\right) \sim 1$.
- Fitting *C* in the range $0.1 \le a/r_0 \le 0.25$
- $r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{HRS} = 1.63(9), \quad r_0^4 \langle \frac{\alpha}{\pi} G G \rangle_{boosted} = 1.80(5).$
- For $r_0 = 0.5$ fm we obtain

$$\langle rac{lpha}{\pi} G G
angle_{HRS} = 0.039$$
(2) GeV^4 , $\langle rac{lpha}{\pi} G G
angle_{boosted} = 0.043$ (2) GeV^4 .

• Fit the more general ansatz $\Delta P = C (a/r_0)^{\delta} \rightarrow \delta = 3.5 \pm 0.1$

イロト イポト イラト イラト 一戸

NSPT calculation for Wilsonloops up to order n = 20 of LPT

- Investigation of large *n*-behaviour test of models for describing the data
- No factorial behaviour could be observed
- Numerical value for gluon condensate: less assumptions on large loop behaviour, but still rather uncertain

- E E

< 🗇 > < 🖻 >

- NSPT calculation for Wilsonloops up to order n = 20 of LPT
- Investigation of large *n*-behaviour test of models for describing the data
- No factorial behaviour could be observed
- Numerical value for gluon condensate: less assumptions on large loop behaviour, but still rather uncertain

< D > < A > < B >

- NSPT calculation for Wilsonloops up to order n = 20 of LPT
- Investigation of large *n*-behaviour test of models for describing the data
- No factorial behaviour could be observed
- Numerical value for gluon condensate: less assumptions on large loop behaviour, but still rather uncertain

(4) (E) (b)

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

- NSPT calculation for Wilsonloops up to order n = 20 of LPT
- Investigation of large *n*-behaviour test of models for describing the data
- No factorial behaviour could be observed
- Numerical value for gluon condensate: less assumptions on large loop behaviour, but still rather uncertain

A A A

Outlook

● △P also larger Wilson loops - MC data are available

- Qualified error analysis for boosted LPT
- More realistic error bound on the value for the gluon condensate

< D > < A > < B >

Outlook

- △P also larger Wilson loops MC data are available
- Qualified error analysis for boosted LPT
- More realistic error bound on the value for the gluon condensate

Image: A mathematic states and a mathematic states

Outlook

- ΔP also larger Wilson loops MC data are available
- Qualified error analysis for boosted LPT
- More realistic error bound on the value for the gluon condensate

• • • • • • • • •

- H - N < E

Acknowledgements

Discussions with S. Narison and Y. Meurice

- Partly supported by DFG

- E E

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

Acknowledgements

- Discussions with S. Narison and Y. Meurice
- Thanks to the RCNP at Osaka university for using its NEC SX-9 computer
- Partly supported by DFG

- E E

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

Acknowledgements

- Discussions with S. Narison and Y. Meurice
- Thanks to the RCNP at Osaka university for using its NEC SX-9 computer
- Partly supported by DFG

• • • • • • • • •

< E