Spin-wave calculations for Heisenberg magnets with reduced symmetry

Andreas Kreisel, Frankfurt 14. Juli 2011

Institut für Theoretische Physik Goethe Universität Frankfurt Germany



1.1 Introduction: Magnetism

- Types of magnetism
- $\chi = \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial \vec{H}}$ Diamagnetism response to magnetic field due to Lenz' rule $\chi = -\frac{e^2}{6m}\mu_0 n r_a^2 Z_a \approx -10^{-4} < 0$ $ec{L} \ket{0} = 0 \quad ec{S} \ket{0} = 0$
 - Paramagnetism alignment of magnetic moment in a magnetic field $\chi \sim \frac{1}{T} > 0$

 M_s -

 Collective magnetism $x = \frac{H}{m}$ correlation effects of spin degrees of freedom $\chi
ightarrow \infty$ (phase transitions)

1.2 Introduction: Collective magnetism

- Itinerant magnetism in metals magnetization from occupation of states $M\sim n_{\uparrow}-n_{\downarrow}$
- Magnetism on mesoscopic scales



 $CsFe_8$

 Fe_{18}

finite numbers of spins: nevertheless collective behaviour

method: exact diagonalisation Waldmann *et al.* PRL ('06)

Magnetism of localized spins in insulators

$$H = J ec{S}_1 \cdot ec{S}_2 \quad o \quad H = rac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} J_{ij} ec{S}_i \cdot ec{S}_j$$

Heisenberg model W. Heisenberg Z. Phys. 49, 619 (1928



 E_{σ}

 n_{\uparrow}

1.3 Introduction: Spin wave theory (method)

2

 $|0\rangle$

 $|1\rangle$

 $|2\rangle$

 $|3\rangle$

- determine classical groundstate $H = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$
- expand in terms of bosons S^z
 - Holstein-Primakoff transformation Holstein, Primakoff Phys. Rev. ('40)
 - Expansion in powers of 1/S exact for $S \to \infty$, but $S = \mathcal{O}(1)$
- Interacting theory of bosons
- Methods of quantum mechanics
- Theory of many particle systems

$$H = \sum_{\vec{k}} E_{\vec{k}} b_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} b_{\vec{k}} + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^3(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3) b_{\vec{k}_1}^{\dagger} b_{\vec{k}_2} b_{\vec{k}_3} + \sum_{1, 2, 3, 4} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_2^{\dagger} b_3 b_4 + \sum_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3} \Gamma^4(1, 2; 3, 4) b_1^{\dagger} b_$$

1.4 Introduction: Spin waves (general results)

- Antiferromagnet
 - Linear spectrum (Goldstone mode)
 - Two modes in magnetic field (2 sublattices)
 - Divergent interaction vertices









2.1 Model system 1: Thin-film ferromagnet

- Motivation: Experiments on YIG (yttrium iron garnet) films
 - Excitation and detection of spin waves with high energy resolution (parametric pumping, Brillouin light scattering spectroscopy)
 - Low spin-wave damping in YIG
 - Good experimental control



Parametric pumping of magnons at high k-vectors creates magnetic excitations

Open questions:

Time evolution of magnons: Non-equilibrium physics of interacting quasiparticles? Coupling to other degrees of freedom, thermalization? Kloss, Kopietz PRB ('11)

2.2 Model system 1: Microscopic model



2.3 Model system 1: Linear spin-wave theory

- Geometry (thin film)
- Numerical approach
 - Ewald summation technique
 - Ewald Summation (connique) Diagonalization of 2N x 2N matrix $H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\vec{k}} & B_{\vec{k}} \\ B_{-\vec{k}}^* & -A_{-\vec{k}}^T \end{pmatrix}$
- Analytic approaches
 - Approximation for lowest mode
 - Bogoliubov transformation

$$E_{\vec{k}} = \sqrt{[h + \rho_{\text{ex}}\vec{k}^2 + \Delta(1 - f_{\vec{k}})\sin^2\Theta_{\vec{k}}][h + \rho_{\text{ex}}\vec{k}^2 + \Delta f_{\vec{k}}]} \Delta = 4\pi\mu M_S$$

No dipolar interaction: known result

$$E_{\vec{k}} = h + \rho_{\rm ex} \vec{k}^2 \qquad \Delta = 0$$



structure factor

 $\approx h + c^2 k^2$

2.4 Model system 1: Results for spectra



2.5: Model system 1: Comparison to experiments

 Excitation and detection of spinwaves using Brillouin light scattering spectroscopy (BLS)

 $\Theta_{\vec{k}} = 90^{\circ}$



- Outlook, future investigations
 - Condensation of magnons (nonequilibrium, finite momentum)

Hick et al. EPJB ('10) $\underbrace{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathbb{P}}^{4}$ 3.5

 Interactions (magnon-magnon, magnon-phonon)



3.1 Model system 2: Triangular antiferromagnet

- Motivation: Frustrated magnets
 - Rich phase diagram
 Strong quantum effects due to large fluctuations



 Exactly known microscopic model for the frustrated antiferromagnet Cs₂CuCl₄

$$\hat{H}_{spin} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} [J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j)] - \sum_i \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{S}_i ,$$

$$\hat{H}_{spin} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} [J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j)] - \sum_i \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{S}_i ,$$

$$J_{ij} = J(\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j) = \begin{cases} J & \text{if } \mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j = \pm(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \\ J' & \text{if } \mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j = \pm\delta_1 \text{ or } \pm\delta_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{ij} = \pm D\mathbf{e}_z$$

$$\int_{j=0.13 \text{ meV}}^{j=0.13 \text{ meV}} \mathbf{D}_{j=0.02 \text{ meV}} \text{ Coldea et al. PRL ('02)}$$

3.2 Model system 2: Spin-wave approach

 $2\pi/Q_x$ Projection Classical groundstate: on the plane "cone state" Veillette et al. PRB ('05) Spin-wave spectra $E_{k} = \sqrt{(A_{k}^{+})^{2} - B_{k}^{2} + A_{k}^{-}} \neq E_{-k}$ $E_{\mathsf{k}} = \sqrt{v_x^2 k_x^2 + v_y^2 k_y^2 + \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{k}^3)}$ antisymmetric, but $\propto k^3$ (Dzyaloshinsky-Moria symmetric with respect to k anisotropy) $h = 0.1 h_c$ 600 500 Interactions velocity (m/s) 300 500 $E_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\mathrm{meV})$ - Large for finite field $\Gamma_3^{b^{\dagger}b^{\dagger}b}(\mathsf{k}_1,\mathsf{k}_2;\mathsf{k}_3) \approx -\frac{h}{h_c}\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{h}{h_c}\right)^2}\frac{\sqrt{2S}}{i}\frac{h_c}{S}$ 100 $\frac{k_x}{\pi b}$ 0 πc Goldstone mode: U(1) symmetry magnetic F

3.3 Model system 2: Coupling to lattice vibrations

 Spin-phonon coupling via expansion of exchange integrals

 $D_{ij} \approx D(\mathsf{R}_{ij})$

 $J_{ij} = J(\mathsf{R}_{ij}) + (\mathsf{X}_{ij} \cdot \nabla_{\mathsf{R}}) J(\mathsf{r})|_{\mathsf{r}=\mathsf{r}_{ij}} + \ldots = J(\mathsf{R}_{ij}) + \mathsf{X}_{ij} \cdot \mathsf{J}_{ij}^{(1)}$ bare exchange

magnon phonon coupling

Chakraborty, Tucker Physica A ('87)

3.4 Model system 2: Calculation of observables

- Shift of the phonon velocity
 - Integrate out magnetic degrees of freedom $e^{-S_{\text{eff}}^{2\text{pho}}[X]} = \int \mathcal{D}[\beta, \bar{\beta}] e^{-S_{2\text{pho}}^{2\text{pho}}[X] - S_{2\text{mag}}[\bar{\beta}, \beta] - S_{1\text{mag}}^{1\text{pho}}[X, \bar{\beta}, \beta]}$ Two

$$\frac{(\Delta c_{\lambda})_{\text{tot}}}{c_{\lambda}} = \lim_{|\mathbf{k}| \to 0} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{\Sigma_{0}^{\text{pho}}(\mathbf{k}, \lambda)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{2}}} - 1 + \frac{\left| \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{X\beta} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \right|^{2}}{2M\omega_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{3}} \right) \checkmark$$

Two contributions: 1. Classical spin background 2. Hybridization to magnetoelastic waves

- Ultrasound attenuation rate
 - Diagrammatic perturbation theory (1/S expansion)



3.5 Model system 2: **Comparison to experiments**

Model

 $J(x) = J(b)e^{-\kappa(x-b)/b}$ $J'(r) = J'(d)e^{-\kappa'(r-d)/d}$

- Shift of ultrasound velocity for c₂₂ mode (P. T. Cong):
 - Fix parameters: $\kappa \approx 15$ $\kappa' \approx 51$
 - Project result for c₃₃ mode without adjustable parameters
- Attenuation rate calculate from parameters

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{64} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2M}\right) \left(\frac{S^2 c_\lambda^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{V_{\mathrm{BZ}} v_x v_y}\right) \frac{\left[\mathbf{f}_1^{X\beta}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}\right]^2}{(1 - h/h_c)^2}$$



x 10⁻

-5

-10

-15

0

 $\Delta c/c$

x 10⁻⁵

¹В (Т)

2

2

6

8

4 Summary

 Theoretical investigations on Heisenberg magnets with reduced symmetry

- 3 different model systems (2 presented in detail)

 $\sim M_s$



- Application and further development of concepts using the spin-wave approach
- Connection to recent experimental research and comparison of corresponding results

5.1 Experimental details BLS spectroscopy

- Wavevector resolved BLS setup (C. Sandweg, TU Kaiserslautern)
- large wave vector range
- high resolution





Microwave

signal



5.2: Experimental Details BLS spectroscopy

♦ k_{refl}

 $\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{refl}_{\perp}}$

anen a

 Scattering of light on grating created from spin waves



5.3 Experimental details Techniques: Thin-film magnets

 Inverse spin-hall effect (ISHE): spin polarized current



5.4 Experimental details Material parameters for YIG

material Heisenberg magnet with parameters dipole-dipole interactions a = 12.376 Å Gilleo et al. '58 $\hat{H}_{\text{mag}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} J_{ij} \mathsf{S}_i \cdot \mathsf{S}_j - h \sum S_i^z$ $4\pi M_s = 1750 \, {\rm G}$ Tittmann '73 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{i \neq i} \frac{\mu^2}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|^3} \left[3(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}) (\mathbf{S}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}) - \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \right]$ $\frac{\rho_{\rm ex}}{\mu} = 5.17 \cdot 10^{-13} {\rm Oe} \ {\rm m}^2$ Cherepanov et al. '93 alternatively Crystal structure: space group: la3d J = 1.29 KY: 24(c) white $S = 14.2 \quad \mu \coloneqq 2\mu_B$ $\bigwedge \bigwedge$ Fe: 24(d) green Fe: 16(a) brown Tupitsyn et al. '08 **16***a*: Fe 10 O: 96(h) red 24c: Y Gilleo et al. '58 (MG) 24d: Fe Magnetic system: 🔴 96h: O 40 magnetic ions in elementary cell 40 magnetic bands **Elastic system:** 160 atoms in 0.2 0.4 06 0.8 1.0 Druzinin et. al elementary cell Sov. Phys. Solid. ('81) T/600 (K)3x160 phonon bands

5.5 Experimental details Ultrasonic technique

 pulse echo method (phase-sensitive detection technique), P. T. Cong (Uni FFM)



 $= \frac{dc_{\lambda}}{c_{\lambda}}$

- sound velocity $c_{\lambda} = L/t_0$
- change of sound velocity
- (relative) attenuation rate $\Delta \alpha \propto \frac{1}{r} \ln(A_1/A_0)$

6.1 Theoretical details QAF in a magnetic field



6.2 Theoretical details QAF in a magnetic field

• Hermitian parametrization (compare harmonic oscillator) $[\hat{X}_{\vec{k}\sigma}, \hat{P}_{\vec{k}'\sigma'}] = i\delta_{\vec{k}, -\vec{k}'}\delta_{\sigma, \sigma'}$

$$\hat{\Psi}_{\vec{k}\sigma} = p_{\sigma} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\nu_{\vec{k}\sigma}}{2}} \hat{X}_{\vec{k}\sigma} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\nu_{\vec{k}\sigma}}} \hat{P}_{\vec{k}\sigma} \right]$$

- physical meaning for $k \ll 1$
 - $\hat{P}_{\vec{k}\sigma}$: uniform spin fluctuations
 - $\hat{X}_{\vec{k}\sigma}$: staggered spin fluctuations
- effective action $S_{\text{eff}}[X_{\sigma}] = S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{K\sigma} \frac{E_{k\sigma}^2 + \omega^2}{\Delta_{k\sigma}} X_{-K\sigma} X_{K\sigma}$

$$+\beta \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum_{K_1 K_2 K_3} \delta_{K_1 + K_2 + K_3, 0} \Big[\frac{1}{3!} \Gamma^{(3)}_{---} (K_1, K_2, K_3) X_{K_1 -} X_{K_2 -} X_{K_3 -} + \frac{1}{N} \Gamma^{(3)}_{---} (K_1, K_2, K_3) X_{K_1 -} X_{K_2 -} X_{K_3 -} \Big]$$

6.3 Theoretical details **QAF** in a magnetic field

 diagrammatic perturbation theory $S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{int}}[X_{\sigma}] = \beta \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum \left[\frac{1}{3!} V_{-}^{(3)} X_{-} X_{-} X_{-} + \frac{1}{2!} V_{+}^{(3)} X_{-} X_{+} X_{+} \right]$ $\begin{array}{c|cccc} K_1 & & K_1 \\ V_- & V_+ \\ K_2 & K_3 & K_2 & K_3 \end{array}$

$$G_{\sigma}(K) = \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}}{E_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^2 + \omega^2}$$

 $\Sigma_{+} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{array}{c} \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet &$

6.4 Theoretical details QAF in a magnetic field

results

nonanalytic in h²

 $\frac{h}{\Delta_0}$

- spin-wave velocity

$$\frac{c_{-}^2}{c_0^2} \approx 1 - \frac{6\sqrt{3} h^2}{\pi^2 S} \ln\left(\frac{2}{\tilde{h}}\right) \qquad D = 3$$
$$\frac{c_{-}^2}{c_0^2} \approx 1 - \frac{2\tilde{h}}{\pi S} \qquad D = 2 \qquad \tilde{h} =$$

- magnon damping
$$\Gamma_{\vec{k}-} \propto \frac{1}{S} \left(\frac{h}{h_c} \right)^2 \left(\sqrt{6\bar{A}_-} \right)^{D-3} a^{D+1} |\vec{k}|^{2D-1}$$





6.5 Theoretical details Spin-wave theory for thin films

spectrum for realistic samples



d = 4040a $H_e = 700 \text{ Oe}$

26

6.6: Theoretical details Spin-wave theory for thin films

• Comparison $E_{\vec{k}} = \sqrt{[h + \rho_{\text{ex}}\vec{k}^2 + \Delta(1 - f_{\vec{k}})\sin^2\Theta_{\vec{k}}][h + \rho_{\text{ex}}\vec{k}^2 + \Delta f_{\vec{k}}]}$

10⁵

10⁶

- analytical result
 - uniform mode approximation



eigenmode approximation

$$f_{\vec{k}} = 1 - |\vec{k}d| \frac{|\vec{k}d|^3 + |\vec{k}d|\pi^2 + 2\pi^2(1 + e^{-|\vec{k}d|})}{(\vec{k}^2 d^2 + \pi^2)^2}$$



numerical result



