Effects of spillover and particle size in a kinetic model of catalyzed reactions

Thiago Mattos, Fábio Aarão Reis

Instituto de Física Universidade Federal Fluminense

Niterói - Brazil

Outline

- Introduction
- ✓ Goal
- ✓ The Model
- Reactant Mobility and Catalyst Geometry
- ✓ Temperature
- Conclusions
- Perspectives
- ✓ References

transition state theory



supported catalysts

- metal particles deposited on the internal surface of a porous support
- designed to maximize the active surface area per unit mass and volume of the catalyst
- porous pellets (2 20 nm in diameter) or monoliths with a porous "washcoat"
- ✓ pores diameter: 1 2 nm (micropores)
 - 2 50 nm (mesopores)
 - ≥ 50 nm (macropores)

supported catalysts

size of metal particles: few atoms
 1 – 20 nm (more typical)
 10 – 100 nm (car exhaust)

 fabrication of real/model catalysts: impregnation, evaporation, chemical vapor deposition, vesiclemediated deposition, spin-coating technique, electron beam lithography, electrochemical fabrication etc.

heterogeneous catalysis

- particle structure: geometric shape, exposed crystal planes, size, properties of the support (e.g. metal-support interaction), temperature and method of fabrication
- reaction mechanisms: prescription of elementary steps (adsorption, desorption, reaction and diffusion) and ratios of the rate constants
- spillover and back spillover effects

heterogeneous catalysis

- rate equations (mean-field)
- distribution of catalytic sites in lattices (CO oxidation), with simplified diffusion and adsorption of some species
- simple models emphasizing scaling properties

Goal

discuss the interplay of various physico-chemical quantities and conditions in systems where spillover is present

reaction mechanism

$$R_{gas} \leftrightarrow R_{ads}$$

$$R_{ads} + C \to P_{gas}$$

 $R_{ads} + R'_{gas} + C \rightarrow P_{gas}$

definition





continuum limit

l >> 1d >> 1

$1 - 50 nm \implies 3 \le l \le 150$ $50 - 200 nm \implies 100 \le d \le 500$

reactant coverage



reactant coverage

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta_{c}(x,t) = \frac{D}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\theta_{c}(x,t) + F[1-\theta_{c}(x,t)] - (k_{r}+k_{d}^{c})\theta_{c}(x,t)$$

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta_{s}(x,t) = \frac{D}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\theta_{s}(x,t) + F[1-\theta_{s}(x,t)] - k_{d}^{s}\theta_{s}(x,t)$

stationary state

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta_c(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta_s(x,t) = 0$

 $\theta_{c}(x,t) = \theta_{c}(x)$ $\theta_{s}(x,t) = \theta_{s}(x)$

stationary state

$$\frac{D}{2}\frac{d^2\theta_c}{dx^2} + F(1-\theta_c) - (k_r + k_d^c)\theta_c = 0$$

$$\frac{D}{2}\frac{d^2\theta_s}{dx^2} + F(1-\theta_s) - k_d^s\theta_s = 0$$





The Model balance $(\Delta \theta_c)_{loss} (\Delta \theta_c \frac{2D}{d^{2}ss} (1 - \frac{2D}{d^{2}}) (\theta^{\dagger} - \theta^{*1} \frac{1}{d} \theta) (k k k c) (k k c) (k k c) (\theta d) (k c) (k$ $\theta^* \equiv \theta_s \left(\frac{da}{2}\right) = r_s + \alpha_s \cosh\left(\frac{da}{2\lambda_s}\right)$ $\overline{\theta}_{c} \equiv \frac{1}{la} \int_{-la/2}^{la/2} \theta_{c}(x') dx'$ $\theta^{\dagger} \equiv \theta_c \left(\frac{la}{2}\right) = r_c + \alpha_c \cosh\left(\frac{la}{2\lambda_c}\right)$

The Model balance $\left(\Delta \theta_c\right)_{gain} \left(\Delta \theta_c\right)_{gain} \left(\Delta \theta_c\right)_{gain} \left(\Delta \theta_c\right)_{gain} \left(\Delta \theta_c\right)_{gain} \left(\Delta \theta_c\right)_{gain} \left(\Delta \theta_c\right)_{a^2} \left(\Delta \theta$ $\theta^* \equiv \theta_s \left(\frac{da}{2}\right) = r_s + \alpha_s \cosh\left(\frac{da}{2\lambda_s}\right)$ $\overline{\theta}_{c} \equiv \frac{1}{la} \int_{-la/2}^{la/2} \theta_{c}(x') dx'$ $\theta^{\dagger} \equiv \theta_c \left(\frac{la}{2}\right) = r_c + \alpha_c \cosh\left(\frac{la}{2\lambda_c}\right)$





turnover frequency

 $TOF = k_r \theta_c$

comparison with simulations



Mobility and Geometry

diffusion lengths

 $L_c = \sqrt{\frac{D_c}{k_r + k_d^c}}$





Mobility and Geometry

reactant mobility





Temperature

Arrhenius

$$D = a^{2} v_{D} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{D}}{k_{B}T}\right)$$
$$k_{d}^{s} = v_{d} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{d}}{k_{B}T}\right)$$
$$k_{r} = v_{r} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{r}}{k_{B}T}\right)$$

Temperature

a few assumptions

$$a^2 v_D = v_r = 2 \ge 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

$$a = 5 \ge 10^{-10} = 10^{-10}$$

 $F = 1s^{-1}$

 $\varepsilon = 0.15$

 $E_D < E_d$ $k_d^c << 1$

 $10 \le \frac{V_d}{V_r} \le 1000$

Temperature

activation of a process

- exceeding the external flux
- excluded volume effects
- \checkmark low temperature \rightarrow surface poisoning
- \checkmark reaction activation \rightarrow other processes activation



$$L_{s} \sim e \exp\left(\frac{E_{d} - E_{D}}{2k_{B}T}\right)$$

activation energies







Conclusions

- for rapid reactions, enhancing surface diffusion or increasing catalyst particle size favors back spillover and thus increases the turnover frequency
- for slow reactions, spillover-dominated regime: enhancing surface diffusion or increasing catalyst particle size slows down the convertion
- catalyst coverage dependent critical ratio of diffusion lengths separates these regimes

Conclusions

- a peak in the turnover frequency as function of temperature is observed when E_d > E_D and E_r < E_d
- significant particle size dependece in the peaks for small gaps between the activation energies
- for fixed amount of catalytic material, small particle sizes allow the turnover frequency to attain high peak values (beneficial effect of back spillover)

Conclusions

- for slow reactions, i.e. E_r > E_d, the turnover frequency monotonically increases with temperature
- in that case, large particle sizes are more efficient to avoid negative effects of spillover

Perspectives

catalyst deactivation by poisoning (trapping problems): T. G. Mattos and F. D. A. Aarão Reis
 J. Chem.Phys. (accepted)

anodic corrosion of metals: formation of patterns with nanotube shapes:
 in colaboration with Prof. J-P Badiali and D. Di Caprio (CNRS - Paris)

References

T.G. Mattos and F.D.A. Aarão Reis
 J. Catalysis 263 67 (2009)

- V.P. Zhdanov and B. Kasemo Surf. Sci. Rep. 39, 25 (2000)
- H. Lynggaard et al
 Prog. Surf. Sci. 77,71 (2004)