Geometric properties of the three-dimensional Ising and XY models
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The fractal structure of high-temperature graphs of the three-dimensional Ising and XY models is investigated by simulating these graphs directly on a cubic lattice and analyzing them with the help of percolation observables. The Ising graphs are shown to percolate right at the Curie critical point. The diverging length scale relevant to the graphs in the vicinity of the percolation threshold is shown to be provided by the spin correlation length. The fractal dimension of the high-temperature graphs at criticality is estimated to be $D=1.7349(65)$ for the Ising and $D=1.7626(66)$ for the XY models.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The high-temperature (HT) expansion is a powerful tool to study the critical properties of lattice spin models [1]. In this approach, the partition function and correlation functions are calculated by counting graphs on the lattice with each graph representing a certain contribution. Traditionally, such an expansion is carried out exactly to a given order by enumerating all possible ways a graph of a given size and topology can be drawn on the lattice. This exact approach, involving combinatorial and graph-theoretical algorithms, is notoriously challenging and laborious, with each additional order requiring typically about the same amount of effort needed for all previous orders combined.

We have developed a different approach [2] in which the HT representation of lattice spin models is studied by means of Monte Carlo simulations. The HT graphs along the links of the underlying lattice are generated through a Metropolis plaquette update that proposes a local change in the existing graph configuration. At high temperatures, only a few small graphs generated this way can be found scattered throughout the lattice. As the temperature is lowered, graphs start to fill the lattice by growing larger and becoming more abundant. At temperatures below the critical temperature, the lattice becomes filled with graphs. A typical graph configuration now consists of one large graph spanning the entire lattice and a collection of much smaller graphs (see Fig. 1). The steady increase in the number of occupied links and the appearance of graphs spanning the lattice as the temperature is lowered are reminiscent of a percolation process. The use of percolation observables therefore suggests itself to analyze the graph configurations. For these observables to have a bearing on the critical properties of the model under investigation, it is necessary that the HT graphs percolate right at the thermal critical point. For the Ising model on a square lattice we numerically showed that the percolation threshold indeed coincides with the (exactly known) Curie temperature [2]. In other words, the phase transition in this lattice spin model to the ordered, low-temperature state manifests itself through a proliferation of HT graphs. Moreover, the fractal structure of closed and open graphs was shown to encode the standard critical exponents [2–5].

The purpose of this paper is to extend this geometric Monte Carlo approach to three dimensions. Two-dimensional (2D) spin models arguably form a very special class of models—in particular, the Ising model as it is self-dual. It therefore is a priori not obvious that this geometric Monte Carlo approach together with the use of percolation observables is viable in 3D.

Another Monte Carlo algorithm for studying HT representations of classical statistical models has been put forward by Prokof’ev and Svistunov [6]. That so-called worm algorithm is much more efficient than the conventional local update we use. The dynamic exponent $z$ characterizing the divergence of the autocorrelation time $\tau$ when the critical point is approached, $\tau \sim \xi^z$, with $\xi$ the correlation length, is close to zero for the worm algorithm while it is larger than 2 for the plaquette update we use. The plaquette update, on the other hand, has the virtue that it provides a direct and clean implementation of the HT representations of the spin models we consider. Prokof’ev and Svistunov [4] recently applied their algorithm to the 3D complex $|\phi|^4$ theory to determine the fractal dimension of the HT graphs in that theory. These
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FIG. 1. (Color online) Distribution of Ising HT graphs on a cubic lattice of linear size $L=24$ at the percolation threshold. Note the presence of a single large graph and many much smaller graphs.
graphs are unlike those in the XY model as links and vertices carry different weights in the two models. Moreover, because the update algorithms differ, the graphs in the two models are simulated in completely different ways. Nevertheless, since the $|\phi|^4$ theory is in the same universality class as the XY model, both types of HT graphs should yield the same fractal dimension. We set out below to investigate whether universality holds for the fractal structure of HT graphs.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the Metropolis plaquette update algorithm used in this Monte Carlo study together with the percolation observables applied to analyze the HT graphs. The subsequent two sections present our results for the Ising (Sec. III) and XY (Sec. IV) models, and the paper ends in Sec. V with a summary and conclusions.

II. SIMULATION AND DATA ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES

To be specific, we consider the HT representation of $O(N)$ lattice spin models described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = -J \sum_{(x,x')} S_x \cdot S_{x'},$$

with the interaction, characterized by the parameter $J$, restricted to spins on nearest-neighbor sites, so that the sum in Eq. (1) runs only over nearest-neighbor pairs. The spin variable $S_x = (S^1_x, ... , S_N^x)$ located at each site $x$ of the cubic lattice has a fixed length $S_x^2 = 1$. Simulations are carried out for the 3D Ising ($N=1$) and XY ($N=2$) models.

A. Ising model

The HT representation of the 3D Ising model on a cubic lattice with periodic boundary conditions consisting of $N$ sites and $3N$ links [7],

$$Z = (cosh \beta)^{3N^2} \sum_{\text{closed graphs}} K^b,$$

provides an alternative, but completely equivalent description of the spin model. In this representation, which is purely geometric in nature, spin degrees of freedom are swapped for link variables. The representation (2) of the partition function can be visualized as a sum over all possible closed graphs that can be drawn on the lattice. Each occupied link carries a factor $K = tanh \beta$, with $\beta$ the inverse temperature, where for convenience the coupling constant $J$ is set to unity. In the entire temperature range $0 \leq \beta \leq \infty$, $0 \leq K \leq 1$. The minimum number of occupied links, $b$, needed to form a closed graph is 4 on a cubic lattice. The internal energy

$$E = -\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \beta} = -3N K - \frac{1}{\sinh \beta \cosh \beta} (b)$$

is determined by the average number $\langle b \rangle$ of occupied links.

The central idea of our geometric Monte Carlo approach [2] is to directly simulate the graphs contributing to the partition function. The HT representation (2) suggests the following local Metropolis update algorithm [8].

![FIG. 2. (Color online) An existing Ising HT graph on a cubic lattice (left panel) is updated with the help of a chosen plaquette (middle panel) into a new graph (right panel).](image-url)
TABLE I. Overview of parameters used in the simulations of the 3D Ising model. The temperature intervals $[\beta_1, \beta_2]$ are sampled with $i$ equidistant points. For each sampling point, $N_{MC}$ Monte Carlo sweeps of the lattice of size $L$ are used for data collection, with $N_{cal}$ sweeps used for equilibration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta_1$</th>
<th>$\beta_2$</th>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>$N_{MC}$</th>
<th>$N_{cal}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.218000</td>
<td>0.233000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>460000</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.170000</td>
<td>0.218000</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.233000</td>
<td>0.270000</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220000</td>
<td>0.230000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>460000</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.170000</td>
<td>0.220000</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.230000</td>
<td>0.270000</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>0.229000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>460000</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.170000</td>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>0.270000</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>0.227100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>460000</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.170000</td>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.227100</td>
<td>0.270000</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>0.226600</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>460000</td>
<td>80000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.170000</td>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.226600</td>
<td>0.270000</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>0.224900</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>460000</td>
<td>80000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.170000</td>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.224900</td>
<td>0.270000</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>0.223800</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>460000</td>
<td>80000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.170000</td>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.223800</td>
<td>0.270000</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>130000</td>
<td>40000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>0.223500</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>560000</td>
<td>240000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.170000</td>
<td>0.220500</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>140000</td>
<td>50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.223500</td>
<td>0.270000</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>140000</td>
<td>50000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$P_{t+1}(G) = P_t(G) + \sum_{G'} [P_t(G')W(G' \rightarrow G) - P_t(G)W(G \rightarrow G')]$, \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $W(G \rightarrow G')$ is the probability for the system to move from the graph configuration $G$ with $b$ occupied links to the graph configuration $G'$ with $b'$ occupied links. In equilibrium, $P_{t+1}(G) = P_t(G') = P(G)$, and the system satisfies detailed balance

$P(G')W(G' \rightarrow G) = P(G)W(G \rightarrow G')$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

or

$\frac{W(G \rightarrow G')}{W(G' \rightarrow G)} = \frac{P(G')}{P(G)} = \frac{K^{b'}}{K^b}$. \hspace{1cm} (7)

As is customary with Metropolis algorithms, the acceptance rate $p_{HT}$ of a proposed update is maximized by giving the largest of the two transition probabilities $W(G \rightarrow G')$ and $W(G' \rightarrow G)$ appearing in the ratio the largest possible value, which is 1—that is, if the number of links, $b'$, in the proposed configuration is larger than the number of links, $b$, in the existing configuration, so that $K^{b'}/K^b < 1$, $W(G' \rightarrow G) = 1$, and $W(G \rightarrow G') = p_{HT}$. If, on the other hand, $b' < b$, the proposed configuration carries a larger weight than the existing one and will always be accepted.

The HT graphs are generated by taking the smallest possible closed graphs on the lattice—i.e., plaquettes—as building blocks. During a sweep of the lattice, all plaquettes are visited in a regular, typewriter fashion. For the Ising model, reflecting the underlying $Z_2$ spin symmetry, a link can either be empty or occupied. The links of a plaquette considered for update are changed from empty to occupied and vice versa (see Fig. 2 for an illustration). This is easily implemented by means of the binary rules $0 + 1 = 1$ and $1 + 1 = 0$, respectively.

The acceptance rate of a proposed update reads \cite{8}

$p_{HT} = \begin{cases} K^{b'-b} & \text{if } b' > b, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

The resulting and existing numbers of occupied links, $b'$ and $b$, respectively, are related via

$b' = b + 4 - 2b\square$. \hspace{1cm} (9)

with $b$ denoting the number of links on the plaquette already occupied. By taking plaquettes as building blocks, the resulting graphs are automatically closed.

Table I gives a summary of the number $N_{MC}$ of Monte Carlo sweeps of the lattice of size $L$ used for data collection.
in the temperature interval \([\beta_1, \beta_2]\), with \(N_{\text{cd}}\) sweeps used for equilibration. The temperature intervals are sampled with \(i\) equidistant points. The largest lattice taken for temperature-dependent runs is \(L=48\), while runs at the percolation threshold are carried out on lattices up to \(L=64\). Since the plaquette update is a local update, autocorrelation times grow very large on large lattices. Analyzing the time series of the percolation strength, we estimate the autocorrelation time to vary from \(\tau \approx 25\) for \(L=10\) to as long as \(\tau \approx 2500\) for \(L=64\). To somewhat reduce correlations between successive data points, we take measurements every fifth sweep of the lattice. Statistical errors are estimated by means of jackknife binning. Fits are carried out by using the nonlinear Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm for minimization of error-weighted least-squares fits.

**B. XY model**

For the XY model (\(N=2\)), where the spins take values along a circle, the general spin Hamiltonian (1) with \(J=1\) reduces to

\[ H = - \sum_{(x,x')} \cos(\theta_x - \theta_{x'}) , \]

with \(\theta_x\) being the planar angle of the spin at site \(x\) relative to a fixed, but arbitrary axis. The HT expansion is facilitated by the use of the Fourier expansion

\[ e^{\beta \cos(\theta)} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} I_l(\beta) e^{il\theta} , \]

where \(I_l(x)\) is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. The partition function

\[ Z = \prod_x \left[ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta_x}{2\pi} \right] \prod_{(x,x')} e^{-\beta \cos(\theta_x - \theta_{x'})} \]

then takes the well-known form [9]

\[ Z = \prod_x \left[ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta_x}{2\pi} \right] \prod_{(x,x')} \sum_{l_{x,x'}} I_{l_{x,x'}}(\beta) e^{il_{x,x'}(\theta_x - \theta_{x'})} , \]

involving the integers \(l_{x,x'}\) defined on the links connecting the nearest neighbor sites \(x\) and \(x'\). The spin degrees of freedom are now easily integrated out with the result

\[ Z = \prod_{(x,x')} \sum_{l_{x,x'} \neq 0} I_{l_{x,x'}}(\beta) = I_0^N(\beta) \prod_{(x,x')} \left( 1 + \sum_{l_{x,x'} = 0} I_{l_{x,x'}}(\beta) \right) . \]

Here, the prime on the sums is to indicate that only configurations satisfying the zero-divergence condition \(\sum_{l_{x,x'}} l_{x,x'} = 0\) at each site \(x\) contribute, where the sum \(\sum_{l_{x,x'}}\) runs over all nearest neighbors of \(x\). It is generally accepted that the link variables \(l_{x,x'}\) on the right-hand side of Eq. (14) can be restricted to the values \(\pm 1\) without changing the universality class. The partition function of the XY model can then be cast in a form analogous to the HT representation (2) of the Ising model,

\[ Z = I_0^N(\beta) \sum_{\text{oriented graphs}} K^N . \]

where \(K = I_l(\beta)/I_0(\beta)\), with \(0 \leq K < 1\) for all \(\beta\), and use is made of the property that \(I_{l_1}(x) = I_{l_2}(x)\). Since the link variable of the truncated model can take two nontrivial values \(\pm 1\), the graphs now have, in contrast to the Ising model, an orientation. A plaquette considered for update must therefore also be given a (randomly chosen) orientation. As binary rules we now have \(-1+1=0\), \(0+1=1\), \(0−1=−1\), and \(1−1=0\) in addition to the restrictions \(-1−1=0\) and \(1+1=0\) of singly occupancy, which, as already mentioned, we expect not to change the universality class of the model. Apart from these modifications, the HT representation of the XY model can be handled in the same way as that of the Ising model.

**C. Observables**

The HT graphs are analyzed with the help of standard percolation observables [10]. An important characteristic is

\[ P_0 = \text{Percolation probability} \]

for cubic lattices varying in linear size from \(L=12\) to \(L=48\).
whether a graph spans the lattice or not. We say a graph does
so already if it spans the lattice in just one of the three pos-
sible directions. By recording this each time the graphs are
analyzed, one obtains the percolation probability $P_S$, which
tends to zero in the limit $\beta \to 0$ and to unity in the opposite
limit $\beta \to \infty$. Another important observable is the graph dis-
tribution $n_p$, which gives the average number of graphs of $b$
occupied links normalized by the volume. Close to the per-
colation threshold it assumes the form

$$n_b \sim b^{-\tau} e^{-\theta_b}, \quad \theta \approx |K/K_{per} - 1|^{1/\nu}. \quad (16)$$

The exponents $\tau$ and $\sigma$ are related to the fractal dimension $D$
of the HT graphs via $\tau = d/D + 1$, with $d=3$ the dimension of
the space box, and $[3]$

$$\sigma = 1/\nu D, \quad (17)$$

where $\nu$ is the exponent characterizing the divergence of the
correlation length $\xi$ as the percolation threshold is ap-
proached, $\xi \approx |K/K_{per} - 1|^{-\nu}$. An additional observable we
measure is the percolation strength $P_s$, which is defined as
the size of the largest graph normalized by the volume. Fi-
nally, we also record the average graph size $\chi_G$.

The percolation threshold and the fractal dimension of the
HT graphs follow from applying finite-size scaling to these
observables. According to scaling theory, the percolation prob-
bility and strength for different values of the tuning
parameter $K$ and for different lattice sizes $L$ do not depend on
these variables separately, but depend on them in a con-
voluted way $[11]$

$$P_S(K) = P_S[L^{1/\nu}(K/K_{per} - 1)], \quad (18)$$

$$P_s(K) = L^{\nu \beta_G/\nu} P_s[L^{1/\nu}(K/K_{per} - 1)].$$

Here, $\beta_G$ determines the scaling dimension of the percolation
strength, which is related to the fractal dimension $D$ of the
HT graphs through $[10]$

$$D = d - \beta_G/\nu. \quad (19)$$

The scaling dimension of the percolation probability is zero.
The first scaling relation in Eqs. (18) implies that the curves

![FIG. 5. (Color online) Collapse of the data in Fig. 4 with the choices (20) for $\beta_c$ and $\nu$.](image)

![FIG. 6. (Color online) Log-log plot of the percolation strength $P_s$ of the Ising HT graphs at the critical temperature (20) as a function of the lattice size $L$. The straight line is obtained through a two-parameter fit in the interval $L=10–64$.](image)

![FIG. 7. (Color online) Collapse of the Ising percolation strength data measured on lattices of different size all cross at the same point. This point, being volume independent, marks the percolation threshold $K_{per}$ on the infinite lattice. That scaling relation in addition implies that the curves collapse onto a universal curve when replotted as a function of $L^{1/\nu}(K/K_{per} - 1)$. Similarly, the second scaling relation in Eqs. (18) implies that if in addition to the horizontal axis also the vertical axis is properly rescaled, with the correct value for the ratio](image)
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FIG. 8. (Color online) Collapse of the percolation probability \( P' \) of \( XY \) HT graphs measured on lattices of different size \( L \) (a) when replotted as a function of \((K/K_{\text{per}}-1)L^{1/\nu}\) (b).

\( \beta_G/\nu \), also the \( P_s(K) \) data fall onto a universal curve. That scaling relation in addition implies that measurements at the percolation threshold scale as \( P_s(K_{\text{per}}) \propto L^{-\beta_G/\nu} \), providing a good means of determining \( \beta_G/\nu \).

III. ISING MODEL RESULTS

We start by simulating the HT graphs of the Ising model on a cubic lattice. Figure 3 shows the internal energy as

obtained through Eq. (3) by measuring the density of occupied links. Apart from a small interval around the critical temperature, \( E \) is seen to be almost independent of volume, implying that the correlation length is much smaller here than the linear size of the smallest lattice considered \((L=12)\). When entering the critical region, the correlation length becomes larger and eventually exceeds the size of the largest lattice considered \((L=48)\).

We first determine the location of the percolation threshold. To this end, we measure the percolation probability on lattices of different linear size \( L \) as a function of the inverse temperature (see Fig. 4).

The figure shows that the curves connecting the data points all cross within error bars at the critical temperature, giving a first indication that the percolation threshold coincides with the thermal critical point. The percolation probability at the threshold we estimate to be \( P_s=0.05(2) \). To obtain a more refined test, we apply finite-size scaling to this observable. When replotted as a function of \((\beta/\beta_c-1)L^{1/\nu}\), with \( \nu \) the correlation length exponent of the 3D Ising model, the data are expected to collapse onto a universal curve. The inverse critical temperature and \( \nu \) have been determined to high precision in Refs. [12,13],

\[
\beta_c = 0.22165459(10), \quad \nu = 0.63012(16),
\]

respectively. Figure 5 shows that these values indeed produce a good collapse of the data in the entire temperature range.

Since this is achieved without any adjustable parameter, we arrive at the important conclusion that the percolation threshold of the HT graphs coincides within error bars with the thermal critical point. Moreover, since the standard Ising correlation length exponent \( \nu \) has been used, it follows that the relevant diverging length scale for the HT graphs in the vicinity of the critical temperature is provided by the spin correlation length.

We proceed to determine the fractal dimension \( D \) of the HT graphs. This can be done by measuring, for example, the

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
L & \beta_G/\nu & \chi^2/N_{\text{DOF}} & L \\
8–64 & 1.256 (5) & 1.61 & 10–64 & 1.265 (7) & 1.35 \\
8–56 & 1.255 (6) & 1.68 & 10–56 & 1.264 (7) & 1.43 \\
8–48 & 1.255 (6) & 1.75 & 10–48 & 1.266 (8) & 1.43 \\
8–40 & 1.258 (6) & 1.57 & 10–40 & 1.271 (7) & 0.96 \\
8–32 & 1.254 (7) & 1.57 & 10–32 & 1.267 (8) & 1.05 \\
8–24 & 1.253 (7) & 1.50 & 10–24 & 1.269 (8) & 0.85 \\
8–20 & 1.245 (8) & 1.19 & 10–20 & 1.260 (11) & 0.83 \\
12–64 & 1.256 (5) & 1.61 & 14–64 & 1.270 (10) & 1.41 \\
12–56 & 1.270 (9) & 1.41 & 14–56 & 1.269 (12) & 1.53 \\
12–48 & 1.273 (9) & 1.37 & 14–48 & 1.273 (13) & 1.51 \\
12–40 & 1.281 (7) & 0.68 & 16–48 & 1.261 (13) & 1.37 \\
12–32 & 1.279 (9) & 0.79 & 16–56 & 1.258 (15) & 1.47 \\
12–24 & 1.285 (7) & 0.34 & 16–48 & 1.261 (17) & 1.49 \\
\end{array}
\]
percolation strength or the average graph size at the percolation threshold and applying finite-size scaling to the data obtained for different lattice sizes \( L \) (see Fig. 6). Since \( \chi_G \) was found to show large corrections to scaling for small \( L \), the observable \( P_{\infty} \), showing only small corrections, is used to estimate \( D \). Table II summarizes the results of two-parameter fits using the nonlinear Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm for various fit intervals. On the basis of these fits, we estimate the critical exponent \( \beta_G/\nu \) to be

\[
\beta_G/\nu = 1.2651(65),
\]

(21)
corresponding to the largest possible fit interval \( (L=10–64) \), which still gives a good fit quality with a \( \chi^2 \) per degree of freedom (DOF), \( \chi^2/N_{\text{DOF}}=1.35 \).

Figure 7 shows that, with this choice, the data collected in the vicinity of the critical temperature on lattices of different size \( L \) fall onto a universal curve when both axes are properly rescaled.

The estimate (21) for the graph exponent leads to the estimate

\[
D = d - \beta_G/\nu = 1.7349(65) \quad (d = 3)
\]

(22)
for the fractal dimension of the HT graphs at the critical point. This fractal dimension is closer to that of a self-avoiding walk in 3D, for which \( [14] D = 1/\nu = 1.7001(32) \), than to that of a Brownian random walk, for which \( D = 2 \). Given this estimate for the fractal dimension, the relation (17) leads to the estimate

\[
\sigma = 0.9147(42)
\]

(23)
for the graph distribution exponent \( \sigma \), which for self-avoiding random walks is unity.

**IV. XY MODEL RESULTS**

We proceed by analyzing the HT graphs of the 3D \( XY \) model. The critical temperature of the truncated \( XY \) model, where links are allowed to be at most singly occupied, has to our knowledge not been determined before. To arrive at an accurate estimate of the percolation threshold, we consider the percolation strength data and search for the best data collapse given the value

\[
\nu = 0.6717(1)
\]

(24)
for the \( XY \) correlation length exponent recently reported in Ref. [15]. This is done by rendering a motion picture out of about 300 single frames each of which showing the data collapse for a different value of \( K_c \). Successive frames correspond to slightly increased values of \( K_c \). A media player, such as MPlayer, which can go forward and backward frame

**TABLE III. Percolation strength exponent \( \beta_G/\nu \) of the XY HT graphs at the percolation threshold (25) as obtained through two-parameter fits in the indicated intervals.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( L )</th>
<th>( \beta_G/\nu )</th>
<th>( \chi^2/N_{\text{DOF}} )</th>
<th>( L )</th>
<th>( \beta_G/\nu )</th>
<th>( \chi^2/N_{\text{DOF}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6–64</td>
<td>1.203 (7)</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>8–64</td>
<td>1.221 (7)</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–56</td>
<td>1.200 (7)</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>8–56</td>
<td>1.217 (7)</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–48</td>
<td>1.196 (6)</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>8–48</td>
<td>1.212 (7)</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–40</td>
<td>1.192 (6)</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>8–40</td>
<td>1.208 (7)</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–32</td>
<td>1.188 (7)</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>8–32</td>
<td>1.203 (8)</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–24</td>
<td>1.185 (7)</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>8–24</td>
<td>1.200 (9)</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–20</td>
<td>1.179 (8)</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>8–20</td>
<td>1.192 (11)</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–64</td>
<td>1.237 (7)</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>12–64</td>
<td>1.244 (8)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–56</td>
<td>1.235 (7)</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>12–56</td>
<td>1.241 (9)</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–48</td>
<td>1.229 (6)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>12–48</td>
<td>1.234 (9)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–40</td>
<td>1.224 (7)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>14–64</td>
<td>1.258 (7)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–32</td>
<td>1.221 (8)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>14–56</td>
<td>1.257 (8)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–24</td>
<td>1.220 (11)</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>16–64</td>
<td>1.258 (9)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>1.213 (15)</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>16–56</td>
<td>1.256 (10)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
by frame, is used to play the motion picture and to determine the value of $K_c$ showing the best collapse. The quality of the collapse is established visually. Error estimates are based on the number of successive frames for which the quality of collapse remains roughly the same. We have checked this method by applying it to the Ising model, where the critical temperature is known to high precision, and obtained surprisingly good results. For the truncated $XY$ model, we arrive in this way at the estimate

$$K_{\text{per}} = 0.22288(5),$$

which is to be compared to the value $K_c = \tanh \beta_c = 0.218095...$ of the Ising model. Figure 8 shows the collapse of the data achieved with the estimate (25) of the percolation threshold.

As for the Ising model, we determine the fractal dimension of the HT graphs of the $XY$ model by measuring the percolation strength $P_{\infty}$ at the percolation threshold (25) on lattices of different size (see Fig. 9). Table III summarizes the results of two-parameter fits using various fit intervals. On the basis of these fits, we estimate the critical exponent $\beta_G / \nu$ to be

$$\frac{\beta_G}{\nu} = 1.2374(66),$$

corresponding to the largest possible fit interval ($L=10-64$) that still gives a good fit quality ($\chi^2/N_{\text{DOF}} = 1.21$).

Figure 10 shows that with this choice, the data collected in the vicinity of the percolation threshold on lattices of different size $L$ fall onto a universal curve when both axes are properly rescaled.

The result (26) leads to the estimate

$$D = 1.7626(66)$$

for the fractal dimension of $XY$ HT graphs at the percolation threshold. Comparison with the estimate (22) for the fractal dimension of the Ising HT graphs shows that the $XY$ graphs are more crumpled, although still much less so than a Brownian random walk. For the graph distribution exponent $\sigma$ we arrive at the estimate

$$\sigma = 0.8446(45),$$

which is lower than the estimate (23) for the Ising model. Our estimate (27) is in good agreement with the value $D = 1.7635(20)$ recently reported by Prokof'ev and Svistunov [4] for the $|\phi^4|$ theory which they obtained using their worm algorithm [6]. As stated in the Introduction, the HT graphs of that model as well as the worm update algorithm used to simulate them are completely different from the $XY$ HT graphs and the plaquette update. Yet, despite these differences, the fractal dimensions of the two models, which share the same universality class, agree within error bars. We take this as a strong indication that, as expected, universality holds for the fractal structure of HT graphs.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, it was shown that the geometric Monte Carlo approach originally introduced in the context of 2D spin models [2], in which HT graphs are simulated directly and analyzed with the help of percolation observables, can also be applied to 3D spin models. The 3D Ising HT graphs were shown to percolate right at the critical temperature, which is known to high precision. The phase transition to the ordered, low-temperature state in this spin model was shown to be reflected by a proliferation of HT graphs. Also, through data collapse, it was shown that the diverging length scale relevant to the HT graphs in the vicinity of the percolation threshold is the spin correlation length. With the help of finite-size scaling, the fractal dimensions of the closed Ising and $XY$ HT graphs were determined as in percolation theory. Both models are handled similarly, with the only difference that, in contrast to the Ising HT graphs, the $XY$ HT graphs are oriented. Finally, it was shown that universality holds for the fractal structure of HT graphs.


