# On the road to a chiral extrapolation of the generalized form factors of the nucleon

Thomas R. Hemmert

Theoretische Physik T39 Physik Department, TU München

Treffen der DFG-Forschergruppe "Gitter-QCD" Universität Leipzig, Germany Nov 18 -19, 2005







- The generalized form factors of the nucleon
- Comparison to the <x> data of T. Streuer et al.
- Comparison of  $A_{2,0}$ ,  $B_{2,0}$  and  $C_{2,0}$  at  $q^2=0$
- First observations on momentum dependence and radii
- Outlook



## **Generalized Form Factors**

 Three generalized form factors (GFFs) in the isovector channel: A<sub>2,0</sub>(t), B<sub>2,0</sub>(t) and C<sub>2,0</sub>(t)

$$i \langle p' | \overline{q} \gamma_{\{\mu,} \vec{D}_{\nu\}} q | p \rangle_{u-d} = \overline{u} (p') \left[ A_{2,0}^{u-d} (t) \gamma_{\{\mu,} \overline{p}_{\nu\}} - \frac{B_{2,0}^{u-d} (t)}{2M_N} \Delta^{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\{\mu,} \overline{p}_{\nu\}} + \frac{C_{2,0}^{u-d} (t)}{M_N} \Delta_{\{\mu,} \Delta_{\nu\}} \right] u(p)$$
  
with  
$$t = \Delta^2 = (p'-p)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{p} = \frac{1}{2} (p'+p); \quad \langle x \rangle_{u-d} = A_{2,0}^{u-d} (0)$$



- TEGANSQIE INVERSION MUNICIPAL MUNICIPAL
- The generalized form factors of the nucleon
- Comparison to the <x> data of Streuer et al.
- Comparison of  $A_{2,0}$ ,  $B_{2,0}$  and  $C_{2,0}$  at  $q^2=0$
- First observations on momentum dependence and radii
- Outlook

### Quenched Data (Streuer et al.)



 Fit to HBChPT O(p<sup>4</sup>) result for <x> looks reasonable (Fit at β=8.0, β=8.45 result ??)



T.R. Hemmert, "Chiral Extrapolation for Generalized Form Factors"





- The generalized form factors of the nucleon
- Comparison to the <x> data of T. Streuer et al.
- Comparison of  $A_{2,0}$ ,  $B_{2,0}$  and  $C_{2,0}$  at  $q^2=0$
- First observations on momentum dependence and radii
- Outlook

# **Differences in the GFFs**

- A<sub>2,0</sub>, B<sub>2,0</sub> and C<sub>2,0</sub> behave very differently as functions of  $m_{\pi}$  at small t
- A<sub>2,0</sub>(t=0) has a chiral log as LNA and plateaus very fast according to the present data situation
- $B_{2,0}$  and  $C_{2,0}$  have a term ~  $m_{\pi}^{3}$  as LNA:  $B_{2,0}(t=0)=B_{40} M_{N}(m_{\pi}) + O(p^{5})$  $C_{2,0}(t=0)=S_{42} M_{N}(m_{\pi}) + O(p^{5})$
- → We do not expect that  $B_{2,0}(t=0)$  and  $C_{2,0}(t=0)$ reach a plateau in  $m_{\pi}$  as fast as  $A_{2,0}(t=0)$  !





- The generalized form factors of the nucleon
- Comparison to the <x> data of T. Streuer et al.
- Comparison of  $A_{2,0}$ ,  $B_{2,0}$  and  $C_{2,0}$  at  $q^2=0$
- First observations on momentum dependence and radii
- Outlook

# Momentum dependence/Radii



 Radii of isovector Dirac/Pauli form factors A<sub>1,0</sub>(t), B<sub>1,0</sub>(t), have well-known chiral singularities:

 $r_1 \sim log m_{\pi}$  ,  $r_2 \sim 1/m_{\pi}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Size of nucleon increases near the chiral limit

Radius of isovector GFF A<sub>2,0</sub>(t) stays finite in the chiral limit !!

- What about the radii of  $B_{2,0}(t)$ ,  $C_{2,0}(t)$ ?

Conclusion: Our global p-pole fits for the GFFs still hide a lot of interesting structures !

## **Isovector Radii of the Nucleon**



Chiral Extrapolation: NR-SSE Data: Quenched (improved) Wilson fermions QCDSF collaboration, Phys. Rev. D71, 034508 (2005).





- O(p<sup>4</sup>) + O(p<sup>5</sup>) BChPT calculation for isovector vector and axial-vector GFFs has started (M. Dorati and TRH)
  - 5 + 12 diagrams, in MIR-regularization (T. Gail and TRH, forthcoming), cross-talk of LECs in vector/axial-vector channel
  - Plateau-behaviour for <x> needs to be established first, then calculation of finite size effects possible in a second step
- Numerical comparison of the here presented O(p<sup>4</sup>) HBChPT results for A<sub>2,0</sub>, B<sub>2,0</sub> and C<sub>2,0</sub> at t=0 with lattice clatare Publication Nov 19, 2005