

Theoretical Mechanics

— Working Copy — Chapter 6 —

— 2022-02-16 04:47:42+01:00—

Copyright © 2020 Jürgen Vollmer

LECTURES DELIVERED AT FAKULTÄT FÜR PHYSIK UND GEOWISSENSCHAFTEN, UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG
<http://www.uni-leipzig.de/physik/~vollmer>

Contents

<i>Table of Contents</i>	v
--------------------------	---

<i>Preface</i>	v
----------------	---

1	<i>Basic Principles</i>	1
1.1	<i>Basic notions of mechanics</i>	2
1.2	<i>Dimensional analysis</i>	6
1.3	<i>Order-of-magnitude guesses</i>	9
1.4	<i>Problems</i>	10
1.5	<i>Further reading</i>	12
2	<i>Balancing Forces and Torques</i>	13
2.1	<i>Motivation and outline: forces are vectors</i>	14
2.2	<i>Sets</i>	15
2.3	<i>Groups</i>	21
2.4	<i>Fields</i>	24
2.5	<i>Vector spaces</i>	27
2.6	<i>Physics application: balancing forces</i>	32
2.7	<i>The inner product</i>	34
2.8	<i>Cartesian coordinates</i>	36
2.9	<i>Cross products — torques</i>	41
2.10	<i>Worked example: Calder's mobiles</i>	48
2.11	<i>Problems</i>	49
2.12	<i>Further reading</i>	58

3	<i>Newton's Laws</i>	59
3.1	<i>Motivation and outline: What is causing motion?</i>	60
3.2	<i>Time derivatives of vectors</i>	60
3.3	<i>Newton's axioms and equations of motion (EOM)</i>	62
3.4	<i>Constants of motion (CM)</i>	70
3.5	<i>Worked example: Flight of an Earth-bound rocket</i>	80
3.6	<i>Problems</i>	83
3.7	<i>Further reading</i>	90
4	<i>Motion of Point Particles</i>	91
4.1	<i>Motivation and outline: EOM are ODEs</i>	92
4.2	<i>Integrating ODEs — Free flight</i>	94
4.3	<i>Separation of variables — Settling with Stokes drag</i>	98
4.4	<i>Worked example: Free flight with turbulent friction</i>	105
4.5	<i>Linear ODEs — Particle suspended from a spring</i>	108
4.6	<i>The center of mass (CM) inertial frame</i>	115
4.7	<i>Worked example: the Kepler problem</i>	120
4.8	<i>Mechanical similarity — Kepler's 3rd Law</i>	121
4.9	<i>Solving ODEs by coordinate transformations — Kepler's 1st law</i>	122
4.10	<i>Problems</i>	126
4.11	<i>Further reading</i>	134
5	<i>Impact of Spatial Extension</i>	135
5.1	<i>Motivation and outline: How do particles collide?</i>	136
5.2	<i>Collisions of hard-ball particles</i>	138
5.3	<i>Volume integrals — A professor falling through Earth</i>	140
5.4	<i>Center of mass and spin of extended objects</i>	147
5.5	<i>Bodies with internal degrees of freedom: Revisiting mobiles</i>	152
5.6	<i>Worked example: Reflection of balls</i>	157
5.7	<i>Problems</i>	158
6	<i>Integrable Dynamics</i>	161
6.1	<i>Motivation and Outline: How to deal with constraint motion?</i>	162
6.2	<i>Lagrange formalism</i>	164

<i>h one degree of freedom</i>	174
6.4 <i>Several degrees of freedom and conservation laws</i>	186
6.5 <i>Dynamics of 2-particle systems</i>	198
6.6 <i>Worked problems: Foucault pendulum</i>	204
6.7 <i>Problems</i>	207
7 <i>Deterministic Chaos</i>	203
<i>Take Home Messags</i>	205
A <i>Physical constants, material constants, and estimates</i>	207
A.1 <i>Solar System</i>	207
<i>Bibliography</i>	209

6

Integrable Dynamics

In Chapter 5 we considered objects that consist of a mass points with fixed relative positions, like a flying and spinning ping-pong ball. Rather than providing a description of each individual mass element, we established equations of motion for their center of mass and the orientation of the body in space. From the perspective of theoretical mechanics the fixing of relative positions is a constraint to their motion, just as the ropes of a swing enforces a motion on a one-dimensional circular track, rather than in two dimensions. The deflection angle θ of the pendulum, and the center of mass and orientation of the ball are examples of generalized coordinates that automatically take into account the constraints.

In this chapter we discuss how to set up generalized coordinates and how to find the associated equations of motion. The discussion will be driven by examples. The examples will be derived from the realm of integrable dynamics. These are systems where conservation laws can be used to break down the dynamics into separate problems that can be interpreted as motion with a single degree of freedom.

At the end of the chapter you know why coins run away rolling on their edge, and how the speed of a steam engine was controlled by a mechanical device. Systems where the dynamics is not integrable will subsequently be addressed in Chapter 7.



Marguerite Martyn, 1914
[wikimedia/public domain](#)

Figure 6.1: The point-particle idealization of a girl on a swing is the mathematical pendulum of Figures 1.2 and 1.3.

[add more pics](#)

6.1 Motivation and Outline:

How to deal with constraint motion?




Figure 6.2: Forces acting for the motion of a swing, or its equivalent idealization of a mathematical pendulum.

Almost all interesting problems in mechanics involve constraints due to rails or tracks, and due to mechanical joints of particles. The most elementary example is a swing (Figure 6.1), where a rope forces a mass M to move on a path with positions constrained to a circle with radius given by the length L of the rope. Gravity $M\vec{g}$ and the pulling force \vec{F}_r of the rope acting on the mass (Figure 6.2). However, how large is the latter force? At the topmost point of its trajectory the mass is at rest, and no force is needed along the rope to keep it on its track. At the lowermost point, where the swing goes with its maximum speed, there is a substantial force. Newton's formalism requires a discussion of these forces. Lagrange established an alternative approach that provides equations of motion with substantially less effort. The key idea of this formalism is to select generalized coordinates adapted to the problem.

Definition 6.1: Generalized Coordinates

We consider N particles moving in D dimensions. There are forces that keep the particles on a subset of space with a dimension smaller than D , and their relative positions may be constrained by bars and joints. Due to the constraints the system only has $M < DN$ degrees of freedom. In this chapter we denote the positions of the particles as $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{DN}$, and we specify position compatible with the constraints as $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}(t))$, where $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ are the *generalized coordinates* adapted to the constrained motion.


Example 6.1: Generalized coordinates for a pendulum

We describe the position of the mass in a mathematical pendulum by the angle $\theta(t)$, as introduced in Example 1.10. The position of the mass in the 2D pendulum plane is thus described by the vector

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = L \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta(t) \\ -\cos \theta(t) \end{pmatrix} = L \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta(t)).$$

In view of the chain rule its velocity amounts to

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = L \dot{\theta} \partial_{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta(t)) = L \dot{\theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\theta(t)) \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\theta(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(t) \\ \sin \theta(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 6.1. Note that $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta)$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\theta)$ are orthonormal vectors that describe the position of the mass in terms of polar coordinates rather than fixed-in-space Cartesian coordinates. 

Theorem 6.1: Basis vectors for polar coordinates


Let $\{\hat{x}, \hat{z}\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 , and (R, θ) be the polar coordinates¹ associated to a point with Cartesian coordinates (x, z) . Then


- $R = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}$ is the distance of the point from the origin
- $\theta = -\arctan(x/z)$ the angle with respect to $-\hat{z}$,

We denote the vector from the origin to (R, θ) as $R \hat{R}(\theta)$. Then the following statements hold

- $\hat{R}(\theta)$ is a normal vector at the position (R, θ) of a circle C_R with center at the origin at radius R .
- $\hat{\theta} = \partial_\theta \hat{R}$ is a vector tangential to C_R at the position (R, θ) .
- $\partial_\theta \hat{\theta} = -\hat{R}$.
- For every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ the vectors $\{\hat{R}(\theta), \hat{\theta}(\theta)\}$ form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^2 .

¹ The choice of the axes and the angle reflects the notations adopted in Figures 1.2 and 1.3.

Remark 6.2. The coordinate representation of $\hat{R}(\theta)$ and $\hat{\theta}(\theta)$ in Cartesian coordinates is provided in Example 6.1. 

Remark 6.3. The assertions of Theorem 6.1 also apply when the unit vectors of \mathbb{R}^2 are denoted as $\{\hat{x}, \hat{y}\}$, and when the angle θ denotes the angle with respect of the \hat{x} axis. The different reference axis *only* changes the coordinate representation of the vectors. 

Example 6.2: Generalized coordinates for a ping-pong ball

A ping-pong ball consists of N atoms located in the three-dimensional space. During a match they follow an intricate trail in the vicinity of the ping-pong players. At any time during their motion the atoms are located on a thin spherical shell with fixed positions with respect to each other. Rather than specifying the position of each atom one can therefore specify the position of the ball in terms of six generalized coordinates (Figure 6.3): Three coordinates provide its center of mass. The orientation of the ball can be provided by specifying the orientation of a body fixed axis in terms of its polar and azimuthal angle, and a third angle specifies the orientation of a point on its equator when rotating the ball around the axis.

Generalized coordinates describe only positions complying with the constraints of the motion, and they do not account for other positions from the very beginning. Lagrange's key observation is that constraint forces, e.g. the force on the rope of the swing, only act in a direction orthogonal to the positions described by generalized coordinates. Therefore, the constraint forces do not affect the time evolution of generalized coordinates. For the pendulum and the




Figure 6.3: The position of a ball in space can be described in terms of a 3D vector Q that describes the center of the ball (red dot), angles θ, φ that describe the orientation in space of a fixed axes in the ball (green line), and another angle ψ that describes the position of point that is not on the axis (blue point).

ping-pong ball one only has to account for gravity to find the evolution of the generalized coordinates. There is no need to deal with the force along the rope in the swing, and the atomic interaction forces that keep atoms in their positions in the ping-pong ball.

Outline

In Section 6.2 we will introduce the Lagrange formalism that will allow us without much ado to determine the EOM for generalized coordinates. Subsequently, in Section 6.3 we deal with systems with a single degree of freedom. In Section 6.4 we will see how symmetries in the dynamics allow us to eliminate a second degree of freedom based on an informed choice of generalized coordinates. Section 6.5 deals with two-particle systems and other problems where one deals with several degrees of freedom. In all cases we will eventually reduce the dynamics to one-dimensional problems.

6.1.1 Self Test

Problem 6.1. Different reference axes for polar coordinates

Verify the assertions of Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.3:

- a) Specify the coordinate representation of $\{\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta), \hat{\theta}(\theta)\}$ for the case where θ denotes the angle with respect to the positive \hat{x} axis.
- b) Verify the assertion of Theorem 6.1.

Problem 6.2. Describing the orientation of dice

We place a die on the table such that its center lies at the origin of a 3D Cartesian coordinate frame, and its axes are aligned with the coordinate axes. We characterize the configuration of the die by the number of dots on the faces pointing in the three positive coordinate directions.

- a) Show that there are 24 different possibilities to place the die.
- b) Determine the angles (θ, φ, ψ) (cf. Figure 6.3) that will turn a die from the configuration be $(1, 2, 3)$ to

$$\text{b1) } (2, 3, 4) \qquad \text{b2) } (4, 6, 2) \qquad \text{b3) } (1, 3, 5)$$

6.2 Lagrange formalism

The Lagrange formalism provides an effective approach to derive the EOM for generalized coordinates. We first provide a derivation in a Cartesian coordinate frame. Then we discuss how the EOM for generalized coordinates are determined.

6.2.1 Euler-Lagrange equations for Cartesian coordinates

In Section 5.5 we saw that a mobile will be at rest in a position characterized by the coordinate vector \mathbf{x} when the leading order

correction $\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{x})$ to its potential energy $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ vanishes for every perturbation $\delta \mathbf{x}$ of the position. In the following we denote the leading order corrections term of the Taylor expansion as variation.

Definition 6.2: Variation of a scalar function

Let $f : \mathbb{D} \times [t_I, t_E] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ be function that has continuous first derivatives for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{D}$. The *variation of f* for a small deviation $\delta \mathbf{x}$ of \mathbf{x} such that $\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{D}$ amounts to the linear-order term of the Taylor expansion of f ,

$$\delta f(\mathbf{x}, t) = \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^D \delta x_i \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x_i}$$

In Section 5.5 we showed that $\delta \Phi(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ for every critical point \mathbf{x}_0 where the system is (and remains) at rest. We now also account for explicitly time-dependent potentials $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and consider the variations $\delta \mathbf{x}(t)$ of time dependent trajectories $\mathbf{x}(t)$ with $t \in [t_I, t_F]$. Here $\delta \mathbf{x}(t)$ describes the deviation of the perturbed trajectory from the reference trajectory $\mathbf{x}(t)$ at time t , and it is understood that $\delta \mathbf{x}(t_I) = \delta \mathbf{x}(t_F) = \mathbf{0}$. Now we have

$$\delta \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot m \ddot{\mathbf{x}}$$

The velocity and acceleration for the perturbed trajectory $\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}$ are $\dot{\mathbf{x}} + \delta \dot{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \delta \ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (m \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}) = m \ddot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x} + m \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \delta \dot{\mathbf{x}} = m \ddot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x} + \delta \frac{m \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2}{2}$$

where $T = m \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 / 2$ is the kinetic energy. Hence, we can express the variation of the potential as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) &= -\frac{d}{dt} (\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot m \dot{\mathbf{x}}) + \delta T(\dot{\mathbf{x}}) \\ \Rightarrow \delta (T(\dot{\mathbf{x}}) - \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)) &= -\frac{d}{dt} (\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot m \dot{\mathbf{x}}) \end{aligned}$$

The difference between the kinetic and potential energy is a total time derivative. Integrating the expression over time from t_I to t_F therefore provides

$$\int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \delta (T(\dot{\mathbf{x}}) - \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)) = - \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \frac{d}{dt} (\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot m \dot{\mathbf{x}}) \quad (6.2.1)$$

$$= \delta \mathbf{x}(t_I) \cdot m \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t_I) - \delta \mathbf{x}(t_F) \cdot m \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t_F) = 0 \quad (6.2.2)$$

The integral vanishes because \mathbf{x} is fixed at the start and the end point.

Up to mathematical identities that are always true we only used Newton's law $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) = m \ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ to arrive at this conclusion. This observation is denoted as the principle of least action. Rather than on Newton axioms we may therefore base mechanics on the principle of least action.

Definition 6.3: Lagrangian

We consider a dynamics with kinetic energy $T(\dot{x}(t))$ and potential energy $\Phi(x(t), t)$ for trajectories $x(t)$. The difference

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t) = T(\dot{x}) - \Phi(x, t)$$

will be called *Lagrangian* or *Lagrange function* of the dynamics.

Definition 6.4: Action of a trajectory

For a dynamics with Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t)$ the *action* $S[x(t), \dot{x}(t)]$ of a trajectory $x(t)$, $t_I \leq t \leq t_F$ with velocity $\dot{x}(t)$ is defined as

$$S[x(t), \dot{x}(t)] = \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \mathcal{L}(x(t), \dot{x}(t), t) \quad (6.2.3)$$


The *variation of the action* will be defined as

$$\delta S[x(t), \dot{x}(t)] = \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \delta \mathcal{L}(x(t), \dot{x}(t), t)$$

Axiom 6.1: Principle of least action

Let $x(t)$ with $t_I \leq t \leq t_F$ be a trajectory from $x(t_I)$ to $x(t_F)$ that satisfies Newton's law $F(x, t) = m\ddot{x}$ with a force that is derived from a potential $\Phi(x, t)$. Then the variation of the action associated the trajectory will vanish

$$0 = \delta S[x(t), \dot{x}(t)]$$

Remark 6.4. The principle is called the principle of *least* action. However, it only requires that the action has a critical point. There are many examples in physics where the action takes a saddle point, rather than a minimum. 

The principle provides an alternative way to determine the EOM that proceeds as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta S[x(t), \dot{x}(t)] &= \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \delta \mathcal{L}(x(t), \dot{x}(t), t) \\ &= \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt [\delta \dot{x} \nabla_{\dot{x}} \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t) + \delta x \nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t)] \\ &= \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \delta x \left[\left(-\frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{\dot{x}} \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t) \right) + \nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

² The boundary term of the partial integration vanishes,

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta x \nabla_{\dot{x}} \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t)]_{t_I}^{t_F} &= \delta x(t_F) [\nabla_{\dot{x}} \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t)]_{t=t_F} \\ &\quad - \delta x(t_I) [\nabla_{\dot{x}} \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, t)]_{t=t_I} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we performed a partial integration.² The integral must vanish for every choice of the variation δx . In particular we may choose a function δx that takes the same sign as the square bracket whenever it does not vanish. However, in that case the integral is strictly positive unless the square bracket vanishes. This

provides the EOM of the dynamics in terms of the Euler-Lagrange equation.

Theorem 6.2: Euler-Lagrange equations

Let $x_i(t)$ be a coordinates of a trajectory $\mathbf{x}(t)$ of a dynamics with Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, t)$. Then $x_i(t)$ is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_i} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, t) \quad (6.2.4)$$

6.2.2 Mathematical background: variational calculus

The principle of least action is an application of variational calculus to the action integral, Equation (6.2.3). In order to provide a better intuition of the mathematical concept of the variation, we demonstrate now how one can derive a differential equation of the shortest path on a plane.

Shortest path in a 2d plane. We describe a curve from the origin, $(0,0)$ to the position (x_e, y_e) in the plane by a function $f(x)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(x_e) = y_e$. Hence, the curve follows the coordinates $\mathbf{q} = (x, f(x))$, and according to Remark 3.7 the length of a curve is determined by the line integral

$$L[f(x)] = \int_0^{x_e} dx \mathcal{D}_{\text{plane}}(f(x), f'(x))$$

with $\mathcal{D}_{\text{plane}}(f(x), f'(x)) = \left| \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dx} \right| = \sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2}$

where $f'(x) = df(x)/dx$. Paths of minimal length must therefore be solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial f} = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial f'} = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{f'(x)}{\sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2}}$$

which implies that there is a constant K with

$$\begin{aligned} K = \frac{f'(x)}{\sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2}} &\Rightarrow K^2 (1 + (f'(x))^2) = (f'(x))^2 \\ &\Rightarrow f'(x) = \frac{K}{\sqrt{1 - K^2}} = \text{const} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the shortest connection between two points in the plane is a straight line, where the slope is constant. We urge the reader to go through the steps of the derivation of the Euler-Lagrange equation for this problem, and to take not of the important requirement that the variation of the path $\delta \mathbf{q}$ must vanish at *both* endpoints of the trajectory. An example of a variational problem where this requirement is relaxed is provided in Problem 6.4.

Shortest path on a cylinder surface. We describe a curve on a cylinder with radius R by adopting cylinder coordinates and specifying $z(\theta)$ for the range $\mathcal{I} = [\theta_I, \theta_E]$. The values at the boundary of \mathcal{I} will be denoted as $z(\theta_I) = z_I$ and $z(\theta_E) = z_E$. Hence, the curve follows the coordinates $\mathbf{q} = z(\theta) \hat{\mathbf{z}} + R \hat{\mathbf{r}}(\theta)$ along a path with direction

$$\mathbf{q}'(\theta) = \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{d\theta} = z'(\theta) \hat{\mathbf{z}} + R \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\theta)$$

The length of this curve is determined by the line integral

$$L[z(\theta)] = \int_{\theta_I}^{\theta_E} d\theta \mathcal{D}_{\text{cyl}}(z(\theta), z'(\theta))$$

$$\text{with } \mathcal{D}_{\text{cyl}}(z(\theta), z'(\theta)) = \left| \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{d\theta} \right| = R \sqrt{1 + (z'(\theta)/R)^2}$$

The distance function \mathcal{D}_{cyl} of this problem is identical to the one for the plane, up to replacing $f(x)$ by $z(\theta)$ and x by $R\theta$. Hence, the solutions will be paths of the form

$$z(\theta) = z_I + \frac{z_E - z_I}{\theta_E - \theta_I + 2\pi n} (\theta - \theta_I) \quad \text{with } n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

When one makes sure that $\theta_E \in (\theta_I - \pi, \theta_I + \pi)$ then the solution for $n = 0$ represents the shortest path from z_I to z_E . For $\theta_E - \theta_I = \pi$ the path for $n = 0$ and $n = -1$ have the same length. All other paths represent local minima of L . Small perturbations of the path will increase the length. However, trajectories that reach the final point with a smaller number of loops around the cylinder will in general be shorter. An example is shown in Figure 6.4.




Figure 6.4: Paths of extremal length on a cylinder. In this pictures we have $\theta_E - \theta_I = \pi/3$, $h_E - h_I = 3R$, and we show paths with winding numbers $n \in \{0, -1, -2\}$.

³ The surface is no longer translation invariant along the axis, but still rotation symmetric. As a consequence, $\theta(z)$ will turn out to be a cyclic variable, while a parameterization in terms of $z(\theta)$ will involve nontrivial derivatives with respect to z . You may check this in Problem 6.3.

Shortest path on a catenoid. A catenoid is the surface of revolution of the hyperbolic cosine function. We describe a curve on a catenoid with radius $\cosh z$ at height z by adopting cylinder coordinates and specifying $\theta(z)$ for the range $\mathcal{I} = [z_I, z_E]$.³ The values at the boundary of \mathcal{I} are now denoted as $z(\theta_I) = z_I$ and $z(\theta_E) = z_E$. Hence, the curve follows the coordinates $\mathbf{q} = z \hat{\mathbf{z}} + \cosh z \hat{\mathbf{r}}(\theta(z))$ along a path with direction

$$\mathbf{q}'(z) = \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dz} = \hat{\mathbf{z}} + \sinh z(\theta) \hat{\mathbf{r}}(\theta(z)) + \cosh z(\theta) z'(\theta) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\theta(z))$$

The length of this curve is determined by the line integral

$$L[z(\theta)] = \int_{z_I}^{z_E} dz \mathcal{D}_{\text{cat}}(\theta(z), \theta'(z))$$

$$\text{with } \mathcal{D}_{\text{cat}}(\theta(z), \theta'(z)) = \left| \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dz} \right| = \sqrt{(1 + \theta'(z)^2) \cosh^2 z + \sinh^2 z}$$

Consequently, the Euler-Lagrange function takes the form

$$0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_{\text{cat}}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{d}{dz} \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_{\text{cat}}}{\partial \theta'} = \frac{d}{dz} \frac{\theta'(z) \cosh^2 z}{\sqrt{(1 + \theta'(z)^2) \cosh^2 z + \sinh^2 z}}$$

The variable θ is cyclic and we denote the entailed conservation law as K . Rearranging terms provides

$$\theta'(z) = K \sqrt{\frac{1 + \tanh^2 z}{\cosh^2 z - K^2}}$$

such that

$$\theta(z) = \theta_I + K \int_{z_I}^z dz \sqrt{\frac{1 + \tanh^2 z}{\cosh^2 z - K^2}}$$

The shortest paths on the catenoid must be determined by numerical evaluation of this integral.

make fig with paths on catenoid

Problem 6.5 extends the present discussion to situations where one minimizes the surface *area* of a soap film, rather than a feature of a one-dimensional object. Problem 6.23 addresses extremal paths on a sphere. Unless two points lie exactly on opposite sides of the sphere (like North and South pole) there are exactly two trajectories of extremal length. One of them is the shortest trajectory. The other one is a saddle point.

6.2.3 Euler-Lagrange equations for generalized coordinates

The Euler-Lagrange equations derive from a variational principle stating that the gradient of the Lagrange function with respect to the phase-space coordinate $\Gamma = (x, \dot{x})$ must vanish for physically admissible trajectories. This holds for *all* directions in phase space. However, generalized coordinates do not qualify as a vector such that some care is needed to derive their EOM.

Example 6.3: Rollercoaster trail

The position $x(t)$ on the trail of a rollercoaster can uniquely be described by the (dimensionless) distance ℓ along the trail that it has gone. Hence, generalized coordinate $\ell(t)$ uniquely describes the configuration $x(\ell(t))$ of the rollercoaster at time t .

Example 6.4: Driven pendulum

A driven pendulum is a mathematical pendulum where the position of the fulcrum X_f and the length of the pendulum arm $L(t)$ are subjected to a prescribed temporal evolution. The position of the pendulum weight, x , may then be described by the angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi] = \mathbb{D}$,

$$x(\theta, t) = X_f(t) + R(t) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Here, the time dependence of $X_f(t)$ and $R(t)$ reflect the temporal evolution of the time-dependent setup of the pendulum. The temporal evolution of the pendulum will be described in terms of the generalized coordinate $\theta(t)$.

Let \mathbf{q} be the generalized coordinates of a system and $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q})$ the associated configuration vector of the system. It will be provided in Cartesian coordinates from the point of view of an observer who is at rest. Hence, \mathbf{x} is a vector with all properties discussed in Chapter 2. In contrast, \mathbf{q} will in general only be a tuple of functions that provide a convenient parameterization of valid configurations. We address the situation where the forces in the system are conservative, arising from a potential energy $\Phi(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), t)$. Moreover, we assume that the potential energy can be represented as a sum of $\Phi_c(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), t)$ and $U(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), t)$. The contribution $\Phi_c(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), t)$ accounts for forces that constraint the coordinates of the system such that they comply with positions $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q})$. The part $U(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}), t)$ accounts for all other forces.

We will now explore the implications of the principle of least action for variations of the path that refer only to accessible coordinates. For the k th coordinate of the variation we write

$$\delta x_k = x_k(\mathbf{q} + \delta \mathbf{q}, t) - x_k(\mathbf{q}, t) = \sum_{v=1}^d \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_v} \delta q_v$$

and for the associated time derivative we have

$$\delta \dot{x}_k = \frac{d}{dt} \delta x_k = \sum_{v=1}^d \frac{\partial \dot{x}_k}{\partial q_v} \delta q_v + \sum_{v=1}^d \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_v} \delta \dot{q}_v$$

As a consequence the variation of the Lagrangian takes the form

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L} + \delta \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{x}}} \mathcal{L} = \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{F}_c + \mathbf{F}_e) + \delta \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot m \dot{\mathbf{x}}$$

where \mathbf{F}_c represent the constraint forces. We consider variations $\delta \mathbf{x}$ that relate trajectories complying with the constraints such that $\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{F}_c = 0$. Therefore, in the setting of generalized coordinates one need not account for constraint forces.⁴ We will now express the variation of the Lagrangian in terms of the variations of the generalized coordinates,

⁴ This constraint is commonly denoted as *d'Alembert's principle*.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathcal{L} &= \sum_{k=1}^D \left[\delta x_k \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_k} + \delta \dot{x}_k \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^D \left[\left(\sum_{v=1}^d \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_v} \delta q_v \right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{v=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial \dot{x}_k}{\partial q_v} \delta q_v + \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_v} \delta \dot{q}_v \right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^d \delta q_v \sum_{k=1}^D \left(\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_v} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \dot{x}_k}{\partial q_v} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_k} \right) + \sum_{v=1}^d \delta \dot{q}_v \sum_{k=1}^D \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_v} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_k} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x(q, t), \dot{x}(q, \dot{q}, t), t)}{\partial q_v} &= \sum_{k=1}^D \left(\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_v} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial \dot{x}_k}{\partial q_v} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_k} \right) \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x(q, t), \dot{x}(q, \dot{q}, t), t)}{\partial \dot{q}_v} &= \sum_{k=1}^D \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x(q, t), \dot{x}(q, \dot{q}, t), t)}{\partial \dot{x}_k} \frac{\partial \dot{x}_k}{\partial \dot{q}_v} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^D \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_v} \left(\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mu=1}^d \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_\mu} \dot{q}_\mu \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^D \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_k} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial q_v}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S &= \int dt \delta \mathcal{L} = \int dt \sum_{v=1}^d \left(\delta q_v \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_v} + \delta \dot{q}_v \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_v} \right) \\ &= \int dt \sum_{v=1}^d \delta q_v \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_v} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_v} \right)\end{aligned}$$

The equations of motion are derived from the Lagrangian, Definition 6.5, by Algorithm 6.1.

Definition 6.5: Lagrangian in generalized coordinates

The Lagrange function \mathcal{L} amounts to the difference of the kinetic energy T and the potential energy U of the system,

$$\mathcal{L} = T - U = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_{\alpha}}{2} \dot{x}_{\alpha}^2(q) - U(x(q)) \quad (6.2.5)$$

Constraint forces are not considered.

Algorithm 6.1: Euler Lagrange EOMs

- Identify generalized coordinates q that describe the admissible configurations of the system.
- Determine $x(q)$, and the resulting expression of the potential energy in terms of q ,

$$U(q) = U(x(q))$$

- Evaluate the kinetic energy based on the chain rule

$$T(q, \dot{q}) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_{\alpha}}{2} \dot{x}_{\alpha}^2(q) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_{\alpha}}{2} \left(\sum_i \frac{\partial x_{\alpha}}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i \right)^2$$

where x_{α} is the α -component of the configuration vector x and m_{α} the mass of the associated particle.

Hence, we establish the Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \dot{q}) = T(q, \dot{q}) - U(q)$$

expressed in terms of the generalized coordinates q and their time derivatives \dot{q} .

- d) Determine the EOM for the component q_i of q by evaluating the *Euler-Lagrange equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} \quad (6.2.6)$$

In the next section we will apply the formalism to models with a single degree of freedom.

6.2.4 Self Test


Problem 6.3. Shortest path on a catenoid

In footnote 3 we pointed out that it is a good idea to parameterize the paths on a body of revolution in terms of $z(\theta)$ rather than $\theta(z)$.

Adopt the latter parameterization and work out for yourself that this leads to a second order ODE while the former one provides a conserved quantity, and subsequently immediately a first order ODE.

Problem 6.4. Fermat's principle

Fermat's principle states that a light beam propagates along a path minimizing the flight time. When passing from air into glass it changes direction according to Snellius' refraction law. Here, we consider a setting where the beam starts in air at the position, $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, to the top left in the figure, with coordinates where \hat{x} points downwards and \hat{y} to the right. The path of the light is described by a function $y(x)$. We require that beam passes from air into the glass at the position (a, u) such that it will eventually proceed through the prescribed position (b, w) in the glass. The speed of light in air and in glass will be denoted as c_A and c_G , respectively.



© Zátónyi Sándor (ifj.) Fizped (talk)
CC BY-SA 3.0, wikimedia commons

- a) Show that the time of flight T for a (hypothetical) trajectory $y(x)$ with derivative $y'(x)$ can be determined as follows

$$T = c_A^{-1} \int_0^a dx \sqrt{1 + (y'(x))^2} + c_G^{-1} \int_a^b dx \sqrt{1 + (y'(x))^2}.$$

- b) In the following we consider a glass body with a planar surface, and align the coordinates such that glass surface is aligned parallel to the y -axis. Hence, we know that the light passes from air to glass at the fixed position a , but we still have to determine u . Determine δT for a variation $y(x) + \delta y(x)$ of the trajectory. What does this imply for $\delta y(x)|_{x=0}$, $\delta y(x)|_{x=a}$ and $\delta y(x)|_{x=b}$? What does it imply for the boundary terms that arise from the integration by parts, when determining δT ?
- c) Show that the beam must go in a straight line in air and in glass. Show that this implies that

$$T(u) = \frac{1}{c_A} \sqrt{u^2 + a^2} + \frac{1}{c_G} \sqrt{(w - u)^2 + (b - a)^2}.$$

Derive Snellius' law from the condition that $0 = dT(u)/du$.

- d) Snellius' Law can also be directly obtained from Fermat's principle. How?

Problem 6.5. Stability of soap films

When a soap film is suspended between two rings, it takes a cylinder-symmetric shape of minimal surface area. We discuss here the form of the film for rings of radius R_0 and R_1 positioned at the height x_0 and x_1 , respectively. At the Mathematikum in Gießen there is a nice demonstration experiment: x_0 is the surface height of soap solution in a vessel around the platform where the children are standing, and x_1 is the height of the ring pulled upwards by the children.

- a) Let $w(x)$ be the radius of the cylinder-symmetric soap films at the vertical position x . Sketch the setup and mark the relevant notations for the problem.
- b) Show that the surface area A of the soap film takes the form

$$A = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} dx w(x) f(w'(x)),$$

Here, the factor $f(w'(x))$ takes into account that the area is larger when the derivative $w'(x) = dx/dx$ increases. Determine the function $f(w'(x))$ in this expression.

- c) Show that A is extremal for shapes $w(x)$ that obey the differential equation

$$w''(x) = \frac{1 + (w'(x))^2}{w(x)}.$$

- d) Determine the solutions of the differential equation.

Hint: Rewrite the equation into the form

$$\frac{w'(x) w''(x)}{1 + (w'(x))^2} = \frac{w'(x)}{w(x)}.$$

- e) Consider now solutions with $-x_0 = x_1 = a$ and $R_0 = R_1 = R$, and denote the radius at the thinnest point of the soap film as w_0 . Show that w_0 is the solution of

$$\frac{R}{a} = \frac{w_0}{a} \cosh \frac{a}{w_0}.$$

- f) Sketch R/a as function of a/w_0 . For given R and a you can then find w_0 . For small separation of the rings you should find two solutions. What happens when one slowly rises the ring? Will an adult ever manage to pull up the ring to head height before the film ruptures?



© Mathematikum Gießen
<http://mathematikum.df-kunde.de/Wanderausstellung/index.php?m=2&la=de&id=314>

6.3 Dynamics with one degree of freedom

We will now illustrate the application of the Lagrange formalism for three examples with a single degree of freedom of the motion:

1. The mathematical pendulum, Example 6.1, will give a first idea of how to find EOMs with the Lagrange formalism. This EOM can also easily be found by other approaches. It serves here to illustrate problems where one adopts 2D polar coordinates.

2. The motion of a pearl on a rotating ring constitutes a system with an explicit time dependence. In that case the Lagrange formalism dramatically simplifies the derivation of the EOM. We will also discuss for this model how to account for dissipative forces and we will see how the solutions of a problem can change qualitatively upon varying a parameter. In this example we will adopt spherical coordinates.

3. The motion of a weight on a carousel we will discuss as an example of a system that needs a dedicated treatment for the description of admissible positions. The discussion will be based on cylindrical coordinates.

6.3.1 The EOM for the mathematical pendulum

The parameterization introduced in Example 6.1 provides the kinetic energy

$$T = \frac{M}{2} \dot{x}^2 = \frac{M}{2} L^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \hat{\theta}(\theta(t))^2 = \frac{M}{2} L^2 \dot{\theta}^2$$

and the potential energy in the gravitational field

$$U = -M\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x} = -ML \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta(t)) \cdot \mathbf{g} = -MLg \cos \theta(t)$$

since $\mathbf{g} = g \hat{\mathbf{R}}(0)$.


Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{M}{2} L^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + M g L \cos \theta(t) \\ \Rightarrow M L^2 \ddot{\theta}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} = -M g L \sin \theta(t) \\ \Rightarrow \ddot{\theta}(t) &= -\frac{g}{L} \sin \theta(t) \end{aligned} \quad (6.3.1)$$

The EOM (6.3.1) can be integrated once by multiplication with $2\dot{\theta}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}^2(t) - \dot{\theta}^2(t_0) &= \int_{t_0}^t dt \, 2\dot{\theta} \ddot{\theta} = \int_{t_0}^t dt \, 2\dot{\theta} \left(-\frac{g}{L} \sin \theta(t) \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\theta(t_0)}^{\theta(t)} d\theta \, \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\frac{g}{L} \cos \theta \right) = 2 \frac{g}{L} (\cos \theta(t) - \cos \theta(t_0)) \end{aligned}$$

This is a Mattheiu differential equation. For most initial conditions it can not be solved by simple means. However, the first integral provides the phase-space trajectories $\dot{\theta}(\theta)$ for every given set of initial conditions $(\theta(t_0), \dot{\theta}(t_0))$,



$$\dot{\theta} = \pm \sqrt{\dot{\theta}^2(t_0) + \frac{2g}{L} (\cos \theta(t) - \cos \theta(t_0))}$$

The phase-space portrait is shown in Figure 6.5. There are trivial solutions where the pendulum is resting without motion at its stable and unstable rest positions $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$. These positions are denoted as *fixed points* of the dynamics. There are closed circular trajectories close to the minimum, $\theta = 0$, of the potential where it is harmonic to a good approximation. These are solutions with energies $0 < 1 + E/MgL \lesssim 1$.

For larger amplitudes the amplitude of the swinging grows, and the circular trajectories get deformed. When E approaches MgL the phase-space trajectories arrive close to the tipping points $\theta = \pm\pi$ where they form very sharp edges. For θ close to $\theta = \pm\pi$ the trajectories look like the hyperbolic scattering trajectories for the potential $-a x^2/2$ that was discussed in Problem 4.25. When the non-dimensional energy is exactly one, the pendulum starts on top, goes through the minimum and returns to the top again. Apart from the fixed points, this is the only case where the evolution can be obtained in terms of elementary functions. For the initial condition $\dot{\theta}(t_i) = 0$ and $\cos \theta_i = -1$ we find

$$\omega^{-1} \dot{\theta}_H(t) = \pm \sqrt{2 + 2 \cos \theta_H(t)} = \pm 2 \cos \frac{\theta_H(t)}{2}$$

The same equation is also obtained for the initial condition $\theta_0 = 0$ and $\dot{\theta}(t_0) = \sqrt{2g/L}$ half-way on the way from the top back to the top. For this initial condition the ODE for $\dot{\theta}_H$ can be integrated, and we find

$$\begin{aligned} \pm 2 \omega (t - t_0) &= \int_0^{\theta(t)} \frac{d\theta}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}} = \ln \tan \frac{\theta + \pi}{4} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \theta_H(t) &= -\pi + 4 \arctan e^{\pm 2\omega (t - t_0)} \end{aligned} \quad (6.3.2)$$

The \pm signs account for the possibility that the pendulum can move clockwise and counterclockwise. The counterclockwise trajectory is shown in Figure 6.6. In the limit $t \rightarrow -\infty$ it starts in the unstable fixed point $\theta = -\pi$. It falls down till it reaches the minimum $\theta = 0$ at time t_0 , and then it rises again, reaching the maximum $\theta = \pi$ for time $t \rightarrow \infty$. Such a trajectory is called a homocline.

Definition 6.6: Homoclines and Heteroclines

Homoclines and *heteroclines* are trajectories that approach a fixed points of a dynamics in their infinite past and future. A homocline returns to the same fixed point from where it started. A heterocline connects two different fixed points.

The take-home message of this example is that the minima and maxima of a potential organize the phase space flow. Close to each minimum a conservative system will have closed trajectories that




Figure 6.6: Anticlockwise moving heterocline for the mathematical pendulum.

represent oscillations in a potential well. The well is confined by maxima to the left and right of the minimum of the potential. When these maxima have different height there is a homoclinic orbit coming down from and returning to the shallower maximum. When they have the same height, they are connected by heteroclinic orbits. Thus, the homoclines and heteroclines divide the phase space into different domains. Initial conditions within the same domain show qualitatively the same dynamics. Initial conditions in different domains feature qualitatively different dynamics. For the mathematical pendulum the heteroclines divide phase space into three domains, up to the 2π translation symmetry of θ :

- There are trajectories oscillating around $\theta = 0$, with energies smaller than MgL . The region of these oscillations is bounded by the heteroclines provided in Equation (6.3.2).
- Trajectories with initial conditions lying above the anticlockwise moving heterocline will persistently rotate anticlockwise and never reverse their motion.
- Trajectories with initial conditions lying below the clockwise moving heterocline will persistently rotate clockwise and never reverse their motion.

The general strategy for sketching phase-space plots is summarized in the following algorithm and illustrated in Figure 6.7.

Algorithm 6.2: Phase space plots

- Identify the minima and maxima of the potential. Mark the minima as (marginally) stable fixed points with velocity zero. Mark the maxima as unstable fixed points with velocity zero.
- Identify the fate of trajectories departing from the unstable fixed points. Identify to this end the closest positions on the potential that have the same height as the maximum. When it is another extremum the orbit will form an homocline. Otherwise, it will be reflected and return to the initial maximum, forming a heterocline. If there is no further point of the same height, the trajectory will escape to infinity.
- Add characteristic trajectories close to the minima, in between and outside of homo- and heteroclines.

In these steps it is advisable to

- Observe the symmetries of the system. To the very least the plot is symmetric with respect to reflection at the horizontal axis, i. e. swapping the sign of the velocity.
- Observe energy conservation (if it applies): The modulus of the velocity takes a local minimum for a maximum of




Figure 6.7: Step by step sketch of a phase-space plot.

the potential, and a local maximum for a minimum of the potential.

6.3.2 The EOM for a pearl on a rotating ring

We consider a pearl of mass M that can freely move on a ring. The ring is mounted vertically in the gravitational field and it spins with angular velocity Ω around its vertical symmetry axis. The setup constrains the position of the pearl to lie on a spherical shell. The position of the pearl on the ring is fully described by the angle $\theta(t)$ of the deflection of the pearl from the direction of gravity (see Figure 6.8). In addition we must specify the orientation of the ring. This will be done by the angle $\varphi(t) = \Omega t$ that enters as a parameter in this time-dependent problem. Hence, the position of the pearl is most conveniently specified in terms of polar coordinates (R, θ, φ) where R takes the constant value ℓ , and $\varphi(t) = \Omega t$ enters as a time-dependent parameter.




Figure 6.8: Motion of a pearl moving on a ring rotating with a fixed frequency Ω .

Theorem 6.3: Basis vectors for spherical coordinates

Let $\{\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}\}$ be a fixed Cartesian basis of \mathbb{R}^3 , and (R, θ, φ) be the spherical coordinates associated to a point $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then

- $R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ is the distance from the origin
- $\theta = \arctan(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/z)$ is the angle with respect to \hat{z} ,
- $\varphi = \arctan(y/x)$ the angle with respect to \hat{x} of the projection of the position into the (x, y) plane.

We denote the vector from the origin to (R, θ, φ) as $R \hat{R}(\theta, \varphi)$. Then the following statements apply

- a) $\hat{\theta} = \partial_{\theta} \hat{R}$ is a vector pointing along the grant circle of the unit sphere selected by (θ, φ) and \hat{z}
- b) $\hat{\varphi} = \hat{R} \times \hat{\theta}$ is a vector tangential to the unit sphere at (θ, φ) and vertical to \hat{z}
- c) For every $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ the vectors $\{\hat{R}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\varphi}\}$ form a right-handed orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Remark 6.5. The directions of the basis vectors $\{\hat{R}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\varphi}\}$ depend on θ and φ . 📖

add sketch of unit vectors for spherical coordinates

Theorem 6.4: Derivatives of the basis vectors for spherical coordinates

The partial θ and φ derivatives of the basis vectors $\{\hat{R}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\varphi}\}$ obey the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\theta \hat{R} &= \hat{\theta} & \partial_\varphi \hat{R} &= \sin \theta \hat{\varphi} \\ \partial_\theta \hat{\theta} &= -\hat{R} & \partial_\varphi \hat{\theta} &= \cos \theta \hat{\varphi} \\ \partial_\theta \hat{\varphi} &= 0 & \partial_\varphi \hat{\varphi} &= -\sin \theta \hat{R} - \cos \theta \hat{\theta} \end{aligned} \quad (6.3.3)$$

Proof. The proofs of Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 are given as Problem 6.6. \square

In polar coordinates the position of the pearl is

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \ell \hat{R}(\theta(t), \varphi(t))$$

We adopt the Lagrange formalism to determine the equation of motion for $\theta(t)$, which is the only coordinate in this setting. (The motion of the pearl has a single degree of freedom.)

The potential energy takes the same form as for the pendulum,

$$U = -M g \cdot \mathbf{x} = -M g \ell \cos \theta(t).$$

The kinetic energy is obtained based on its velocity

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}} &= \ell \frac{d}{dt} \hat{R}(\theta(t), \Omega t) = \ell \dot{\theta} \partial_\theta \hat{R}(\theta(t), \Omega t) + \ell \Omega \partial_\varphi \hat{R}(\theta(t), \Omega t) \\ &= \ell \dot{\theta} \hat{\theta}(\theta(t), \Omega t) + \ell \Omega \sin \theta(t) \hat{\varphi}(\theta(t), \Omega t) \end{aligned}$$

which provides the Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = \frac{M}{2} \ell^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{M}{2} \ell^2 \Omega^2 \sin^2 \theta(t) + M g \ell \cos \theta(t)$$

It only differs from the expression for the spherical pendulum by the fact that $\varphi(t)$ is not a coordinate whose evolution must be determined from an EOM. Rather it is a parameter $\varphi(t) = \Omega t$ provided by the setting of the problem.

The motion only has a single DOF, $\theta(t)$, with EOM


$$\ddot{\theta}(t) = -\frac{g}{\ell} \sin \theta(t) \left(1 - \frac{\ell \Omega^2}{g} \cos \theta(t) \right) \quad (6.3.4)$$

This EOM can once be integrated by the same strategy adopted for the swing and the spherical pendulum. Thus, one finds the effective potential⁵

$$U_{\text{eff}}(\theta) = -\omega^2 \cos \theta \left[1 - \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega} \right)^2 \cos \theta \right] \quad (6.3.5)$$

Figure 6.9 shows the effective potential and phase space portraits for different values of angular momentum, i. e. of the dimensionless control parameter $\kappa = \Omega/\omega$. For $\kappa < 1$ the phase space has the

⁵ A comprehensive discussion of the notion of an effective potential will be provided in Definition 6.11.



same structure as that of a mathematical pendulum, with a stable fixed point at $\theta = 0$. When κ passes through one, this minimum of U_{eff} turns into a maximum, and two new minima emerge at the positions

$$\theta_c = \pm \arccos \kappa^{-2} = \pm \arccos \left(\frac{\omega}{\Omega} \right)^2 \quad (6.3.6)$$

that are indicated by a dotted gray line in the left panel of Figure 6.9. The new maximum at zero is always shallower than the maxima at $\pm\pi$. Hence, it gives rise to two homoclinic orbit that wind around the new stable fixed points. The maxima at $\pm\pi$ will further we connected by heteroclinic orbits. Hence, phase space is divided into five distinct regions. For energies smaller than $U_{\text{eff}}(\theta = 0)$ the trajectories wiggle around one of the stable fixed points. They stay on one side of the ring and oscillate around the angle θ_c . There are two regions of this type because the pearl can stay on both sides of the ring. For $U_{\text{eff}}(\theta = 0) < E < U_{\text{eff}}(\theta = \pi)$ the trajectories show oscillations back and forth between the two sides of the ring. For $E > U_{\text{eff}}(\theta = \pi)$ they rotate around the ring in clockwise or counter-clockwise direction for $\dot{\theta} < 0$ or $\dot{\theta} > 0$, respectively.

There are two take-home message from this example:

1. There are no conservation laws in the dynamics when there are explicitly time-dependent constraints. Hence, the strategies of Chapter 4 to establish and discuss the EOM can no longer be applied. However, the Lagrange formalism still provides the EOM in a straightforward manner.

2. In general, the structure of the phase-space flow changes upon varying the dimensionless control parameters of the dynamics. These changes are called bifurcations, and they are a very active field of contemporary research in theoretical mechanics. The pearl on the ring features a pitchfork bifurcation since the positions of the fixed points resemble the shape of a pitch fork (see Figure 6.10).

Figure 6.9: The left panel shows the effective potential for the pearl on a ring for parameter values $(\Omega/\omega) \in \{0, 2^{-1/2}, 1, 1.2, 1.5, 2, 5\}$ from bottom to top. The subsequent panels show phase-space portraits of the motion for $\Omega/\omega = 2^{-1/2}, 1$, and 2 , respectively.





Figure 6.10: Parameter dependence of the positions of the fixed points of the rotation governor. Solid lines mark stable fixed points, and unstable fixed

Definition 6.7: Pitchfork bifurcation


Upon variation of a parameter p a stable fixed point x_* of a one-dimensional ODE may lose stability at some parameter p_c , turning into an unstable fixed point. In that case one will encounter one of the following scenarios:

supercritical pitchfork bifurcation At p_c two new stable fixed points emerge to its left and right of x_* .

subcritical pitchfork bifurcation There were unstable fixed points to the left and right of x_* that merge with x_* at the parameter p_c . Subsequently there is only a single unstable fixed point.

Remark 6.6. The supercritical pitchfork bifurcation is commonly simply denoted as pitchfork bifurcation. 

Remark 6.7. In classical mechanics a pitchfork bifurcation, Figure 6.10, emerges whenever a minimum of a potential is deformed to turn it into a maximum that is then surrounded by minima on both sides, as shown in Figure 6.9.

The supercritical pitchfork bifurcation refers to a situation where we initially have a minimum surrounded by two maxima, and then the minimum is pushed until it disappears. When this happens the maxima approach each other, and eliminate the dent in between. An example is provided in Problem 6.8. 

add fig for supercritical pitchfork




Figure 6.11: Rotational governor and throttle valve. When the rotation speed exceeds a critical value the weights move outward and the arm opens a valve that reduces pressure in the steam engine. (Image from “Discoveries & Inventions of the Nineteenth Century” by R. Routledge, 13th edition, published 1900, Public domain, via [Wikimedia Commons](#))

6.3.3 Centrifugal Governor

The sharp increase of θ_c in Equation (6.3.6), when the rotation frequency ω rises beyond Ω is used in a feedback mechanism of the governor to control the rotation speed of steam engines (Figure 6.11).

Oscillations around the stable fixed points is an undesirable feature of the governor such that some dissipation is welcome. We revisit Equation (6.2.1) to extend the Lagrange formalism for forces that do not derive from a potential

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= - \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \frac{d}{dt} (\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot m \dot{\mathbf{x}}) = - \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt (\delta \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot m \dot{\mathbf{x}} + \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot m \ddot{\mathbf{x}}) \\ &= - \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt (\delta \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{x}}} \mathcal{L} + \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot m (F_d - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Phi)) \\ &= \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \left(-F_d + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L} - \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{x}}} \mathcal{L} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, an additional dissipative force $F_d = -\gamma \dot{\theta} \hat{\theta}$ will give rise to an additional additive term in Equation (6.3.4) such that the rotational governor has an EOM

$$\ddot{\theta}(t) = -\frac{g}{\ell} \sin \theta(t) \left(1 - \frac{\ell \Omega^2}{g} \cos \theta(t) \right) - \frac{\gamma}{M} \dot{\theta}(t)$$

and the energy evolves as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{2} + U_{\text{eff}}(\theta) \right) = -\frac{\gamma}{M} \dot{\theta}^2(t)$$

Small friction deflects the trajectories towards smaller values of the effective potential, until the system comes to rest in a stable fixed point. For $\Omega/\omega = 2$ the impact of dissipation $\gamma/M = 0.2$ and 2.0 is shown in Figure 6.12.




Figure 6.12: Phase-space plot for a rotational governor with rotation frequency $\Omega = 2\omega$. The colors of gray in the background show contour levels of the energy. The streamlines indicated the evolution of the dynamics for (left) weak dissipation, $\gamma = 0.2$, and (right) strong dissipation, $\gamma = 2$, dissipation. Due to dissipation the trajectories acquire a component downwards in energy.

6.3.4 Carousel

The positions in the systems that we treated so far were described in terms of polar coordinates (mathematical pendulum, Section 6.3.1) and spherical coordinates (pearl on a rotating ring, Section 6.3.2). We will now address a system where we adopt *cylindrical coordinates* to describe particle positions: the motion of the beats of a toy carousel that is shown in Figure 6.13. The carousel is composed of four cantilever beams of length R that extend outwards from a vertical axis that is rotating with angular velocity Ω . At the far end of each beam there is a pendulum attached that freely swings in outward direction. The inclination of the pendulum arm towards gravity will be denoted as θ . (Oscillations parallel to the motion of the beams are not be considered.) The pendulum arm has a length L and it carries a weight m . Due to a magnetic contact the pendulum experiences minimal friction in its motion. Henceforth we the focus on the motion of one of the beats.

We pick the origin of the coordinate system on the rotation axes right on the height of the cantilever. The rotation is around the vertical axes characterized by the unit vector \hat{z} . Looking from the top (right panel of Figure 6.13) the pendulum arm sticks out in direction $\varphi = \Omega t$. We adopt polar coordinates, as introduced in Remark 6.3, in the horizontal plane vertical to \hat{z} . We denote the position of the fulcrum of the pendulum as $\mathbf{R} = R\hat{r}(\varphi)$, and express the vector from the fulcrum to the weight as $L \sin \theta \hat{r}(\varphi) - L \cos \theta \hat{z}$.

add sketch of unit vectors for cylindrical coordinates

This amounts to a representation of the position of the mass in terms of *cylindrical coordinates* (see ??).

Theorem 6.5: Basis vectors for cylindrical coordinates

Let $\{\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 , and $(R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \varphi = \tan(y/x), z)$ be the cylindrical coordinates associated to a point with Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) . Then

- $R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ is the distance of the point from the \hat{z} axis
- $\varphi = \arctan(y/x)$ the angle with respect to \hat{x} of the projection of the position into the (x, y) plane.

We denote the vector from the origin to (R, φ, z) as $\mathbf{x} = z \hat{z} + R \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\varphi)$. Then, the following statements apply

- $\hat{\varphi} = \partial_{\varphi} \hat{\mathbf{R}}$ and \hat{z} are the horizontal and vertical unit vectors tangential to the surface of a cylinder with axis pointing along \hat{z}
- For every $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ the vectors $\{\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\varphi), \hat{\varphi}(\varphi), \hat{z}\}$ form a right-handed orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 .

The φ -derivatives of $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\hat{\varphi}$ follow the same rules as for polar coordinates, Theorem 6.1.



Proof. The proof is left to the reader. □

The position \mathbf{x} and the velocity $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ of the weight attached to the carousel are

$$\mathbf{x} = (R + L \sin \theta) \hat{\mathbf{r}}(\Omega t) - L \cos \theta \hat{z}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = (R + L \sin \theta) \Omega \hat{\varphi}(\Omega t) + L \cos \theta \dot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{r}}(\Omega t) + L \sin \theta \dot{\theta} \hat{z}$$

Figure 6.13: Experimental setup and description of configurations for a toy carousel.



The kinetic energy and potential energy are

$$T = \frac{m}{2} \dot{x}^2 = \frac{m}{2} \left[L^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + (R + L \sin \theta)^2 \Omega^2 \right]$$

$$V = -mgL \cos \theta$$

and the Euler-Lagrange equation for $\theta(t)$ take the form

$$m L^2 \ddot{\theta}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} = m \Omega^2 (R + L \sin \theta) \cos \theta - mgL \sin \theta$$

We introduce

- the eigenfrequency of the hanging arm, $\omega = \sqrt{g/L}$
- the ratio of frequencies, $\tau = \Omega/\omega$
- the ratio of the length of the arms, $\lambda = R/L$

and absorb ω into the dimensionless time scale. Thus, we find

$$\ddot{\theta} = \tau^2 (\lambda + \sin \theta) \cos \theta - \sin \theta$$

which admits a conserved energy-like quantity

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\dot{\theta}^2}{2} + U_{\text{eff}}(\theta)$$

with an effective potential

$$U_{\text{eff}}(\theta) = -\frac{\tau^2}{2} (\lambda + \sin \theta)^2 - \cos \theta \quad (6.3.7)$$

The left panel of Figure 6.14 shows the effective potential for a fixed ratio $L/R = 4$ and different values of Ω/ω . For small frequencies, $\Omega/\omega = 0.2$, the masses are pushed outwards such that the equilibrium position is no longer at $\theta = 0$. Otherwise, the phase space plot looks like the one of a mathematical pendulum. For increasing $\tau = \Omega/\omega$ a shoulder emerges in the potential, and for $\tau > \tau_c \simeq 1.5$ this leads to the emergence of a new minimum with $-\pi/2 < \theta_- < 0$. It is separated from the previous minimum by a maximum at θ_+ with $-\theta_- \gg -\theta_+ > 0$. For $\tau > \tau_c$ there are two stable fixed points that lie in regions surrounded by homoclinic trajectories that start and end at θ_+ . Further outside there are oscillating trajectories that move around both fixed points, and beyond the heteroclinic trajectories that connect the maxima of the potential one finds trajectories that keep rotating in the same direction.

When increasing the rotation frequency beyond τ_c a second stable solution emerges in the system. To find the parameters where it emerges we observe that fixed points emerge at positions θ where the effective force vanishes

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta &= \tau^2 (\lambda + \sin \theta) \cos \theta \\ \Rightarrow \lambda_\tau(\theta) &= \frac{\tan \theta}{\tau^2} - \sin \theta \end{aligned}$$




Figure 6.14: The effective potential (left) and phase space plots for the angle θ for $\lambda = 0.5, 1.5$ and 2.0 (from left to right). This equation is solved for a unique angle θ_c when $\lambda_\tau(\theta)$ is monotonic, and potential extrema of $\lambda_\tau(\theta)$ must fulfill

$$0 = \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{\tau^2} \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta_c} - \cos \theta_c \quad \Rightarrow \quad \cos \theta_c = \tau^{-2/3}$$

Consequently,

for $\tau < 1$ the function $\lambda_\tau(\theta)$ is monotonous such that there is a unique fixed point. It is a minimum.

for $\tau > 1$ the function $\lambda_\tau(\theta)$ has a maximum and a minimum such that there can be up to three fixed point: two minima and a maximum.

The range values $\lambda = R/L$ where there are two minima is bounded by the extrema $\lambda_\tau(\theta_c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_c(\theta_c) &= \sin \theta_c \left(\frac{1}{\tau^2 \cos \theta_c} - 1 \right) = \mp \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \theta_c} \left(\frac{1}{\tau^2 \cos \theta_c} - 1 \right) \\ &= \pm \left(1 - \tau^{-4/3} \right)^{3/2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is a single maximum for $\tau = \Omega/\omega < 1$ and when $R/L > \left(1 - \tau^{-4/3} \right)^{3/2}$ for $\tau > 1$. In Figure 6.15 this conclusion is visualized in a phase diagram where this aspect of the behavior is marked in the parameter plane of the problem, i. e. as function of $\tau = \Omega/\omega$ and $\lambda = R/L$.

Definition 6.8: Phase Diagram

A phase diagram for some property of a dynamics is a plot that shows for which parameters this property can be observed.

It is illuminating to observe that the motion of the pearl on the rotating ring is recovered as the special case $R = 0$ of the carousel dynamics. For $\lambda = 0$ the effective potential, Equation (6.3.7), has three critical points for $\tau > 1$, and two of them disappear in a pitchfork bifurcation when they all meet at $\theta = 0$ for $\tau = 1$. In terms of the condition on the forces this happens when at $\theta = 0$ the slope of $\tau^2 \sin \theta$ becomes larger than the one of $\tan \theta$. For $\tau > 0$





Figure 6.15: Phase diagram with positions of the bifurcations as function of τ and λ .

there will be three intersections of the two functions rather than a single one.

The scenario for $\lambda > 0$ is different. This is most easily seen by considering a value $\tau > 1$ and increasing λ . For $\lambda = 0$ there are intersections of $\tau^2 \sin \theta$ and $\tan \theta$ at $\theta \in \{0, \pm\theta_c\}$. Increasing λ amounts to a vertical displacement of the sine function. The critical points that are initially at $\pm\theta_c$ will then move right and the one in the middle moves to the left because $\lambda + \sin \theta > 0$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $\theta = 0$. Therefore, the minimum to the right will persist, and the minimum to the left will at some point merge with the maximum and they will annihilate. Such a scenario is called a saddle-node bifurcation.

Definition 6.9: Saddle-node bifurcation

We consider a one-dimensional ODE for a real variable x that depends on a parameter p . In a certain range of parameters $p \in \mathbb{U}$ the system has a stable fixed point at x_s and an unstable fixed point at x_u . A saddle-node bifurcation emerges at $p_* \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ when $x_u(p)$ and $x_s(p)$ meet and annihilate at $x_* = x_u(p_*) = x_s(p_*)$.

Remark 6.8. In classical mechanics a saddle-node bifurcation emerges when the height difference between a minimum and a neighboring maximum of a potential diminishes, becomes zero in a saddle point, and subsequently, the potential will no longer have no critical point in the considered region. 

6.3.5 Self Test

Problem 6.6. Basis vectors for spherical coordinates

- a) Verify by explicit calculation that \hat{R} , $\hat{\theta}$, and $\hat{\phi}$ obey the relations

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\partial \hat{R}}{\partial \theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\phi} = \hat{R} \times \hat{\theta},$$

and that they form an orthonormal basis.

- b) How is $\hat{\phi}$ related to $\partial \hat{R} / \partial \phi$?
- c) Verify also the other expressions, Equation (6.3.3), for the partial derivatives of the basis vectors.

Problem 6.7. Phase-space analysis for a pearl on a rotating ring

- a) Evaluate $\dot{x}(t) = \ell \dot{\hat{R}}(\theta(t), \Omega t)$ based on the relations established in Problem 6.6.
- b) Determine the kinetic energy T and the potential energy V of the pearl.
- c) Fill in the steps in the derivation of the EOM for θ , as provided in Equation (6.3.4).

- d) Verify that neither the energy nor any of the coordinates of momentum and angular momentum are conserved for this motion.

Problem 6.8. An EOM with a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation

Consider the equation of motion

$$\ddot{x} = x(x^2 - 1)(x^2 - a)$$

where the right-hand side is considered as a dimensionless force on a particle that resides at the dimensionless position $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The dynamics depends on the parameter $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Determine the fixed points of the dynamics, and verify that $x = 0$ is a stable fixed point for $a < 0$ and an unstable fixed point for $a > 0$.
- Verify that the dynamics has a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation at $a = 0$.
- Sketch the bifurcation diagram, i.e. a plot analogous to Figure 6.10 where the positions of the fixed points are indicated as function of a . Use solid lines for stable fixed points and dotted lines for unstable fixed points.
- Sketch the form of the potential for dynamics with $a < 0$, $0 < a < 1$, and $a > 1$.

Problem 6.9. An EOM with a saddle-node bifurcation

Consider the equation of motion

$$\ddot{x} = (1 - x)(x^2 + a)$$

where the right-hand side is considered as a dimensionless force on a particle that resides at the dimensionless position $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The dynamics depends on the parameter $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Determine the fixed points of the dynamics, and verify that the EOM has three fixed points for $a < 0$, two fixed points for $a = 0$, and a single fixed point for $a > 0$.
- Sketch the bifurcation diagram, i.e. a plot analogous to Figure 6.10 where the positions of the fixed points are indicated as function of a . Use solid lines for stable fixed points and dotted lines for unstable fixed points.
- Sketch the form of the potential for dynamics with $a < -1$, $-1 < a < 0$, and $a > 0$.

6.4 Several degrees of freedom and conservation laws

In Section 6.3 we discussed the EOM of systems with one degree of freedom. In the present section this analysis is extended to systems

with two and more degrees of freedom. Again the discussion will be based on examples:

1. We first discuss uniform motion where there are no forces acting on the particle. Here, we learn about the concept of cyclic observables, and how momentum conservation is related to translation invariance.
2. Subsequently, we address the case of uniform acceleration. In this case there only is translation invariance in the directions normal to the acceleration. The corresponding components of the momentum are still preserved.
3. For systems with harmonic, Hookian forces we explore the relation between rotation invariance and the conservation of angular momentum. Moreover, we introduce the concepts of an *effective potential* and of a *rotation barrier*.
4. Finally, we discuss the spherical pendulum. It constitutes an example with a generic, non-trivial coupling of the evolution of its different DOF. We will learn how to exploit conservation laws to decompose the dynamics into distinct dynamics with a single degree of freedom.

6.4.1 Force-free motion

When there are no forces acting on the particle we have

$$T = \frac{m}{2} \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 = \frac{m}{2} \sum_i \dot{x}_i^2$$

$$V = 0$$

Note that the Lagrange function $\mathcal{L} = T - V$ only depends on the velocity $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ and not on the position \mathbf{q} . As a consequence, the Euler-Lagrange equation for the coordinate x_i requires that the acceleration of the particle vanishes,

$$m \ddot{x}_i = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

Alternatively, one may also express this result in the form of momentum conservation (cf. Section 3.4.3),

$$\dot{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{d}{dt} (m \dot{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{x}}} \mathcal{L} = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{0}$$

Momentum conservation therefore is an immediate consequence of the Euler-Lagrange equation for systems where the Lagrange function does not depend on the coordinates.

The general case is summarized in the following definition:

Definition 6.10: Cyclic coordinates

A coordinate q_i is called *cyclic* when the Lagrange function depends only on its time derivative \dot{q}_i , and not on q_i . In that case the associated Euler-Lagrange equation establishes a

conservation law,

$$C = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i}.$$

After all

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} = 0.$$

Remark 6.9. The constant value of C is determined by the initial conditions on \dot{q}_i and on the other coordinates. \square

Based on the concepts introduced in Problem 4.35, this finding generalizes as follows to a translation-invariant dynamics of N particles

Theorem 6.6: Momentum conservation and translation invariance

Let, $\mathbf{q} = (q_i, i = 1 \dots N)$, be the Cartesian coordinate vector of N particles with masses m_i that reside at the positions $\mathbf{q}_i(t)$. We consider a dynamics with conservative forces that derive from an translation-invariant potential $V(\mathbf{q}, t) = \sum_{i < j} \Phi_{ij}(|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|, t)$. Then the total momentum $\mathbf{P} = \sum_i m_i \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i$ is conserved.

Proof. The kinetic energy of the dynamics is

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i}{2} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i^2$$

and by assumption $V(\mathbf{q}, t)$ does not depend on $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$. Hence,

$$\sum_k \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}_k} \mathcal{L} = \sum_k \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}_k} T = \sum_i \frac{m_i}{2} \left(\sum_k \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}_k} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\mathbf{q}}_i = \mathbf{P}$$

Consequently, the time derivative of \mathbf{P} amounts to

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_k \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}_k} \mathcal{L} = \sum_k \nabla_{\mathbf{q}_k} \mathcal{L} = - \sum_{i < j} \left(\sum_k \nabla_{\mathbf{q}_k} \Phi_{ij}(|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|, t) \right)$$

since T does not involve the coordinates \mathbf{q}_k . Now, we observe that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{q}_k} |\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j| = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j}{|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|} & \text{for } k = i \\ \frac{\mathbf{q}_j - \mathbf{q}_i}{|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|} & \text{for } k = j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

We denote the derivative of $\Phi(d, t)$ with respect to its d as $\Phi'(d, t)$ and observe that the sum over k will have two non-vanishing contributions,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} &= - \sum_{i < j} \left[\frac{\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j}{|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|} \Phi'_{ij}(|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|, t) + \frac{\mathbf{q}_j - \mathbf{q}_i}{|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|} \Phi'_{ij}(|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|, t) \right] \\ &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

6.4.2 Free flight

A particle experiencing free flight is subject to a constant force, i. e. a force that is uniform in space and that does not depend on time. A common example is a particle of mass m close to the Earth surface. The particle is subject to a constant acceleration g (Section 4.2) that gives rise to a potential

$$V(\mathbf{q}) = -m g \cdot \mathbf{q}$$

For Cartesian coordinates $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$ where the first coordinate axis is aligned antiparallel to \mathbf{g} the Lagrange function takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{m}{2} (\dot{q}_1^2 + \dot{q}_2^2 + \dot{q}_3^2) \\ V &= m g q_1 \\ \mathcal{L} &= T - V = \frac{m}{2} (\dot{q}_1^2 + \dot{q}_2^2 + \dot{q}_3^2) - m g q_1. \end{aligned}$$

In this setting q_2 and q_3 are cyclic variables such that the corresponding components of the momentum, $\mathbf{P} = m \dot{\mathbf{q}}$, are conserved,

$$\dot{P}_2 = \dot{P}_3 = 0.$$

Moreover, for the first component we find

$$m \ddot{q}_1 = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_1} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_1} = m g$$

such that we recover the EOM (4.2.1) with the solution, Equation (4.2.2).

The impact of constant external forces on a set of N particles is discussed in Problem 6.10.

6.4.3 Harmonic Oscillator

A force \mathbf{F} that is proportional to the distance \mathbf{q} from some reference position is denoted as a harmonic, or Hookian spring force, $\mathbf{F} = -k \mathbf{q}$ (cf. Remark 3.4). One readily checks that it derives from a potential

$$V = \frac{k}{2} \mathbf{q}^2$$

such that the Lagrange function for a particle of mass m takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{m}{2} \dot{\mathbf{q}}^2 - \frac{k}{2} \mathbf{q}^2$$

Accordingly, the Euler-Lagrange equations provide the EOM

$$\ddot{\mathbf{q}} = -\frac{k}{m} \mathbf{q}. \quad (6.4.1)$$

The equation for each component can be solved independently, providing

$$q_i(t) = A_i \sin(\alpha_i + \omega t) \quad \text{with} \quad \omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}.$$

Here the amplitudes A_i and the phase factors α_i are integration constants that must be chosen in accordance with the initial condition $(\mathbf{q}(t_0), \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t_0))$.

Even though we found an explicit solution is non-trivial to sort out how the trajectories will look like. One can gain additional insight into their shape by describing the motion in terms of spherical coordinates, Theorem 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{q} &= R(t) \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) \\ \dot{\mathbf{q}} &= \dot{R}(t) \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) + R(t) \dot{\theta}(t) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) + R(t) \sin \theta(t) \dot{\varphi}(t) \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t))\end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}T &= \frac{m}{2} \left(\dot{R}^2(t) + R^2(t) \dot{\theta}^2(t) + R^2(t) \dot{\varphi}^2(t) \sin^2 \theta(t) \right) \\ V &= \frac{k}{2} R^2(t)\end{aligned}$$

The potential is rotationally symmetric. It depends only on R , and neither on θ nor on φ . Moreover, the kinetic energy also does not involve φ . Hence, φ is cyclic. It provides the conservation law

$$C_\varphi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = m R^2 \sin^2 \theta(t) \dot{\varphi} \quad (6.4.2)$$

Moreover, the Euler-Lagrange equation for θ provides

$$\begin{aligned}m R^2(t) \ddot{\theta} + 2 m R \dot{R} \dot{\theta} &= \frac{d}{dt} (m R^2 \dot{\theta}) = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} = m R^2(t) \dot{\varphi}^2(t) \sin \theta \cos \theta \quad (6.4.3)\end{aligned}$$

This equation has a fixed point for $\theta = \pi/2$. We therefore *choose* the polar coordinates such that the initial position \mathbf{q} and the initial velocity $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ lie in the equatorial plane of the coordinate system, i. e. we require that $\theta(t_0) = \pi/2$ and that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi_0\right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t_0) \\ &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi_0\right) \cdot \left[\dot{R}(t_0) \hat{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi_0\right) + R_0 \dot{\theta}(t_0) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi_0\right) + R_0 \dot{\varphi}(t_0) \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \varphi_0\right) \right] \\ &= R_0 \dot{\theta}(t_0)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\theta}(t_0) = 0 \quad \text{unless } R_0 = 0,$$

and for $R_0 = 0$ one can still choose the coordinates such that $\dot{\theta}(t_0) = 0$. For this choice the angular momentum will take the constant value

$$\mathbf{L} = R \hat{\mathbf{R}} \times m (\dot{R} \hat{\mathbf{R}} + R \dot{\varphi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}) = m R^2 \dot{\varphi} \hat{\mathbf{R}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = C_\varphi \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Hence, Equation (6.4.3) expresses the conservation of the modulus of angular momentum, and the motion is confined to a plane due to the conservation of the direction of the angular momentum. This is in line with the analogous observation in the Kepler problem, Section 4.7.1.

For $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\dot{\theta} = 0$ the EOM for $R(t)$ takes the form

$$m \ddot{R} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{R}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R} = m R \dot{\phi}^2 - k R$$

We employ angular-momentum conservation, $C_\phi = m R^2 \dot{\phi}$, to eliminate $\dot{\phi}$ in this equation. Subsequently, we introduce the dimensionless time, $\tau = \omega(t - t_0)$, and distance, $r = R/R_c$, based on the frequency $\omega = \sqrt{k/m}$ and the length scale $R_c = \sqrt{C_\phi/m\omega}$:

$$\frac{d^2 r}{d\tau^2} = \frac{1}{r^3} - r \quad (6.4.4)$$

Multiplication by $2\dot{r}$ and integration provides

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r}^2(\tau) - \dot{r}^2(0) &= \int_0^\tau d\tau \frac{dr^2}{d\tau} = - \int_0^\tau d\tau \frac{dr}{d\tau} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2r^2} \right) \\ &= - \int_{r_0}^{r(\tau)} dr \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2r^2} \right) = - \left(\frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2r^2} \right)_{r_0}^{r(\tau)} \end{aligned}$$

This entails conservation of the dimensionless energy,

$$e = \frac{\dot{r}^2}{2} + \frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2r^2} \quad (6.4.5)$$

This amounts to the EOM, (6.4.4), of a particle at position $r(\tau)$ that follows a dynamics with energy conservation, Equation (6.4.5), where it has a dimensionless kinetic energy $\dot{r}^2/2$ and a potential energy $r^2/2 + 1/(2r^2)$. Hence, we refer to it as effective potential for the r dynamics.

Definition 6.11: Effective potential

Let $\mathcal{L}(\dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dots, q_1)$ be a Lagrange function of a dynamics that depends only on the velocities $\dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dots$ and on the first coordinate q_1 . All other coordinates are either cyclic or fixed to specific values by other means. Then the conservation laws resulting for the dynamics of q_i with $i > 1$ can be used to eliminate the velocities \dot{q}_i for $i > 1$. This results in an EOM for $q_1(t)$ that only involves $\ddot{q}_1, \dot{q}_1, q_1$, and conserved quantities with values fixed by initial conditions. Often this equation can be integrated once, and cast into the dimensionless form


$$e = \frac{\dot{\Theta}^2(\tau)}{2} + \Phi_{\text{eff}}(\Theta(\tau))$$

where e is a constant of motion, τ is the dimensionless time, Θ is some function of \dot{q}_1 and q_1 , and $\dot{\Theta}$ is the τ derivative of Θ .

This maps the q_1 dynamics to the motion of a particle with a single DOF Θ , kinetic energy $\dot{\Theta}^2/2$, and an *effective potential* $\Phi_{\text{eff}}(\Theta)$.

Remark 6.10. If the system conserves energy, then e will often amount to the non-dimensionalized energy.



Remark 6.11. In Sections 6.3.2 and 6.3.4 we encountered settings where the angle φ was controlled by an external motor such that the energy is not conserved (cf. Problem 6.7). In this case the constraint on φ allows us to math the non-inertial dynamics of the rotating system into an autonomous dynamics with the effective potentials, Equations (6.3.5) and (6.3.7), respectively. 

In the coordinate plane the trajectories of the harmonic oscillator that take the form of closed ellipses. This is most transparently confirmed by choosing the Cartesian coordinates $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$ in Equation (6.4.1) such that q_3 vanishes and adopting a complex variable $z = q_1 + i q_2$. The EOM will then take the form $\ddot{z} = -\omega^2 z$, and it has the general solution

$$z(t) = z_1 e^{i\omega(t-t_0)} + z_2 e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)}$$

We express the complex integration constants z_1 and z_2 in terms of four real numbers A , B , α , and β ,

$$z_1 = \frac{A+B}{2} e^{i(\beta+\alpha)} \quad z_2 = \frac{A-B}{2} e^{i(\beta-\alpha)}$$

and find

$$z(t) = e^{i\beta} [A \cos(\alpha + \omega(t-t_0)) + i B \sin(\alpha + \omega(t-t_0))] \quad (6.4.6)$$

The amplitudes A and B describe the length of the semi-axes of the ellipse. The angle β rotates the ellipse, i. e. it describes the orientation of the axes. The angle α is a phase factor that establishes the position on the ellipse where the particle started at time t_0 . A sketch of the resulting trajectory is provided in Figure 6.16.

We observe that the trajectory keeps a minimum distance B to the origin. For the harmonic potential this distance can be calculated explicitly by observing that \dot{R} vanishes at the minimum distance R_{\min} such that energy conservation entails

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{C_\varphi^2}{R_{\min}^2} + \frac{k}{2} R_{\min}^2 \\ \Rightarrow R_{\min}^2 &= \frac{E}{k} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{C_\varphi}{kE} \right)^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.4.7)$$

Hence, the trajectory can go through the origin iff $C_\varphi = 0$.

This observation holds for every system with energy conservation and a potential that obeys cylinder symmetry.⁶

Theorem 6.7: Rotation barrier

Let a particle of mass m follow a dynamics where the energy E and a component $C_\varphi = \hat{n} \cdot L$ of the angular momentum L are conserved. Then the particle will keep a minimum




Figure 6.16: Sketch of the configuration-space trajectory of a harmonic oscillator, Equation (6.4.6). The blue line indicates the positions visited by the trajectory. The light green dot indicates the initial position at time t_0 . The dark green arrow indicates the position at some time t . The particle will reside at that time at a position at a distance $|z|$ from the origin with an angle $\beta + \alpha + \omega(t-t_0)$ with respect to the positive q_1 axis.

⁶ Here, cylinder symmetry is a weaker condition than spherical symmetry. It is sufficient when the potential is symmetric under rotation around *some* axis. Spherical symmetry requires that it is symmetric under rotation around *every* axis.

distance

$$R_{\min} = \frac{|C_\varphi|}{\sqrt{2m(E - \Phi_{\min})}} \quad (6.4.8)$$

from the axis \hat{n} . Here, Φ_{\min} is a lower bound to the potential energy. The non-vanishing angular momentum C_φ sets up a *rotation barrier* preventing the system to enter a region close to the rotation axis.

Proof. We adopt cylinder coordinates (R, φ, z) with a symmetry axis \hat{z} aligned along \hat{n} . Then the kinetic energy and L_n amount to

$$T = \frac{m}{2} (\dot{z}^2 + R^2 \dot{\varphi}^2)$$

$$C_\varphi = m R^2 \dot{\varphi}$$

As a consequence, we have

$$E - \varphi_{\min} \geq E - U(R, z) = T \geq \frac{m}{2} R^2 \dot{\varphi}^2 = \frac{C_\varphi^2}{2m R^2}$$

The bound is obtained by solving this inequality for R . \square

Remark 6.12. Equation (6.4.8) implies that also in general the rotation axis can only be approached for $C_\varphi = 0$. \square

Remark 6.13. It is not necessary that the potential $U(R, z)$ has a minimum for $R = 0$. The argument works in exactly the same way when it has a maximum.⁷ An example is provided in Problem 6.12. \square

⁷ Due to the cylinder symmetry the potential will either have a minimum or a maximum.

Remark 6.14. Energy conservation always provides an implicit equation for R_{\min} . In general this equation can not be solved for R_{\min} such that one can only provide the inequality, (6.4.8). However, besides for the harmonic potential, Equation (6.4.7), one can also explicitly determine the solution for the Kepler potential. This derivation is given as Problem 6.11. \square

6.4.4 Spherical pendulum

The force-free motion, free flight and harmonic oscillator all feature EOM where the motion of the different degrees of freedom were not coupled. This very exceptional. As a first step towards a more generic setting we discuss the dynamics of the spherical pendulum.

The spherical pendulum describes the motion of a mass M that is mounted on a bar of fixed length ℓ whose other end is fixed to a pivot. Thus, the position of the mass is constraint to a spherical shell. We adopt spherical coordinates to describe the position as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \ell \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta(t) \cos \varphi(t) \\ \sin \theta(t) \sin \varphi(t) \\ -\cos \theta(t) \end{pmatrix} = \ell \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t))$$

Note that the angle θ is measured here with respect the negative z axis, in contrast to the definition adopted in Theorem 6.3 (see Figure 6.17). As a consequence, we have now




Figure 6.17: Spherical coordinates adopted to describe the motion of a spherical pendulum.

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\theta, \varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \varphi \\ \cos \theta \sin \varphi \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\theta, \varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $0 < \theta < \pi$ and $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$. The same rules for the derivatives apply as provided in Theorem 6.4, but now $\{\hat{\mathbf{R}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\}$ provides a right handed coordinate system, i. e. $\hat{\mathbf{R}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = -\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$.

The angle θ denotes the angle between the position the mass and the gravitational field. Consequently, the potential energy in the gravitational field is obtained as

$$U = -M \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x} = -M g \ell \cos \theta(t).$$

The angle φ describes in which direction the mass is deflected from the vertical line, in a plane orthogonal to the action of gravity.

For the velocity we find based on the chain rule and the derivatives of the unit vectors, Equation (6.3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}} &= \ell \dot{\theta} \partial_{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) + \ell \dot{\varphi} \partial_{\varphi} \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) \\ &= \ell \dot{\theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) + \ell \dot{\varphi} \sin \theta(t) \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) \end{aligned}$$

The expression for $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ and the orthogonality condition $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = 0$ for the base vectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ provide the kinetic energy

$$T = \frac{M}{2} \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 = \frac{M}{2} \ell^2 \dot{\theta}^2(t) + \frac{M}{2} \ell^2 \sin^2 \theta(t) \dot{\varphi}^2(t)$$

Consequently, the Lagrange function for the spherical pendulum takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \varphi, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\varphi}) = \frac{M}{2} \ell^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{M}{2} \ell^2 \sin^2 \theta(t) \dot{\varphi}^2(t) + M g \ell \cos \theta(t)$$

We observe that \mathcal{L} does not depend on φ . In that case it is advisable to first discuss the EOM for φ . It takes the form

$$M \ell^2 \frac{d}{dt} \left(\dot{\varphi} \sin^2 \theta(t) \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} = 0$$

The derivative of the Lagrange function with respect to φ vanishes because \mathcal{L} does not depend on φ . Such a coordinate is called cyclic, and it always implies a conservation law. For the spherical pendulum it entails the conservation law

$$C_{\varphi} = \dot{\varphi} \sin^2 \theta(t) = \text{const} \quad (6.4.9)$$

Comparison to Equation (6.4.2) reveals C_{φ} is proportional to the z-component of the angular momentum. The conservation law provides an expression of $\dot{\varphi}$ in terms of θ

$$\dot{\varphi}(t) = \frac{C_{\varphi}}{\sin^2 \theta(t)} \quad (6.4.10)$$




Figure 6.18: The left panel shows the effective potential for the spherical pendulum at parameter values $C_\varphi^2 \in \{0, 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 3\}$ from bottom to top. The subsequent panels show phase-space portraits of the motion for $C_\varphi^2 = 0.01, 0.1$, and 1 , respectively.

Let us now consider to the other coordinate of the spherical pendulum. The EOM for $\theta(t)$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} M \ell^2 \ddot{\theta}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} = M \ell^2 \dot{\varphi}^2(t) \sin \theta(t) \cos \theta(t) - M g \ell \sin \theta(t). \end{aligned}$$

In this equation the unknown function $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ can be eliminated by means of the conservation law, Equation (6.4.9), yielding

$$\ddot{\theta}(t) = \frac{C_\varphi^2 \cos \theta(t)}{\sin^3 \theta(t)} - \frac{g}{\ell} \sin \theta(t) \quad (6.4.11)$$

For $C_\varphi = 0$ the particle swings in a fixed plane selected by $\varphi = \text{const}$. Its motion amounts to that of the mathematical pendulum, Equation (6.3.1).

The resulting EOM can be integrated once by multiplication with $2\dot{\theta}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}^2(t) - \dot{\theta}^2(t_0) &= \int_{t_0}^t dt \, 2\dot{\theta} \left(\frac{C_\varphi^2 \cos \theta(t)}{\sin^3 \theta(t)} - \frac{g}{\ell} \sin \theta(t) \right) \\ &= -2 \int_{\theta(t_0)}^{\theta(t)} d\theta \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(-\frac{C_\varphi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} + \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \theta \right) \end{aligned}$$

The result can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{\dot{\theta}^2(t)}{2} + \Phi_{\text{eff}}(\theta(t)) = \text{const} \\ \text{where } \Phi_{\text{eff}}(\theta) &= \frac{C_\varphi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} - \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Again a closed solution for $\theta(t)$ is out of reach. However, $\Phi_{\text{eff}}(\theta)$ can serve as an effective potential for the 1DOF motion of θ with kinetic energy $\dot{\theta}^2/2$. This interpretation of the dynamics provides ready access to a qualitative discussion of the solutions of the EOM based on a phase-space plot.

Figure 6.18 shows the effective potential and phase space portraits for different positive values of C_φ . Conservation of angular

momentum implies that for $C_\varphi \neq 0$ the particle can no longer access the region close to its rest position at the lowermost point of the sphere. Rather it always has to go in circles around the bottom of the well, and the sign of C_φ specifies whether it moves clockwise or anti-clockwise. The divergence of the effective potential at $\theta = \pm\pi$ is called *rotation barrier*. It emerges due to a combination of the conservation of energy and angular momentum.

The effective potential has a single minimum for $0 < \theta_c(C_\varphi) < \pi/2$, and not further extrema. The minimum describes motion where the particle moves at constant height with a constant speed in a circle. When this orbit is perturbed oscillations are superimposed on the circular motion. In a projection to the plane vertical to the action of gravity, this will lead to trajectories similar to those drawn by a Spirograph, Problem 2.42.

add traces in the (x, y) plane

The take-home message of this example is that cyclic variables entail conservation laws of the dynamics. In the very same manner as for the Kepler problem they can be used to eliminate a variable from the EOM of the other coordinates. The additional contributions in the EOMs for the other coordinate(s) are interpreted as part of an effective potential.

6.4.5 Self Test

Problem 6.10. Momentum conservation and free flight

We consider a system of N particles with masses m_i . Their interactions are translation invariant, deriving from a potential $V(\mathbf{q}, t) = \sum_{i < j} \Phi_{ij}(|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|, t)$. However, in addition to the setting considered in Theorem 6.6 we allow for external forces. For each particle the force, \mathbf{F}_i , takes a constant value, and all forces are acting in the same direction. As an example you may consider gravity $\mathbf{F}_i = m_i \mathbf{g}$ or a constant electric fields \mathbf{E} for particles with charges e_i . Adapt the arguments of the proof of Theorem 6.6 to show that

- The component of the total momentum \mathbf{P} parallel to the external forces is no longer conserved.
- All other components of the total momentum are still conserved.
- How does this finding fit with the changes of T and V upon translations, Problem 4.35.

Problem 6.11. Minimum distance of celestial bodies

The gravitation potential between two celestial bodies of masses m and M follows Kepler's law

$$U(R) = -\frac{m M G}{R}$$

It depends only on their distance $R = |\mathbf{R}|$ between the bodies. The energy of the relative motion, Equation (4.9.1), is conserved.

- a) Determine the distance between the bodies upon their closest approach.
- b) What is the relative velocity $|\dot{\mathbf{R}}|$ of the bodies at that moment?

Problem 6.12. Evolution of a particle in a Mexican-hat potential

We explore the motion of a particle of mass m in a rotation-symmetric potential

$$\Phi(r) = \frac{m A}{4} r^2 (r^2 - 2 r_0^2)$$

The particle evolves in a plane where its position is specified by the polar coordinates (r, θ) .

- a) Sketch the potential. Where are its maxima and minima?
- b) Determine the Lagrange function for this problem, and determine the equations of motion for $\theta(t)$ and $r(t)$.
Bonus. The angular momentum and the energy of the particle are conserved. How do you see this without calculation based on the Lagrange function?
- c) Determine a frequency ω , a length scale ℓ and a constant K , such that

$$\frac{d^2 \hat{r}}{d(\omega t)^2} = \hat{r} - \hat{r}^3 + \frac{K}{\hat{r}^3}$$

where \hat{r} denotes the dimensionless (scalar) distance

$$\text{with } \hat{r}(t) = \frac{r(t)}{\ell}.$$

In the following we discuss the dimensionless equations, where we absorb ω into the time scale and drop the hat to avoid clutter in the equations.

- d) Multiply the equation of motion by \dot{r} , and rewrite it in the form


$$E = \frac{\dot{r}^2}{2} + V_{\text{eff}}(r) \quad \text{with} \quad V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{r^4}{4} - \frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{K}{2r^2}.$$

- e) Sketch the effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}(r)$ and the phase portrait of the motion for $K > 0$.

Bonus. Why is it necessary to give a separate discussion of $K = 0$?

Problem 6.13. Particle on a cone

We consider a particle that can freely move on the surface of a cone with opening angle α (see sketch in the margin). We describe the particle position \mathbf{q} in terms of cylinder coordinates (r, θ, z) , where the symmetry axis of the cone is aligned with the coordinate axis \hat{z} and the origin of the coordinate system is at the cone vertex.



- a) We write the particle position \mathbf{q} as

$$\mathbf{q} = h(t) \hat{\mathbf{z}} + \gamma h(t) \hat{\mathbf{r}}(\theta)$$

Indicate the three vectors \mathbf{q} , $h(t) \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, and $\gamma h(t) \hat{\mathbf{r}}(\theta)$ for the blue “particle” in the sketch. How is γ related to α ?

- b) Determine the velocity of the particle, its kinetic energy T , the potential energy V and the Lagrangian.
- c) Show that the equation of motion for θ provides a conservation law that entails

$$\dot{\theta}(t) = \frac{\lambda}{h^2(t)} \quad (6.4.12)$$

Determine λ .

- ★ d) Why is the energy $E = T + V$ is conserved for the motion of the particle?
- e) Adopt Eq. (6.4.12) to eliminate $\dot{\theta}$ in the expression for the energy, and adopt dimensionless units $x = h/H$, $\tau = t/T$, and $\hat{E} = E/mgH$ such that

$$\hat{E} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dx(\tau)}{d\tau} \right)^2 + U(x) \quad \text{with} \quad U(x) = \frac{1}{2x^2} + x$$

Determine H and T .

- f) Sketch the effective potential $U(x)$.

Bonus: Which interpretation does this provide for H ?

Sketch the phase-space plot for the evolution of the height $h(t)$ of the particle.

- ★ g) Assume that the lower part of the cone is chopped off at a height h_0 . For which initial conditions will the particle fall out of the cone?

6.5 Dynamics of 2-particle systems

In Sections 6.3 and 6.4 we discussed the motion of single particles whose motion is constraint by tracks, arms and joints. Now we revisit the treatment of the Kepler problem, Section 4.6, in order to explore settings with two interacting particles. The central idea in this endeavor is a representation of the particle position as a sum of the position of the center of mass \mathbf{Q} and the relative coordinate \mathbf{R} .

6.5.1 The Kepler problem revisited

We consider two celestial bodies with masses m_1 and m_2 that reside at the positions \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 in 3D space. The bodies are modeled as point particles that interact by gravity, (cf. Example 4.10),

$$T = \frac{m_1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_1^2 + \frac{m_2}{2} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_2^2$$

$$V = -\frac{m_1 m_2 G}{|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1|}$$

The positions (x_1, x_2) of the two bodies are coordinates in a 6D vector space.

Prior to calculating EOMs it is advisable to look for characteristic properties of the dynamics that will simplify the treatment of the dynamics of the 6DOF system. Here, the potential energy only depends on $R = x_2 - x_1$. This suggests to adopt a different basis that allows us to express the dynamics in terms of R and another position $Q = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2$ that is linearly independent of R . In that case Q will be cyclic, and it can be dealt with by a conservation law. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= (Q + a_2 R) / (a_1 + a_2) \\ x_2 &= (Q - a_1 R) / (a_1 + a_2) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \dot{Q}^2 \left(\frac{m_1 + m_2}{(a_1 + a_2)^2} \right) + \dot{Q} \cdot \dot{R} \left(\frac{m_1 a_2 - m_2 a_1}{(a_1 + a_2)^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{R}^2 \left(\frac{m_1 a_2^2 + m_2 a_1^2}{(a_1 + a_2)^2} \right)$$

For $a_i \propto m_i$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ the mixed term vanishes, and the R dynamics is not coupled to the Q dynamics. To avoid clutter in the equations we pick $a_i = m_i / (m_1 + m_2)$ such that $a_1 + a_2 = 1$ such that

$$Q = \frac{\sum_i m_i x_i}{\sum_i m_i}$$

Hence, Q is the center of mass of the two-body system.

Further we introduce the total mass M and the reduced mass μ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= m_1 + m_2 \\ \mu &= m_1 a_2^2 + m_2 a_1^2 = \frac{m_1 m_2^2 + m_2 m_1^2}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \end{aligned}$$

This provides

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{M}{2} \dot{Q}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \dot{R}^2 \\ V &= -\frac{\mu M G}{|R|} \\ \mathcal{L} = T - V &= \frac{M}{2} \dot{Q}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \dot{R}^2 + \frac{\mu M G}{|R|} \end{aligned} \quad (6.5.1)$$

The generalization to more than two particles is addressed in Problem 6.14.

Equation (6.5.1) asserts that Q is a cyclic variable. It provides the conservation law

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} P \quad \text{with} \quad P = M \dot{Q} = \sum_i m_i \dot{x}_i$$

and the center-of-mass motion

$$Q(t) = Q(t_0) + \dot{Q}(t_0) (t - t_0).$$

In the discussion of the harmonic oscillator, Section 6.4.3, we observed that the angular momentum is conserved when the potential

is rotationally invariant. Hence, we adopt cylindrical coordinates (R, θ, z) where $\mathbf{R}(t_0)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{R}}(t_0)$ have vanishing z -coordinates,

$$\mathcal{L} - \frac{M}{2} \dot{\mathbf{Q}}^2 = \frac{\mu}{2} (\dot{z}^2 + \dot{R}^2 + R^2 \dot{\theta}^2) + \frac{\mu MG}{R}$$

Here, z is a cyclic variable and for the specified initial conditions it vanishes for all times,

$$\dot{z} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z(t) = z(t_0) + \dot{z}(t_0) (z - z_0) = 0.$$

Moreover, also θ is a cyclic variable. It provides the conservation law

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = \frac{d}{dt} (\mu R^2 \dot{\theta})$$

$$\Rightarrow C_\theta = \mu R^2 \dot{\theta} = \text{const.}$$

Finally, there is no explicit time dependence in the kinetic and potential energy. Therefore, energy, $T + V$, must be preserved. In view of $M \dot{\mathbf{Q}}^2/2 = \text{const}$ and $\dot{z} = 0$ this implies

$$E = \frac{\mu}{2} (\dot{R}^2 + R^2 \dot{\theta}^2) - \frac{\mu MG}{R} = \frac{\mu}{2} \dot{R}^2 + \frac{C_\theta^2}{2\mu R^2} - \frac{\mu MG}{R}.$$

The solution of this equation was discussed in Section 4.9.

6.5.2 Spring on rails




Figure 6.19: Setup of the spring on rails considered in Section 6.5.2.

We consider a cart that moves without friction on a horizontal track (see Figure 6.19). It has mass M , and at time t it is located at position $x(t)$. On the cart we attach a weight of mass m to a spring with spring constant k . It oscillates without friction in the track direction, and its displacement from the rest position is denoted as $\ell(t)$.

The kinetic energy and potential energy of the cart are

$$T_c = \frac{M}{2} \dot{x}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad V_c = 0$$

Its potential energy due to gravity vanishes because the cart moves horizontally.

We denote the rest position of the weight m as $x(t) + e$. Hence, at time t it is located at $q(t) = x(t) + e + \ell(t)$. Its kinetic energy and potential energy are

$$T_w = \frac{m}{2} (\dot{x} + \dot{\ell})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad V_w = 0$$

Also in this case the potential energy due to gravity vanishes because the weight moves horizontally. However, there is a contribution to the potential due to the extension of the spring,

$$V_s = \frac{k}{2} \ell^2$$

Altogether, this provides the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{M}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \frac{m}{2} (\dot{x} + \dot{\ell})^2 - \frac{k}{2} \ell^2.$$

Here, x is a cyclic variable,

$$0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = \frac{d}{dt} (M \dot{x} + m (\dot{x} + \dot{\ell}))$$

that provides the conserved quantity

$$P = (M + m) \dot{x} + m \dot{\ell} = \frac{d}{dt} (M x + m (x + \ell)) = (m + M) \dot{Q}$$

where

$$Q = \frac{M}{m + M} x + \frac{m}{m + M} (x + e + \ell) = x + \frac{m}{m + M} (e + \ell) \quad (6.5.2)$$

is the x -component of the center of mass of the system, i. e. of the cart and the weight attached to the cart. Hence, $P = (m + M) \dot{Q}$ is the momentum of the horizontal center-of-mass motion. Momentum conservation implies

$$Q(t) = Q(t_0) + \dot{Q}(t_0) (t - t_0) \quad (6.5.3)$$

In the following we work in the center of mass frame. Equation (6.5.2) provides

$$\begin{aligned} x &= Q - \frac{m}{m + M} (e + \ell) \\ \dot{x} &= \dot{Q} - \frac{m}{m + M} \dot{\ell} \end{aligned}$$

such that the Lagrangian takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{M}{2} \left(\dot{Q} - \frac{m}{m + M} \dot{\ell} \right)^2 + \frac{m}{2} \left(\dot{Q} - \frac{m}{m + M} \dot{\ell} + \dot{\ell} \right)^2 - \frac{k}{2} \ell^2 \\ &= \frac{m + M}{2} \dot{Q}^2 + \frac{2}{2} \left(-M \frac{m}{m + M} + m \frac{M}{m + M} \right) \dot{Q} \dot{\ell} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m M}{(m + M)^2} (m + M) \dot{\ell}^2 - \frac{k}{2} \ell^2 \\ &= \frac{m + M}{2} \dot{Q}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m M}{m + M} \dot{\ell}^2 - \frac{k}{2} \ell^2 \end{aligned}$$

As expected by analogy to the Kepler problem the total mass $m + M$ and the reduced mass $\mu = m M / (m + M)$ appear in front of the kinetic-energy contributions of the center of mass \dot{Q} and the distance between the masses $\dot{e} + \dot{\ell} = \dot{\ell}$, respectively.

There is no explicit time dependence in this problem, and $\dot{Q} = \text{const}$ due to the momentum conservation, P . Hence, the energy E of the relative motion is conserved,

$$E = \frac{\mu}{2} \dot{\ell}^2 + \frac{k}{2} \ell^2$$

This amounts to the energy of a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator with frequency $\omega = \sqrt{k/\mu}$, and time evolution

$$\ell(t) = A \sin(\phi + \omega (t - t_0))$$

where ϕ and ℓ_0 are provided by the initial conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \ell(t_0) &= A \sin \phi \\ \dot{\ell}(t_0) &= A \omega \cos \phi \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \phi = \arctan \frac{\omega \ell(t_0)}{\dot{\ell}(t_0)} \\ A = \ell(t_0) / \sin \phi \end{cases}$$

Hence, the position of the cart $x(t)$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= Q(t) - \frac{m}{m+M} (e + \ell(t)) \\ &= Q_0 - \frac{M e}{m+M} + \frac{P(t-t_0)}{m+M} - \frac{m}{m+M} A \sin(\phi + \omega(t-t_0)) \end{aligned}$$

It is illuminating to take a closer look at the motion of the system for a heavy cart, $M \ll m$, and a heavy weight, $m \ll M$. To this end we select an initial condition where the cart is at rest at the rest position of the spring initially, $Q_0 = kM/(m+M)$. We hold the spring in a stretched position and release it at time t_0 . Hence, also $P = 0$ and $\phi = \pi/2$, and

$$x(t) = -\frac{m}{m+M} A \cos(\omega(t-t_0))$$

For $M \gg m$ the cart will barely move, while the weight will oscillate with amplitude A and frequency

$$\omega = \sqrt{k \frac{m+M}{mM}} = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m} (1+\varepsilon)} = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} + \dots \right)$$

This is the eigenfrequency of the cart-weight system when the support does not move, and, indeed, it does not move because the cart is much heavier than the weight.

In contrast, for $m \gg M$ the cart moves roughly with amplitude A , while the weight stays approximately at rest:

$$\begin{aligned} x + e + \ell &= e + \left(1 - \frac{m}{m+M} \right) A \cos(\omega(t-t_0)) \\ &= e + \frac{M}{m+M} A \cos(\omega(t-t_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the weight is approximately at rest while the cart oscillates with a frequency

$$\omega = \sqrt{k \frac{m+M}{mM}} = \sqrt{\frac{k}{M} (1+\varepsilon)} = \sqrt{\frac{k}{M}} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} + \dots \right).$$

This is the eigenfrequency of the system of a cart that is fixed to a support by the spring. The weight is much heavier than the cart, and due to its inertia it serves as a fixed support.

6.5.3 Self Test

Problem 6.14. Center-of-mass coordinates for many-body systems

Consider a system of N particles with masses m_i and position $\mathbf{x}_i(x)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, and let the potential energy be a function of the particle distances $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j$. Show that:

- a) The Lagrangian of the system can be expressed in terms of the center of mass of the system,

$$\mathbf{Q} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \mathbf{x}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N m_i}$$

and the relative coordinates \mathbf{R}_{1j} , $j \in \{2, \dots, N\}$.

- b) The center of mass is a cyclic variable, and the associated conserved quantity is the total momentum

$$\mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i$$

- c) The other DOF can be described in terms of the particle distances $\{\mathbf{R}_{i1}, 1 < i \leq N\}$.

Hint: 1. Introduce $\mathbf{R}_i = \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{Q}$, insert the expression for \mathbf{Q} , and show that

$$\mathbf{R}_i = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^N m_k (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_k).$$

2. Evaluate $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = \mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j$ and express the result in terms of $\{\mathbf{R}_{i1}, 1 < i \leq N\}$.

- d) What changes when gravity is acting on the particles.
e) What happens when there is a constant external force (i. e. the same force for all particles).

Problem 6.15. Pendulum on rails

We set a mathematical pendulum on a cart that can move without friction horizontally in the direction of motion of the pendulum. The cart has an overall mass M . This mass will also include the pendulum frame which is considered to be a part of the cart. The pendulum comprises of a mass m that moves on an arm of length ℓ . We choose the coordinates such that the fulcrum of the pendulum is located at $(x(t), 0)$, and the mass of the pendulum at $(x + \ell \sin \theta, -\ell \cos \theta)$.

- a) Sketch the setup, indicating the parameters and coordinates of the problem.
b) Determine the kinetic energy of the cart, the kinetic energy of the pendulum weight, and the potential energy of the pendulum weight.
Provide the resulting Lagrange function for the pendulum on the cart.
c) Identify the equation of motion for x , and show that it leads to a conserved quantity of the form

$$p_x = \alpha \dot{x} + \beta \dot{\theta} \cos \theta$$

How do α and β depend on the parameter ℓ , m , M , and g ?

- d) Determine the x -component of the center of mass of the pendulum weight and the cart. Which interpretation does this provide for the result of part (c)?
- e) In the following we work in the center of mass frame, where $p_x = 0$. Show that the equation of motion for θ will then take the form

$$\ddot{\theta} = -\sin \theta \frac{1 + \mu \dot{\theta}^2 \cos \theta}{1 - \mu \cos^2 \theta}$$


This form of the equation involves a particular form of the dimensionless time scale! Which time scale has been chosen? How does μ depend on the masses m and M ?

- * f) Why is it clear a priori that μ can only depend on m and M and not on the other parameters of the problem, g and ℓ ?
- * g) In the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$ one recovers the equation of motion of the mathematical pendulum. Why would one expect this result?



- h) We consider the case of small oscillations where terms of quadratic and higher order in θ and $\dot{\theta}$ may be dropped. Verify that the equation of motion for θ amounts in that case to the motion in an effective potential

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \ln \frac{1 - \sqrt{\mu} \cos \theta}{1 + \sqrt{\mu} \cos \theta}$$



- * i) The plot in the margin shows the effective potential for different values of μ . For small μ it amounts to $V_{\text{eff}} \simeq \cos \theta$. For $\mu \rightarrow 1$ one obtains a very narrow, very deep potential. What does this tell about the motion of the pendulum in the two limiting cases?

Underpin your conclusion for $\mu \rightarrow 1$ by showing that in this limit the dimensionless height y of the pendulum weight obeys the EOM

$$\ddot{y} = -1$$

What will be its position x ?

2 coupled springs

6.6 Worked problems: Foucault pendulum

A Foucault pendulum is a mathematical pendulum set up on the Earth surface where we consider only small amplitude oscillations $\theta \ll 1$ with vanishing angular momentum, $C = 0$. According to Equation (6.4.11) one expects that it follows the EOM

$$\ddot{\theta}(t) = -\frac{g}{\ell} \sin \theta(t) \simeq -\frac{g}{\ell} \theta(t). \quad (6.6.1)$$

This is a harmonic oscillator with frequency $\omega = \sqrt{g/\ell}$ and solution $\theta(t) = \theta_{\text{max}} \sin(\alpha + \omega t)$. The amplitude $\theta_{\text{max}} \ll 1$ and the offset phase α must be chosen to match boundary conditions. One expects that this motion will be confined to a fixed plane $\varphi = \text{const}$.

In contrast the plane of motion is turning slowly, and the angular speed of the turning depends on the location where one sets up a Foucault pendulum. This is a striking consequence of the fact that a laboratory on the Earth surface is *not* an inertial system since it is turning around the Earth axis once every 24 hours.

In order to account for the Earth rotation we adopt spherical coordinates $\hat{r}(\lambda, \phi)$ with origin at the Earth center and directions indicated by the longitude λ and latitude ϕ (see sketch in the margin). Earth is rotation around the axis \hat{z} with a fixed angular frequency $\Omega = 2\pi/24\text{h}$. Hence, $\phi = \text{const}$ and $\lambda = \Omega t$ for a pendulum at a fixed position on the Earth surface.

We denote the distance of the pendulum plane from the Earth center as R , and the deflection of the pendulum towards the East and North as $x(t)$ and $y(t)$, respectively. Consequently, the position $\mathbf{q}(t)$ of the pendulum weight amounts to

$$\mathbf{q}(t) = R \hat{r}(\lambda(t), \phi(t)) + x(t) \hat{\lambda}(\lambda(t)) + y(t) \hat{\phi}(\lambda(t), \phi(t))$$

Therefore, the temporal change of each unit coordinate vector must be orthogonal to \hat{z} , and it must also be orthogonal to the vector in order to preserve normalization. Therefore,⁷

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{r}(t) &= \Omega \hat{z} \times \hat{r}(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\lambda}(t) &= \Omega \hat{z} \times \hat{\lambda}(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\phi}(t) &= \Omega \hat{z} \times \hat{\phi}(t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \Omega \hat{z} \times \mathbf{q} + \dot{x} \hat{\lambda}(t) + \dot{y} \hat{\phi}(t)$$

This provides the Lagrange function as follows the potential energy and the kinetic energy

$$V = M \omega^2 (x^2(t) + y^2(t))$$

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{M}{2} \dot{\mathbf{q}}^2 = \frac{M \Omega^2}{2} (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{q})^2 + M \Omega (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{q}) \cdot (\dot{x} \hat{\lambda}(t) + \dot{y} \hat{\phi}(t)) + \frac{M}{2} (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) \\ &= \frac{M \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi}{2} q^2(t) + M \Omega (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{q}) \cdot (\dot{x} \hat{\lambda}(t) + \dot{y} \hat{\phi}(t)) + \frac{M}{2} (\dot{x}^2(t) + \dot{y}^2(t)) \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $|\hat{z} \times \mathbf{q}| = |\mathbf{q}| \sin \angle(\hat{z}, \mathbf{q}) = |\mathbf{q}| \sin \phi$. Hence, the Lagrange function takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{M}{2} (\dot{x}^2(t) + \dot{y}^2(t)) + M \Omega (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{q}) \cdot (\dot{x} \hat{\lambda}(t) + \dot{y} \hat{\phi}(t)) \\ &\quad + \frac{M \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi}{2} (R^2 + x^2(t) + y^2(t)) - \frac{M \omega^2}{2} (x^2(t) + y^2(t)) \end{aligned}$$

For $x(t)$ we obtain the following contributions to the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}} &= M \ddot{x} + M \Omega \left[(\hat{z} \times \dot{\mathbf{q}}) \cdot \hat{\lambda} + (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{q}) \cdot (\hat{z} \times \hat{\lambda}) \right] \\ &= M \ddot{x} + M \Omega (\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{z}) \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{q}} - \hat{z} \times \mathbf{q}) \\ &= M \ddot{x} + M \Omega (\hat{\lambda} \times \hat{z}) \cdot (\dot{x} \hat{\lambda}(t) + \dot{y} \hat{\phi}(t)) \end{aligned}$$



Rémih, CC BY-SA 3.0, via Wikimedia Commons

Figure 6.20: Foucault pendulum in the Panthéon, Paris, France.




Figure 6.21: Choice of the angles indicating the longitude $\lambda \in \{-\pi/2, \pi/2\}$ and latitude $\phi \in \{-\pi/2, \pi/2\}$ of positions on Earth.

⁷ The reader is urged to check that by an explicit calculation.

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = M \Omega (\hat{z} \times \hat{\lambda}) \cdot (\dot{x} \hat{\lambda}(t) + \dot{y} \hat{\phi}(t)) - M (\omega^2 - \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi) x(t)$$

This provides the EOM

$$\ddot{x} = 2 \Omega (\hat{z} \times \hat{\lambda}) \cdot (\dot{x} \hat{\lambda}(t) + \dot{y} \hat{\phi}(t)) - (\omega^2 - \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi) x(t)$$

Moreover, for $y(t)$ we obtain the same expression up substituting $y(t)$ for $x(t)$ and $\hat{\phi}$ for $\hat{\lambda}$,

$$\ddot{y} = 2 \Omega (\hat{z} \times \hat{\phi}) \cdot (\dot{x} \hat{\lambda}(t) + \dot{y} \hat{\phi}(t)) - (\omega^2 - \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi) y(t)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2 \Omega \hat{z} \times \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - (\omega^2 - \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here, we padded the component for the motion in vertical direction by zeros. Now we observe, that $\hat{z} = \sin \phi \hat{r} + \cos \phi \hat{\phi}$ and we observe that the contribution to of $\cos \phi \hat{\phi}$ to the cross product will give rise to a vector in \hat{r} direction, which we do not consider here. Consequently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \end{pmatrix} = -2 \Omega \sin \phi \begin{pmatrix} -\dot{y} \\ \dot{x} \end{pmatrix} - (\omega^2 - \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

This EOM is most transparently solved by introducing the complex variable $z = x + i y$, and observing that $-i\dot{z} = -\dot{y} + i\dot{x}$. Hence,

$$\ddot{z} = \ddot{x} + i\ddot{y} = 2i\Omega \sin \phi \dot{z} - (\omega^2 - \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi) z$$

This linear, homogeneous differential equations in solved by superpositions of exponential functions, $\exp(\gamma t)$ where γ obeys the characteristic equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \gamma^2 - 2i\Omega \sin \phi \gamma + (\omega^2 - \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi) \\ \Rightarrow \gamma_{\pm} &= i\Omega \sin \phi \pm i\sqrt{\Omega^2 \sin^2 \phi + \omega^2 - \Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi} \\ &= i\left(\Omega \sin \phi \pm \sqrt{\omega^2 - \Omega^2 \cos(2\phi)}\right) \\ &\simeq \pm i\omega + i\Omega \sin \phi \end{aligned}$$

with corrections to the frequency ω that are smaller to the leading order by a factor of $(\omega/\Omega)^2$.

The Foucault pendulum is started at a position $z(t_0)$ with velocity $\dot{z}(t_0)$. For this initial condition we obtain the solution

$$z(t) = z_0 \exp(i(\Omega \sin \phi)(t - t_0)) \cos(\omega(t - t_0))$$

The phase factor of $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ accounts for the initial orientation of the displacement. The evolution of the phase factor $\exp(i(\Omega \sin \phi))$ induces a rotation of the plain of motion with a frequency of $\Omega \sin \phi$.

At the poles this rotation entails that the orientation of the oscillation is fixed for an outside observer, while the Earth rotates underneath.

At the equator there is no rotation.

At mid latitudes one observed a rotation frequency of $\Omega \sin \phi$. Note that the sine factors entails that the Foucault pendulum moves clockwise on the Northern hemisphere and counter-clockwise on the Southern hemisphere.

6.7 Problems

6.7.1 Rehearsing Concepts

Problem 6.16. Two masses hanging at a rubber band

Two weights of the same mass m are attached on opposite ends of a rubber band that is hanging over a roll. The weights are at height h_1 and h_2 . They move only vertically, either one up and one down at a fixed length of the band, or stretching the band, or releasing tension on the band. We assume that friction and the mass of the band are negligible.

- Sketch the problem, and indicate the relevant parameters and coordinates.
- We describe the problem by adopting the coordinates $H = h_1 + h_2$ and $D = h_1 - h_2$. Verify that the Lagrange function will then take the following form

$$\mathcal{L}(H, D, \dot{H}, \dot{D}) = \frac{\mu}{2} (\dot{H}^2 + \dot{D}^2) - mgH - \frac{k}{2} H^2$$

Here k is the elastic module of the rubber band, and μ is an effective mass. How is μ related to m ?

The expression for the \mathcal{L} adopts a particular choice of the origin used to specify h_1 and h_2 . Which choice has been used?

- Determine the equations of motion for H and D .
- Solve the equations of motion and interpret the result. For which values of H and D will you trust the result?

Problem 6.17. Kitchen pendulum

We consider a pendulum that is built from two straws (length L_1 and L_2), two corks (masses m_1 and m_2), a paper clip, and some Scotch tape (see picture to the right). It is suspended from a shashlik skewer, and its motion is stabilized by means of the spring taken from a discharged ball-pen. Hence, the arms move vertically to the skewer. We denote the angle between the arms as α , and the angle of the right arm with respect to the horizontal as $\theta(t)$.

- Determine the kinetic energy, T , and the potential energy, V , of the pendulum. Argue that T and V can only depend on θ and $\dot{\theta}$, and determine the resulting Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\theta, \dot{\theta})$.




Figure 6.22: Setup of the kitchen pendulum.

- b) Determine the EOM of the pendulum.
- c) Find the rest positions of the pendulum, and discuss the motion for small deviations from the rest positions. Sketch the according motion in phase space.
- d) The EOM becomes considerably more transparent when one selects the center of mass of the corks as reference point. Show that the center of mass lies directly below the fulcrum when the pendulum is at rest.
- e) Let ℓ be the distance of the center of mass from the fulcrum, and $\varphi(t)$ be the deflection of their connecting line from the vertical. Determine the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, \dot{\varphi})$ and the resulting EOM for $\varphi(t)$.
- ◇ f) Do you see how the equations for $\ddot{\theta}(t)$ and $\ddot{\varphi}(t)$ are related?

Problem 6.18. The driven pendulum

We consider a (mathematical) pendulum of mass m with a pendulum arm of length ℓ . The deflection of the arm with respect to its rest position is denoted as $\theta(t)$. The fulcrum of the pendulum is moving vertically, residing at the position $z(t)$ at time t .


- a) Sketch the setup of for this problem, and mark the relevant physical parameters and coordinates.
- b) Determine the kinetic energy T and the potential energy V of the pendulum mass.
- c) We assume that the mass of the pendulum arm does not play a significant role for the motion. Use the Lagrange formalism to determine the equation of motion for θ .
Can you imagine why $\ddot{\theta}(t) = 0$ for $\ddot{z} = -g$?
- d) Discuss the stability of the fixed points of the motion for $\ddot{z} > -g$ and $\ddot{z} < -g$. Sketch corresponding phase-space plots for constant \ddot{z} .
- ★ e) Consider now the T -periodic motion with

$$z(t) = \begin{cases} a t (t - T/2) & \text{for } 0 < t < T/2 \\ a (T - t) (t - T/2) & \text{for } T/2 < t < T \end{cases}$$

What does this imply for \ddot{z} ? How would the trajectories close to $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ look like for $a > g$?

Problem 6.19. Freely rotating carousel

We consider a carousel with two arms of length R that are attached to cantilevers of length L . The arms can swing outwards and inwards, and we assume that they move without friction and can take full turns. Their outwards deflection will be denoted as $\theta_1(t)$ and $\theta_2(t)$, respectively. At time t the cantilever turns towards the



direction $\varphi(t)$ in the horizontal plane. We consider free rotation; there is no external driving controlling the evolution of φ . We describe the system in cylinder coordinates, \hat{r} , \hat{z} and $\hat{\phi}$, with \hat{z} aligned antiparallel to gravity g .

- a) Provide a sketch to illustrate that the positions q_i of mass $i \in \{1, 2\}$ can be expressed as,

$$q_i = (L + R \sin \theta_i) \hat{r} - R \cos \theta_i \hat{z},$$

and take the derivative to show that

$$\dot{q}_i = R \dot{\theta}_i \cos \theta_i \hat{r} + (L + R \sin \theta_i) \dot{\phi} \hat{\phi} + R \dot{\theta}_i \sin \theta_i \hat{z}.$$

- b) Establish the kinetic energy, T , the potential energy V , and the Lagrange function.
- c) Verify that φ is a cyclic variable and show that the associated conserved quantity takes the form

$$K = f(\theta_1, \theta_2) \dot{\phi}$$

Provide the explicit form of $f(\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

- d) Determine the equations of motion for $\theta_i(t)$, and select an appropriate time scale (the same for both angles!) such that

$$\ddot{\theta}_i = -\sin \theta_i + \frac{k^2}{(f(\theta_1, \theta_2))^2} \cos \theta_i (\lambda + \sin \theta_i)$$

Which time scale has been adopted?

How do k and λ depend on the masses, lengths scales, and K ?

- * e) We have found that the dynamics of θ_1 and θ_2 are coupled due to the appearance of $f(\theta_1, \theta_2)$. Compare this dynamics of the angles to the case where the rotation frequency $\dot{\phi}$ is controlled by a motor — like for a carousel. How does the coupling differ? What does this imply for the time evolution?

- f) The discussion of the coupled dynamics is too involved for the exam. In the following we therefore consider the case where no weight is attached to arm two (i.e. $m_2 = 0$). Show that $f(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ will then be proportional to $(\lambda + \sin \theta_1)^2$. Show that this implies that

$$\ddot{\theta}_1 = -\sin \theta_1 + \frac{\kappa^2}{(\lambda + \sin \theta_1)^\nu} \cos \theta_1$$

What is the relation between κ , k , and the system parameters?


Which value do you find for the value of ν ?

- * g) Show that the dynamics is governed by an effective potential

$$U_{\text{eff}}(\theta_1) = -\cos \theta_1 + \frac{c}{(\lambda + \sin \theta_1)^2}$$

Determine the constant c in this expression.

- h) When λ is large the prefactor of the $\cos \theta_1$ is almost constant. Sketch the phase-space dynamics!
- i) For all $\lambda < 1$ the dynamics in phase-space changes dramatically, no matter how small one chooses κ . For instance the following graph shows the effective potential for $\kappa = 0.1$ and $\lambda = 0.95$.



Sketch the phase-space dynamics for this parameter value.

Bonus: How does the arm move close to the stable fixed points?

Why does the effective potential change so dramatically for $\lambda < 1$? What is the physical origin of this change?

- j) Finally we consider the case of two equal masses on a carousel with $\lambda \gg 1$. Further we consider the situation where the two masses are oscillating more or less in phase such that $\theta_1 = \theta + \delta$ and $\theta_2 = \theta - \delta$ with $\delta \ll 1$. Show that the equations of motion can then be approximated as

$$\ddot{\theta} \pm \ddot{\delta} = -\cos \theta \mp \delta \sin \theta + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \frac{(\lambda + \sin \theta) \pm \delta \cos \theta}{(\lambda + \sin \theta)^4}$$

Take the sum and the difference of the two equations to show that θ evolves in the same way as for the case where only one mass was attached, while


$$\ddot{\delta} \simeq -\delta \left(\sin \theta - \frac{\kappa \cos \theta}{(\lambda + \sin \theta)^4} \right)$$

What does this imply when θ resides at the fixed point θ_c where also $\theta_c \ll 1$.

Problem 6.20. Space-station lost

We consider a cluster of two coupled space stations (masses m_1 and m_2) that are connected by a supply channel whose mass may be neglected as compared to m_1 and m_2 . The supply channel takes the form of a stiff tube that cannot bend. However, it is attached to the stations in such a way that the distance R between the stations may change; with a restoring force $-k(R - \ell)$ towards the rest length ℓ .

We consider an emergency where one of the stations is approached by a heavy piece of space debris (cf. sketch in the margin). To prevent damage the debris is intercepted by a space torpedo that leaves the endangered station with momentum P . We



choose a coordinate frame where the station is at rest initially. How will it move after launching the torpedo?

We approach the problem by first determining the equations of motion of the coupled space stations. Subsequently, we discuss the situation at hand.

- a) Determine the center of mass Q of the coupled space stations, and its equation of motion.

Before launching the torpedo the center of mass is at rest at the origin of our coordinate system. How does it move after the launch?

- b) The launch of the torpedo induces a torque that leads to a rotation of the coupled space stations. We will now discuss this rotation in their center of mass frame, i.e., we discuss the evolution of the vector $\mathbf{R}(t)$ that describes their orientation in space.

Show that the angular momentum $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{R}(t) \times \mu \dot{\mathbf{R}}(t)$ is preserved.

- ★ c) Why does this imply that the coupled space station will rotate in the plane defined by the initial position of m_1 and m_2 , and the approaching piece of debris?
- d) Determine the Lagrange function for the motion of \mathbf{R} in the plane selected by angular-momentum conservation, and determine the equation of motion for $\mathbf{R}(t)$.

- e) Show that the conservation of energy E and the modulus of angular momentum imply that

$$E = a\dot{R}^2 + \frac{b}{R^2} + cR^2 - dR + e$$

and determine the positive real constants a , b , c , d , and e in terms of the masses m_1 and m_2 , the rest length ℓ of the supply channel, strength k of the restoring force, and the angular momentum $|\mathbf{L}| = L$.


- f) Determine the effective potential $\Phi_{\text{eff}}(R)$ for the evolution of the distance $R(t)$, and sketch the solutions of its equation of motion in phase space.
- ★ g) Mark the initial condition of $R(t)$ in the phase-space plot, i.e. its value and speed immediately after launch of the torpedo, and discuss the entailed evolution of $\mathbf{R}(t)$.
- ★ h) How does the minimum *energy* required to stop its rotation depend on the direction of approach of the debris?

Problem 6.21. Horizontal driven double pendulum

A double pendulum is a mathematical pendulum with another pendulum attached to its loose end (see sketch). We will consider

here a horizontal double pendulum where the arms run in a horizontal plane perpendicular to gravity. Moreover, we will control the position of the outer pendulum by a motor such that the angle between the x -axis and the outer arms revolves with a *fixed, constant* frequency Ω .

a) Label the quantities in the sketch:



The pendulum is moving in the (x, y) plane. How is gravity oriented?

The inner arm has length R . Its fixed end lies in the origin of the (x, y) -plane, and it is pointing in direction $\theta(t)$ with respect to the positive x axis.

The outer arm has a length L . It connects the loose end of the inner arm and a weight of mass M . The angle between this arm and the x -axis is Ωt .

b) Consider the vectors $\hat{r}(\phi) = \hat{x} \cos \phi + \hat{y} \sin \phi$ and $\hat{\phi}(\phi) = \frac{d}{d\phi} \hat{r}(\phi)$. Show that for each value of ϕ they form an orthonormal pair of unit vectors in the (x, y) -plane.

c) Express the position \mathbf{q} of the weight with respect to the origin as a linear combination of $\hat{r}(\theta)$ and $\hat{r}(\Omega t)$; i.e. determine a and b such that

$$\mathbf{q}(t) = a \hat{r}(\theta) + b \hat{r}(\Omega t)$$

d) Determine $\dot{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ and the kinetic energy of the horizontal driven double pendulum.

e) Determine (i) the potential energy, (ii) the Lagrange function, and (iii) the equation of motion for $\theta(t)$.

f) Show that – with an appropriate choice of the time scale – the dimensionless equation of motion for $\alpha(t) = \theta(t) - \Omega t$ takes the form

$$\ddot{\alpha}(t) = -\sin \alpha(t)$$


Which time scale was chosen to obtain this result?

g) Show that $E = \frac{\dot{\alpha}^2}{2} - \cos \alpha$ is a constant of motion.

- h) Determine the stable and unstable fixed points of the equation of motion. Sketch its solutions in phase space.
- ★ i) The results of f) and g) imply that $\alpha(t)$ behaves like a mathematical pendulum. Interpret its solutions:
- Which motion of the double pendulum is described by the stable fixed points?
 - Which track does the double pendulum follow for closed trajectories around a stable fixed point?
 - Which motion is described the the unstable fixed points?
 - How do trajectories look like where $\dot{\alpha}$ never changes its sign?
 - How does the system's homoclinic trajectory look like in terms of $\theta(t)$?

Problem 6.22. Coriolis forces on the turntable

The effect of Coriolis forces on a particle can beautifully be described by the motion of a ball moving in bowl placed on a turntable (cf. sketch in the margin). Let m be the mass of the ball, Ω the rotation frequency of the turntable, and $z(r)$ the cylinder-symmetric height profile of the bottom of the bowl, as function of the distance r from the rotation axis. We will disregard effects due to friction and the rolling of the ball.



- a) We select a bowl with a height profile that gives rise to a harmonic force $F = -kr$ towards the center of the turntable, and we choose Ω such that the centripetal force balances the force F . Determine Ω .
- b) First, we describe the motion of the ball from the perspective of an observer standing at rest next to the turntable. The position of the ball is specified by the complex number $r(t)$, that describes the distance to the rotation axis. Show that the motion of the ball takes the form of an ellipse

$$r(t) = A e^{i(\theta+\phi)} e^{i\Omega t} + B e^{i(\theta-\phi)} e^{-i\Omega t}, \quad \text{with } \theta, \phi, A, B \in \mathbb{R}.$$

How are the parameter of the ellipse related to θ , ϕ , A , and B ?

- c) Now, we describe the motion of the ball from the perspective of a camera that is mounted on the turntable, and follows the motion in the frame of reference moving with the turntable. In this case we describe the position of the ball by the complex number $q(t)$. Demonstrate that the equations of motion take the form

$$\frac{\delta^2 q(t)}{\delta t^2} = -2\Omega i \frac{\delta q(t)}{\delta t}.$$

Determine the solution of this equation.

- d) At time t_0 we release the ball with velocity $\dot{r}(t_0) = 0$ at the position $r(t_0) = R \in \mathbb{R}$. Determine the resulting solutions $r(t)$ and $q(t)$. How are the solutions related?

6.7.2 Mathematical Foundation

Problem 6.23. Shortest path on a sphere

We describe the position on the surface of a three-dimensional sphere by the angle θ with its “North pole”, and the azimuthal angle φ in the horizontal plane. A trajectory on the sphere with radius R can then be specified as $\mathbf{q}(t) = R \hat{\mathbf{r}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t))$, or alternatively by $\theta(\varphi)$ or $\varphi(\theta)$. We will now derive conditions for a path of extremal length on the sphere.

- a) Without restriction to generality we restrict our discussion to spheres with unit radius, $R = 1$. Why is this admissible?
- b) Show that the length of the path from $(\theta(t_i), \varphi(t_i)) = (\theta_i, \varphi_i)$ to $(\theta(t_e), \varphi(t_e)) = (\theta_e, \varphi_e)$ amounts to

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \int_{t_i}^{t_e} dt \left| \dot{\mathbf{r}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) \right| = \int_{\varphi_i}^{\varphi_e} d\varphi \sqrt{\sin^2 \theta(\varphi) + \left(\frac{d\theta(\varphi)}{d\varphi} \right)^2} \\ &= \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_e} d\theta \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 \theta \left(\frac{d\varphi(\theta)}{d\theta} \right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Under which conditions do the expressions apply? Why and when do they provide the same length?

- c) A necessary condition for the extremality of L is that the variation δL vanishes for the integrals that have been defined in (b). Introduce the variation $\theta(\varphi) + \varepsilon \delta\theta(\varphi)$ into the second representation of the length (i.e., the one involving an integral over φ), calculate δL , and determine the resulting differential equation for paths $\theta(\varphi)$ of extremal length.
- d) Repeat the same steps for the variation $\varphi(\theta) + \delta\varphi(\theta)$ and the representation of the length in terms of $\varphi(\theta)$. Determine the resulting differential equation for paths $\varphi(\theta)$ of extremal length. Which derivation is simpler? How could you have seen this *before* performing the calculations?
- e) The result of (d) can be integrated once. Show that this results in the following first order differential equation

$$\frac{d\varphi}{d\theta} = \frac{\cos \alpha}{\sin \theta} \left[\sin^2 \alpha - \cos^2 \theta \right]^{-1/2}.$$

where $\sin \alpha$ is an integration constant.

- f) Verify that the following function is a solution of the differential equation

$$\varphi(\theta) = \varphi_0 - \arcsin \frac{\cos \alpha \cos \theta}{\sin \alpha \sin \theta}.$$

Hint: Express $\sin(\varphi - \varphi_0)$ as a function of θ . Take the θ derivative of both sides of the equation. Subsequently, you can determine $\varphi'(\theta)$ by eliminating $\cos(\varphi - \varphi_0)$ by means of the known expression for $\sin(\varphi - \varphi_0)$.

- g) Show that all the coordinates

$$q(\theta) = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi(\theta), \sin \theta \sin \varphi(\theta), \cos \theta)$$

of the trajectory obtained in (f) are orthogonal to the vector $(0, \sin \alpha, \cos \alpha)$.

Then: How does the path look like on the sphere?

- h) The path from the initial point to the final point on the sphere is not unique! One of the solutions is the shortest path on the sphere. What type of an extremum does the other path represent?

- ★ i) Consider the following ODE for a path on the sphere

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) = (\hat{\mathbf{q}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{q}}_2) \times \mathbf{r}(\theta(t), \varphi(t))$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_2$ are two distinct points on the sphere. Show that for the initial condition $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_1$ the trajectory will proceed through $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_2$.

How long does it take to arrive at $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_2$?

Does the trajectory represent an extremal path on the sphere?

Under which conditions would it be a path of minimal length?

add torus and cone

Problem 6.24. 1D dynamical systems with pitchfork and saddle-node bifurcations

We consider the dynamical systems

$$\dot{x}_a(t) = a + x^2(t) \quad (6.7.1)$$

We will analyze now how the solutions $x(t)$ change upon varying the parameter $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

- With no loss of generality we will assume that $t_0 = 0$ and $x_0 = x(t_0) = 1$. Why is this admissible?
Hint: 1. How would the solutions differ when one chooses $t_0 = 1$ rather than $t_0 = 0$?
2. Consider the evolution of $x(t)/x_0^2$ with a suitable change of the time unit and the parameter a .
- With no loss of generality we will assume that $a \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Why is this admissible?
Hint: For $a \neq 0$ you may divide the ODE by $\sqrt{a^2} = (\sqrt{|a|})^2$.
- Solve the differential equation by separation of variables and partial fraction decomposition.
- Plot the solutions $x_a(t)$ for $a = -1$, $a = 0$, and $a = 1$. What does this plot tell about the solutions for general t_0 , x_0 , and a ?
- Determine the fixed points of Equation (6.7.1) and plot the bifurcation diagram. Can you see how the structure of the bifurcation diagram fits with the explicit solutions obtained in d)?

f) Repeat the analysis for the system

$$\dot{x}(t) = a x(t) + x^3(t)$$

6.7.3 Transfer and Bonus Problems, Riddles

Problem 6.25. The steel-can pendulum



We consider a pendulum that is built from a steel can with two heavy magnets of identical mass M attached inside (see the figure in the margin). When the can is released it starts oscillating. When pushed, it wobbles along a straight path. The angle between the two magnets is denoted as α . We choose our coordinates in such a way that it takes angles in $[0, \pi]$. We describe the configuration of the can by the angle θ that follows how it is rolling, and we choose the origin of θ such that the magnets are positioned at $\pm\alpha/2$.

- Assume that the mass of the can may be neglected as compared to that of the magnets. Determine the kinetic energy, T , and the potential energy, V , of the can. Use the Lagrange formalism to determine the equation of motion for θ .
- Determine the equilibrium position of the can for $\alpha \notin \{0, \pi\}$, and provide a physical argument why they are stable or unstable. Sketch the according motion in phase space.
- Discuss the case $\alpha = \pi$. How does the can move in this case? Sketch the trajectories in phase space.
- For $\alpha = 0$ you should find the following equation of motion

$$0 = 2\ddot{\theta} (1 - \cos \theta) + \dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta + 2\omega^2 \sin \theta \quad (6.7.2)$$

How does ω depend on the radius R of the can, the mass M , and the gravitational acceleration g ?

- ★ e) Verify that the EOM for $\alpha = 0$ simplifies considerably upon introducing the variable $x = \cos(\theta/2)$:

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{\omega^2}{2} x \quad (6.7.3)$$

Hint: 1. Evaluate \ddot{x} .

2. Eliminate $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$ by observing that $1 - \cos \theta = 2 \sin^2(\theta/2)$ and $\sin \theta = 2 \sin(\theta/2) \cos(\theta/2)$.

3. Rewrite the remaining expression in terms of x .

- Determine the solution of Equation (6.7.3) for the initial condition as shown in the picture where the steel can is released at rest with some displacement θ_0 .



- Determine the solution of Equation (6.7.3) when the steel can is started at $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ with an initial angular speed $-\Omega$.

Sketch the phase-space plot for the case $\alpha = 0$.

Lagrange points

Bibliography

- Archimedes, 1878, in *Pappi Alexandrini Collectionis, Book VIII, c. AD 340*, edited by F. O. Hultsch (Apud Weidmannos, Berlin), p. 1060, cited following https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Archimedes_lever,_vector_format.svg.
- Arnol'd, V. I., 1992, *Ordinary Differential Equations* (Springer, Berlin).
- Epstein, L. C., 2009, *Thinking Physics, Understandable Practical Reality* (Insight Press, San Francisco).
- Finney, G. A., 2000, *American Journal of Physics* **68**, 223–227.
- Gale, D. S., 1970, *American Journal of Physics* **38**, 1475.
- Gommes, C. J., 2010, *Am. J. Phys.* **78**, 236.
- Großmann, S., 2012, *Mathematischer Einführungskurs für die Physik* (Springer), very clear introduction of the mathematical concepts for physics students., URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-8348-8347-6>.
- Harte, J., 1988, *Consider a Spherical Cow: A Course in Environmental Problem Solving* (University Science Books, Melville, NY), ISBN 978-0-935702-58-3, URL <https://uscibooks.aip.org/books/consider-a-spherical-cow-a-course-in-environmental-problem-solving/>.
- Kagan, D., L. Buchholtz, and L. Klein, 1995, *Phys.Teach.* **33**, 150.
- Lueger, O., 1926–1931, *Luegers Lexikon der gesamten Technik und ihrer Hilfswissenschaften* (Dt. Verl.-Anst., Stuttgart), URL <https://digitalesammlungen.uni-weimar.de/viewer/resolver?urn=urn:nbn:de:gbv:wim2-g-3163268>.
- Mahajan, S., 2010, *Street-Fighting Mathematics: The Art of Educated Guessing and Opportunistic Problem Solving* (MIT press, Cambridge, MA), ISBN 9780262514293, cCed full text available as <https://www.dropbox.com/s/z1ibh5txrs91lia/7728.pdf?dl=1>, URL <https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/street-fighting-mathematics>.
- Morin, D., 2007, *Introduction to Classical Mechanics* (Cambridge), comprehensive introduction with a lot of exercises—many of

them with worked solutions. The present lectures cover the Chapters 1–8 of the book. I warmly recommend to study Chapters 1 and 6., URL <https://scholar.harvard.edu/david-morin/classical-mechanics>.

Morin, D., 2014, *Problems and Solutions in Introductory Mechanics* (CreateSpace), a more elementary introduction with a lot of solved exercises self-published at Amazon. Some Chapters can also be downloaded from the autor's home page, URL <https://scholar.harvard.edu/david-morin/mechanics-problem-book>.

Murray, J., 2002, *Mathematical Biology* (Springer).

Nordling, C., and J. Österman, 2006, *Physics Handbook for Science and Engineering* (Studentlitteratur, Lund), 8 edition, ISBN 91-44-04453-4, quoted after [Wikipedia's List of humorous units of measurement](#), accessed on 5 May 2020.

Purcell, E. M., 1977, *American Journal of Physics* **45**(3).

Seifert, H. S., M. W. Mills, and M. Summerfield, 1947, *American Journal of Physics* **15**(3), 255.

Sommerfeld, A., 1994, *Mechanik*, volume 1 of *Vorlesungen über theoretische Physik* (Harri Deutsch, Thun, Frankfurt/M.).

Zee, A., 2020, *Fly by Night Physics: How Physicists Use the Backs of Envelopes* (Princeton UP, Princeton, NJ), ISBN 9780691182544, errors and addenda available at <https://www.kitp.ucsb.edu/zee/books/fly-by-night-physics>, URL <https://press.princeton.edu/books/hardcover/9780691182544/fly-by-night-physics>.

Index

- 2 body
 - spaceship lost, [210](#)
- action, [166](#)
- algorithm
 - Euler Lagrange EOM, [171](#)
 - phase space plot, [176](#)
- axiom
 - principle of least action, [166](#)
- bifurcation
 - pitchfork, [180](#)
 - saddle node
 - soap film, [173](#)
 - saddle-node, [185](#)
- carousel
 - freely rotating, [208](#)
- centrifugal governor, [180](#)
- complex number
 - rotation in plane, [192](#)
- cone
 - particle on cone, [197](#)
- coordinates
 - polar
 - mathematical pendulum, [174](#)
 - spherical
 - spherical pendulum, [193](#)
- Coriolis
 - on turntable, [213](#)
- cyclic variables, [187](#)
- cylindrical coordinates
 - unit vectors, [182](#)
- d'Alembert's principle, [170](#)
- diagonalization
 - two masses over pulley, [207](#)
- driven pendulum, [169](#)
- Effective potential, [191](#)
- effective potential
 - Mexican hat, [197](#)
 - Particle on Cone, [197](#)
- equation of motion
 - centrifugal governor, [180](#)
 - free flight, [189](#)
 - harmonic oscillator, [189](#)
 - mathematical pendulum, [174](#)
 - pearl on rotating ring, [177](#)
 - spherical pendulum, [193](#)
 - uniform motion, [187](#)
- Fermat's principle, [172](#)
- free flight
 - EOM
 - Lagrange, [189](#)
- generalized coordinates, [162](#)
- harmonic oscillator
 - Lagrange EOM, [189](#)
- heterocline, [175](#)
- homocline, [175](#)
- Kepler problem
 - Lagrange approach, [198](#)
- Lagrange
 - cyclic variable, [187](#)
 - Mexican hat, [197](#)
 - spaceship lost, [210](#)
 - two masses over pulley, [207](#)
- Lagrange formalism

- and dissipation, 180
- Lagrangian, 166
 - Cartesian coordinates, 166
 - in generalized coordinates, 171
- mathematical pendulum
 - Lagrange coordinates, 162
 - Lagrange EOM, 174
- momentum conservation
 - and translation invariance, 188
- pearl on rotating ring, 185
 - Lagrange EOM, 177
- pendulum
 - driven, 169, 208
 - horizontal driven double, 211
 - steel-can, 216
- pendulum on rails, 203
- Phase Diagram, 184
- phase space
 - Mexican hat, 197
- pitchfork bifurcation, 180
- polar coordinates
 - unit vectors, 163
- principle
 - d'Alembert's, 170
- principle of least action, 166
- rollercoaster trail, 169
- Rotation barrier, 192
- rotation barrier, 196
- rotation symmetry
 - Mexican hat, 197
 - Particle on Cone, 197
- saddle-node bifurcation, 185
- Snellius' law, 172
- Soap film, 173
- spaceship lost, 210
- spherical coordinates
 - unit vectors, 177, 185
- spherical pendulum
 - Lagrange EOM, 193
- spring on rails, 200
- steel-can pendulum, 216
- translation invariance
 - momentum conservation, 188
- two masses
 - over pulley, 207
- uniform motion
 - EOM
 - Lagrange, 187
- variation of a function, 165
- variational calculus
 - Fermat's principle, 172
 - shortest path
 - in plane, 167
 - on catenoid, 168
 - on cylinder, 168
 - on sphere, 214
 - soap film, 173